arXiv:math/0206223v2 [math.QA] 22 Jul 2002

Conformal field theories associated to regular chiral vertex operator algebras I: theories over the projective line

Kiyokazu Nagatomo and Akihiro Tsuchiya

Abstract: Based on any chiral vertex operator algebra satisfying a suitable finiteness condition, the semisimplicity of the zero-mode algebra as well as a regularity for induced modules, we construct conformal field theory over the projective line with the chiral vertex operator algebra as symmetries of the theory. We appropriately generalize the argument in [TUY] so that we are able to define sheaves of conformal blocks for chiral vertex operator algebras and study them in detail. We prove the factorization theorem under the fairly general conditions for chiral vertex operator algebras and the zero-mode algebras.

Contents

1 Chiral vertex operator algebras 5
1.1 Graded vector spaces and endomorphism rings 5
1.2 The Virasoro algebra 6
1.3 Chiral vertex operator algebras - definition 6
1.4 2-point functions 7

2 Modules for chiral vertex operator algebras 10
2.1 Current Lie algebras 10
2.2 Current algebras 13
2.3 Modules for chiral vertex operator algebras 14

3 Finiteness theorem for V-modules 15
3.1 Finiteness condition 15
3.2 Fermionic property of modules 15
3.3 Zero-mode algebras 20

4 Duality 22
4.1 Anti-automorphism for current Lie algebras 22
4.2 Duality operation for modules 24

5 Conformal blocks 25
5.1 Meromorphic forms and Lie algebras 25
5.2 Space of covacua and conformal blocks 26
5.3 Systems of current correlation functions 27
5.4 1-point current correlation functions 29
5.5 2-point current correlation functions 31
5.6 Propagation of vacua 34

5.7 Construction of systems of current correlation functions 35
5.8 Finiteness of conformal blocks 36
5.9 2-point conformal blocks 37

6 Integrable connections **38**
6.1 Integrable connection on sheaves of covacua 38
6.2 Structure of sheaves of covacua 40

7 Regularity conditions **41**
7.1 Condition Ⅱ and Ⅲ 41
7.2 Structure of the space of covacua 42

8 Factorization **44**
8.1 Gauge condition 44
8.2 Geometric settings 45
8.3 Integrable connections 49
8.4 Local freeness 50
8.5 Relative dualizing sheaf over the critical discriminant 52
8.6 Proof of the structure theorem for the sheaf over critical discriminant . 54
8.7 Proof of the glueing isomorphism 56
8.8 Remark 58

9 Semisimplicity of the category $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(V)$ **58**

A Appendix **61**
A.1 Examples 61
A.2 Zhu's algebras via sheaves of covacua 62

Introduction

In the present paper we study conformal field theory (CFT) defined over the projective line associated to chiral vertex operator algebras. The theories over compact Riemann surfaces of positive genus will be discussed in the forthcoming papers.

There are two extensively studied examples of conformal field theory defined over compact Riemann surfaces; the one is WZNW model associated to integrable highest weight representations of affine Lie algebras ([TUY]), and the other is the minimal models associated to highest weight representations of the co-called minimal series for the Virasoro algebra ([BFM]). In both examples sheaves of conformal blocks are constructed over the moduli spaces for N-pointed stable curves, and the expected properties of them such as the coherency, the existence of $\mathcal{D}$-module structure, and the factorization property along the boundary of the moduli space are proved as well as other interesting natures. There is another well understood example known as abelian conformal field theory whose symmetry is the lattice chiral vertex operator algebra associated to a rank one even lattice. In [U] the space of conformal blocks attached to a pointed stable curve is constructed and its dimension is determined. Particularly the space of conformal blocks over the smooth curve is isomorphic to the vector space of theta functions defined over the Jacobian variety with the multiple of the theta divisor.

The notion of chiral vertex operator algebras was first introduced in order to realize and study the Monster (the largest sporadic finite simple group)([Borc], [FLM]), and in fact there are significant achievements in this direction. The set of axioms for a chiral vertex operator algebra consists of algebraic counterparts of the notion of the operator product expansion in conformal field theory. Later conformal field theories associated to chiral vertex operator algebras over compact Riemann surfaces started in [Z1] and [Z2] as a generalization of WZNW model and the minimal model. Meanwhile Beilinson and Drinfeld [BD] formulated a chiral vertex operator algebra over a curve by using the notion of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, which is called a *chiral algebra* (cf. [G]). Chiral algebras give rise to a framework of conformal field theory over compact Riemann surfaces of particularly higher genus. In fact Frenkel and Ben-Zvi ([F], [FB]) defined sheaves of conformal blocks over curves in terms of chiral algebras. However, their properties such as the coherency, the local freeness along the boundary of the moduli space, and the factorization property are not known. In the paper we define sheaves of conformal blocks over *the projective line* following [TUY] and prove the series of above properties. Discussions for *higher genus* compact Riemann surfaces will be reported in subsequent papers.

It must be important to note that a chiral vertex operator algebra does not always provide conformal field theory with desired properties (say, “good” conformal field theory); several conditions such as rationality, regularity, etc., which likely lead us to the good theory have been proposed. However it is not known, if one of these conditions in fact allows us to build a theory, and which one is the most natural. In this paper we propound such a sufficient condition, which we call the condition $\mathrm{I\!I\!I}$ (see the explanation below); we also present weaker conditions I and $\mathrm{I\!I}$, which are enough for us to prove several properties such as the coherency of conformal blocks, etc. In the paper we call a chiral vertex operator algebras satisfying the condition $\mathrm{I\!I\!I}$ “regular”; any regular chiral vertex operator algebra gives rise to a good conformal field theory over the projective line. One of the important features of the condition $\mathrm{I\!I\!I}$ is that the completely reducibility of V-modules is *not* assumed: previously propounded notions of rationality, or regularity presume that any V-module is completely reducible. We show that our category of V-modules is semisimple, and that the category of V-modules and the category of finite dimensional modules for the zero-mode algebra is equivalent.

The most of the ideas in this paper are found in [TUY], which are slightly generalized so as to fit our general settings. The notion of conformal blocks in the paper is equivalent to the one in [BD] though its appearance looks different; the definition which is convenient for our purpose is chosen.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 reviews basic concepts of chiral vertex operator algebras ([FLM]). The definition of a chiral vertex operator algebra consists of several axioms; the most important one is the associativity formula. Its physics counterpart is known as the operator product expansion in conformal field theory; the equivalence between them is also explained here. Section 2 gives the notions of the current Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ and the current algebra $\mathcal{U}(V)$ associated to a chiral vertex operator algebra V. The current algebra $\mathcal{U}(V)$ is introduced in order to develop the theory of V-module in terms of suitable associative algebras (cf. recall the role of the universal enveloping algebras in the representation theory for Lie algebras). For the purpose the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ and its completed universal enveloping algebra $\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ are introduced. The algebra $\mathcal{U}(V)$ is defined as the quotient algebra of $\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ by the two-sided ideal mostly generated by the associativity formula (Definition 2.2.1). A module for V or V-module is a finitely generated $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module satisfying a certain finiteness condition; the category of V-modules is denoted by $\mathcal{M}od\,(V)$. Section 3 includes consequences under the condition I, i.e., under Zhu's C_2-finite condition. Using the argument given in [GN] being slightly generalized for our situation we prove that any V-module is linearly spanned by vectors obtained by applying negative Fourier modes without same mode iterations (Theorem 3.2.7); this fact is called the *fermionic property* of V-modules. In particular a certain finiteness property called C_n-finiteness ($n \geq 2$) is proved for arbitrary V-module when V satisfies C_2-condition. The coherency of conformal blocks over the projective line is assured by the C_2-finiteness of V-modules. In the higher genus case the coherency of conformal blocks can be proved by using C_n-finiteness of V-modules for all $n \geq 2$. The fermionic property of V-modules is a consequence of the associativity formula, and this itself is a very interesting phenomena which occurs in the theory. The other important ingredient in the section is the zero-mode algebra $A_0(V)$ which is defined as a subquotient algebra of the current algebra. The definition is given so as to have a clear meaning in V-modules theory. In the appendix it is shown that the zero-mode algebra is isomorphic to the so-called Zhu's algebra, so that the role of Zhu's algebra in the theory of chiral vertex operator algebras is clarified. The category of finite dimensional $A_0(V)$-modules is denoted by $\mathcal{M}od\,(A_0(V))$. The definition of functors $\mathcal{HW} : \mathcal{M}od\,(V) \to \mathcal{M}od\,(A_0(V))$ and $M : \mathcal{M}od\,(A_0(V)) \to \mathcal{M}od\,(V)$ are also given, where $\mathcal{HW}(M)$ is a set of highest weight vectors of a V-module M and $M(W) = \mathcal{U}(V) \otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} W$ is the induced V-module for an $A_0(V)$-module W. Section 4 reviews the duality of V-modules; the content is essentially due to [FHL]. Section 5 presents the definitions of space of conformal blocks and system of current correlation functions over the projective line, and the one to one correspondence between them (Theorem 5.3.3) is established. One of the main theorems that any space of conformal blocks is finite dimensional is proved (Theorem 5.8.1). In section 6 the sheaf of conformal blocks is defined over the moduli space of N-pointed projective line, and an integrable connection on it is constructed. Section 7 states the conditions $\mathbb{II}$ (C_2-finite condition and the semisimplicity of the zero-mode algebra) and $\mathbb{III}$ (the condition $\mathbb{II}$ and the simpleness of the induced module $M(W)$ for a simple $A_0(V)$-module W). The most important contributions of the present work is in section 8. In this section we always work with the condition Ⅲ. Sheaves of conformal blocks are extended to sheaves over the partial compactification of the moduli space of N-pointed projective line (Proposition 8.2.6), whose boundary consists of N-pointed stable curves with ordinary double points. It is verified that the connection for vector fields tangent to the boundary is well-defined (Theorem 8.3.1). The behavior of sheaves of conformal blocks along the boundary of the moduli space is studied under the conditions Ⅲ. It is shown that sheaves of conformal blocks over the moduli space are locally free (Theorem 8.4.6) and have the factorization property along the boundary (Theorem 8.4.3). Section 9 shows that if V is a chiral vertex operator algebra satisfying the conditions Ⅲ then the functor $\mathcal{HW} : \mathcal{M}od\,(V) \to \mathcal{M}od\,(A_0(V))$ gives an equivalence of categories. Particularly $\mathcal{M}od\,(V)$ is a semisimple category. In the appendix we include two example of regular chiral vertex operator algebras; the affine and the Virasoro chiral vertex operator algebras, which gives WZNW-model and the minimal model, respectively. These two example would give more concrete images of notions discussed at every stage of the paper. The isomorphism between the zero-mode algebra and Zhu's algebra is also given here.

1 Chiral vertex operator algebras

We give definition of chiral vertex operator algebras and characterize the axioms for chiral vertex operator algebras in terms of 2-point functions.

1.1 Graded vector spaces and endomorphism rings

Let $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M_n$ be a graded vector space over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\dim M_n < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M_n = 0$ for all sufficiently small n. We define the filtration $F_pM\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ by setting $F_pM = \bigoplus_{n\leq p} M_n$, which is an increasing filtration on M;

$$\cdots \subseteq F_pM \subseteq F_{p+1}M \subseteq \ldots, \quad \cap_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} F_pM = \{0\} \text{ and } M = \cup_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} F_pM.$$

Setting $F^pM = F_{-p}M$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we get the decreasing filtration

$$\cdots \supseteq F^pM \supseteq F^{p+1}M \supseteq \ldots, \quad \cap_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} F^pM = \{0\} \text{ and } M = \cup_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} F^pM.$$

Let End M be the endomorphism ring of M. We set $\mathcal{E}nd\,M = \cup_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} F_p\mathcal{E}nd\,M$ where

$$F_p\mathcal{E}nd\,M = \{\ \varphi \in \text{End } M \mid \varphi(M_n) \subseteq F_{n+p}M \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}\ \} \quad (p \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We also define $F^p\mathcal{E}nd\,M = F_{-p}\mathcal{E}nd\,M$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $F_p\mathcal{E}nd\,M\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ define an increasing filtration on $\mathcal{E}nd\,M$

$$\ldots \subseteq F_p\mathcal{E}nd\,M \subseteq F_{p+1}\mathcal{E}nd\,M \subseteq \ldots\,, \quad \cap_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} F_p\mathcal{E}nd\,M = \{0\},$$

and the $F^p\mathcal{E}nd\,M\,(p\in\mathbb{Z})$ define a decreasing filtration. The vector space $\mathcal{E}nd\,M$ is Hausdorff with respect to the linear topology induced by the filtration $F_p\mathcal{E}nd\,M\,(p\in\mathbb{Z})$ and is complete.

We set $\mathcal{E}nd^f\,M=\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{E}nd_p\,M\subset\mathcal{E}nd\,M$ where

$$\mathcal{E}nd_p\,M=\{\,\varphi\in\mathrm{End}\ M\mid\varphi(M_n)\subseteq M_{n+p}\text{ for all }n\in\mathbb{Z}\,\}\quad(p\in\mathbb{Z}).$$

The vector space $\mathcal{E}nd^f\,M$ is endowed with the increasing filtration $F_p\mathcal{E}nd^f\,M=F_p\mathcal{E}nd\,M\cap\mathcal{E}nd^f\,M=\bigoplus_{n\le p}\mathcal{E}nd_n\,M$. We see that $\mathcal{E}nd\,M$ is the formal completion of $\mathcal{E}nd^f\,M$.

1.2 The Virasoro algebra

The Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}ir$ is a vector space $\mathcal{V}ir=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}T(n)\oplus\mathbb{C}C$ with commutation relations

$$[T(m),T(n)]=(m-n)T(m+n)+\frac{m^3-m}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}\,C,\quad[T(m),C]=0.$$

Let M be a $\mathcal{V}ir$-module such that the center C acts on M as a complex number c_M. Then c_M is called *central charge*.

1.3 Chiral vertex operator algebras - definition

Let us recall definition of chiral vertex operator algebras ([Borc], [FLM]).

Definition 1.3.1. The triplet $(V,\,J,\,T\,)$ is called a *chiral vertex operator algebra* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) V is a graded vector space $V=\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty}V_\Delta$ such that $\dim V_0=1$ and $\dim V_\Delta<\infty$ for all Δ. Any element v of V_Δ is called *homogeneous* vectors with weight Δ; we denote $\Delta=|v|$.

(b) There exist two special nonzero elements $|0\,\rangle\in V_0$ and $T\in V_2$, which are called the *vacuum* and the *Virasoro vector* respectively.

(c) For any integer n there exists a linear map $J_n:V\longrightarrow\mathcal{E}nd_{-n}\,V$ such that $J_0(|0\,\rangle)=\mathrm{id}_V$, $J_n(|0\,\rangle)=0\,(n\neq0)$, and $J_{-\Delta}(v)|0\rangle=v$, $J_n(v)|0\rangle=0\,(n>-\Delta)$ for all $v\in V_\Delta$. We set $T(n)=J_n(T)$ for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. The $T(n)\,(n\in\mathbb{Z})$ and $J_0(|0\,\rangle)=\mathrm{id}_V$ form a representation of the Virasoro algebra on V with some central charge $c_V\in\mathbb{C}$, and $T(0)$ is the grading operator, i.e., $T(0)v=\Delta v$ for any $v\in V_\Delta$.

(d) $J_n(T(-1)v)=-(n+\Delta)J_n(v)$ for any $v\in V_\Delta$.

(e) Let $v_1\in V_{\Delta_1}$, $v_2\in V_{\Delta_2}$ and $m,\,n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$[J_m(v_1),J_n(v_2)]=\sum_{j=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1}\binom{m+\Delta_1-1}{j}J_{m+n}(J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2).$$

(f) Let $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$, $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$ and m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$J_m(J_n(v_1)v_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{n+\Delta_1-1}{j} \\ \times \left(J_{n-j}(v_1) J_{m-n+j}(v_2) - (-1)^{n+\Delta_1-1} J_{m+\Delta_1-j-1}(v_2) J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1) \right).$$

Note 1.3.2. The identities (e) and (f) is called the *commutator formula* and the *associativity formula* respectively in the literature.

The following proposition is well-known ([Borc], [FLM]).

Proposition 1.3.3. (1) *$T(1)T = 0$ and $T(2)T = \frac{c_V}{2}|0\rangle$.*

(2) *For any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$[T(-1), J_n(v)] = -(n+\Delta-1)J_{n-1}(v), \quad [T(0), J_n(v)] = -nJ_n(v).$$

(3) *For any $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$, $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$J_n(v_1)v_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+\Delta_1+j}}{j!} T(-1)^j \left(J_{n+\Delta_1-\Delta_2+j}(v_2)v_1 \right).$$

Note 1.3.4. The first formula in the proposition (2) is called the *derivation property*, and the one in the proposition (3) is called the *skew symmetry*.

1.4 2-point functions

In this subsection we present another set of axioms for a chiral vertex operator algebra using the notion of the operator product expansion.

Definition 1.4.1. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta$ be a chiral vertex operator algebra. For any $v \in V_\Delta$ we associate a formal power series with the formal variable z and z^{-1} with coefficients in $\mathcal{E}nd^f\, V$ by

$$J(v,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} J_n(v) z^{-n-\Delta} \in (\mathcal{E}nd^f\, V)[[z, z^{-1}]],$$

which is called the *nonabelian current* associated to the vector v. For any $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$ the product $J(v_1,z_1)J(v_2,z_2)$ is well-defined as an element in $(\mathcal{E}nd^f\, V)[[z_1, z_1^{-1}, z_2, z_2^{-1}]]$.

Definition 1.4.2. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta$ be a chiral vertex operator algebra. We denote by V^* the graded dual of V, i.e., $V^* = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta^*$ where $V_\Delta^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_\Delta, \mathbb{C})$, and by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ the natural dual pairing between V^* and V.

Proposition 1.4.3. *Let* $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ *and* $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$.

(1) *The formal 2-point function* $\langle v^*|J(v_1,z_1)J(v_2,z_2)|v\rangle \in \mathbb{C}[[z_1,z_1^{-1},z_2,z_2^{-1}]]$ *absolutely converges on the domain* $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$ *and is analytically continued to a rational function on* $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ *with possible poles on* $z_1 = z_2$, $z_i = 0\,(i = 1,2)$ *and* $z_i = \infty\,(i = 1,2)$*; this rational function is denoted by the same notation.*

(2) *The formal power series* $\langle v^*|J(J(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2)|v\rangle \in \mathbb{C}[[z_1-z_2,(z_1-z_2)^{-1},z_2,z_2^{-1}]]$ *absolutely converges on the domain* $0 < |z_1-z_2| < |z_2|$ *and is analytically continued to a rational function on* $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ *with possible poles on* $z_1 = z_2$, $z_i = 0\,(i = 1,2)$ *and* $z_i = \infty\,(i = 1,2)$*; this rational function is also denoted by the same notation.*

(3) *The following relations hold*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v^*|J(v_1,z_1)J(v_2,z_2)|v\rangle =&\langle v^*|J(v_2,z_2)J(v_1,z_1)|v\rangle\\ =&\langle v^*|J(J(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2)|v\rangle\\ =&\langle v^*|J(J(v_2,z_2-z_1)v_1,z_1)|v\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

(4) *For any* $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$

$$\frac{d}{dz}\langle v^*|J(v_1,z)|v\rangle = \langle v^*|J(T(-1)v_1,z)|v\rangle.$$

Proof. For $v \in V_\Delta$ we set

$$J^{>0}(v,z) = \sum_{n\geq -\Delta+1} J_n(v)z^{-n-\Delta} \quad \text{and} \quad J^{\leq 0}(v,z) = \sum_{n\leq -\Delta} J_n(v)z^{-n-\Delta}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v^*|J(v_1,z_1)J(v_2,z_2)|v\rangle &= \langle v^*|[J^{>0}(v_1,z_1),J(v_2,z_2)]|v\rangle\\ &+ \langle v^*|J(v_2,z_2)J^{>0}(v_1,z_1)|v\rangle + \langle v^*|J^{\leq 0}(v_1,z_1)J(v_2,z_2)|v\rangle, \quad (1.1)\\ \langle v^*|J(v_2,z_2)J(v_1,z_1)|v\rangle &= \langle v^*|[J(v_2,z_2),J^{\leq 0}(v_1,z_1),]|v\rangle\\ &+ \langle v^*|J(v_2,z_2)J^{>0}(v_1,z_1)|v\rangle + \langle v^*|J^{\leq 0}(v_1,z_1)J(v_2,z_2)|v\rangle, \quad (1.2)\end{aligned}$$

where the second and the third term in the right hand sides are Laurent polynomials of z_1 and z_2. The commutator formula in Definition 1.3.1 is equivalent to the following set of equations, each of which holds as an element in $(\mathcal{E}nd^f\,V)[[z_1^{-1},z_2,z_2^{-1}]]$ and $(\mathcal{E}nd^f\,V)[[z_1,z_2,z_2^{-1}]]$, respectively;

$$[J^{>0}(v_1,z_1),J(v_2,z_2)] = \sum_{j=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1} J(J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2,z_2)\,(z_1-z_2)^{-j-1}\Big|_{|z_1|>|z_2|}, \qquad (1.3)$$

$$[J(v_2,z_2),J^{\leq 0}(v_1,z_1)] = \sum_{j=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1} J(J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2,z_2)\,(z_1-z_2)^{-j-1}\Big|_{|z_1|<|z_2|}, \qquad (1.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}(z_1-z_2)^{-j-1}\big|_{|z_1|>|z_2|} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{m}{j} z_1^{-m-1} z_2^{m-j},\\ (z_1-z_2)^{-j-1}\big|_{|z_1|<|z_2|} &= -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{-m-1}{j} z_1^{m} z_2^{-m-1-j}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (1.3) and (1.4) we see that the expansion of $J(J^{>0}(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2)\in(\mathcal{E}nd^f\,V)[[z_1-z_2,(z_1-z_2)^{-1},z_2,z_2^{-1}]]$ on the domain $|z_1|>|z_2|>0$ and $|z_2|>|z_1|>0$ is respectively given by

$$J(J^{>0}(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2)=\begin{cases}[J^{>0}(v_1,z_1),J(v_2,z_2)] & \text{on } |z_1|>|z_2|>0,\\ [J(v_2,z_2),J^{\leq 0}(v_1,z_1)] & \text{on } |z_2|>|z_1|>0.\end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Finally using the associativity formula we see that

$$J(J^{\leq 0}(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2)=J^{\leq 0}(v_1,z_1)J(v_2,z_2)+J(v_2,z_2)J^{>0}(v_1,z_1) \tag{1.6}$$

as elements in $(\mathcal{E}nd^f\,V)[[z_1-z_2,z_2,z_2^{-1}]]$, where in the right hand side we use the expansion

$$z_1^{-j-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{-j-1}{m}(z_1-z_2)^m z_2^{-m-j-1}.$$

Using (1.3) we see that the first term of (1.1) absolutely converges on the domain $|z_1|>|z_2|>0$ to a rational function with the prescribed pole conditions, and that $\langle v^*|J(v_1,z_1)J(v_2,z_2)|v\rangle=\langle v^*|J(v_2,z_2)J(v_1,z_1)|v\rangle$ by (1.1)-(1.4). The formal power series $\langle v^*|J(J(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2)|v\rangle$ also absolutely converges on the domain $0<|z_1-z_2|<|z_2|$ to $\langle v^*|J(v_1,z_1)J(v_2,z_2)|v\rangle$ by (1.5) and (1.6).

Finally (4) follows from the condition (d) for the chiral vertex operator algebra. □

Note 1.4.4. The first and second equality of the proposition (3) is called the $\mathcal{S}_2$-*symmetry* and the *operator product property*, respectively.

Remark 1.4.5. The $\mathcal{S}_2$-symmetry and the operator product property give the commutator formula and the associativity formula in Definition 1.3.1. The third equality of the proposition (3) gives the skew-symmetry. The statement (4) is nothing but (d) in Definition 1.3.1

2 Modules for chiral vertex operator algebras

2.1 Current Lie algebras

Let $(V,\ J,\ T\ )$ be a chiral vertex operator algebra with a grading $V=\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty}V_{\Delta}$. We set $\widetilde{V}^{(1)}=\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty}V_{\Delta}\otimes\mathbb{C}((\xi))(d\xi)^{1-\Delta}$ and $\widetilde{V}^{(0)}=\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty}V_{\Delta}\otimes\mathbb{C}((\xi))(d\xi)^{-\Delta}$. Let $\nabla:\widetilde{V}^{(0)}\to\widetilde{V}^{(1)}$ be the linear map defined by

$$v\otimes f(\xi)(d\xi)^{-\Delta}\longmapsto T(-1)v\otimes f(\xi)(d\xi)^{-\Delta}+v\otimes\frac{df(\xi)}{d\xi}(d\xi)^{1-\Delta}.$$

We set $\mathfrak{g}(V)=\widetilde{V}^{(1)}/\nabla\widetilde{V}^{(0)}$ and denote by $J(v,f)$ the image of $v\otimes f(d\xi)^{1-\Delta}\ (v\in V_{\Delta})$ under the canonical projection $\widetilde{V}^{(1)}\to\mathfrak{g}(V)=\widetilde{V}^{(1)}/\nabla\widetilde{V}^{(0)}$.

The vector space $\widetilde{V}^{(1)}$ is filtered by the decreasing filtration

$$\begin{aligned}&F^{p}\widetilde{V}^{(1)}=\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty}V_{\Delta}\otimes\mathbb{C}[[\xi]]\xi^{p+\Delta-1}(d\xi)^{1-\Delta}\quad(p\in\mathbb{Z}),\\&\ldots\supseteq F^{p}\widetilde{V}^{(1)}\supseteq F^{p+1}\widetilde{V}^{(1)}\supseteq\ldots,\quad\bigcap_{p}F^{p}\widetilde{V}^{(1)}=0\quad\text{and}\quad\widetilde{V}^{(1)}=\bigcup_{p}F^{p}\widetilde{V}^{(1)},\end{aligned}$$

which defines a Hausdorff linear topology on the vector space $\widetilde{V}^{(1)}$. There also exists a decreasing filtration on $\widetilde{V}^{(0)}$;

$$F^{p}\widetilde{V}^{(0)}=\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty}V_{\Delta}\otimes\mathbb{C}[[\xi]]\xi^{p+\Delta-1}(d\xi)^{-\Delta}.$$

Since $\nabla:F^{p}\widetilde{V}^{(0)}\to F^{p-1}\widetilde{V}^{(1)}$ for all $p\in\mathbb{Z}$ the filtration $F^{p}\ (p\in\mathbb{Z})$ on $\tilde{V}^{(1)}$ induces a filtration on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V)$, which we denote by $F^{p}\mathfrak{g}(V)\ (p\in\mathbb{Z})$.

We introduce a bilinear operation $[\ ,\ ]:\widetilde{V}^{(1)}\times\widetilde{V}^{(1)}\to\widetilde{V}^{(1)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&[v_1\otimes f_1(d\xi)^{1-\Delta_1},v_2\otimes f_2(d\xi)^{1-\Delta_2}]\\&\qquad=\sum_{m=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1}\frac{1}{m!}J_{m-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2\otimes\frac{d^m f_1}{d\xi^m}f_2\,(d\xi)^{m+2-\Delta_1-\Delta_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1.1. *The bilinear operation* $[\ ,\ ]$ *induces a Lie bracket on* $\mathfrak{g}(V)$*, and the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ *is filtered by* $F^{p}\mathfrak{g}(V)\ (p\in\mathbb{Z})$*, i.e.,* $[F^{p}\mathfrak{g}(V),F^{q}\mathfrak{g}(V)]\subseteq F^{p+q}\mathfrak{g}(V)$*.*

Proof. In order to show that the bilinear operation $[\ ,\ ]$ on $\tilde{V}^{(1)}$ induces a bilinear map on $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ we first prove that

$$[v_1\otimes f_1,v_2\otimes f_2]+[v_2\otimes f_2,v_1\otimes f_1]\equiv 0\mod\nabla\widetilde{V}^{(0)}.$$

for all $v_i \in V_{\Delta_i}$ and $f_i \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))(d\xi)^{1-\Delta_i}$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Hereafter we omit the symbol $d\xi$ for convenience. To do this it suffices to see that

$$[v_1 \otimes f_1, v_2 \otimes f_2] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j!n!} \nabla^j \left(J_{n+j-\Delta_2+1}(v_2)v_1 \otimes \frac{d^n f_2}{d\xi^n} f_1 \right) =: D_R.$$

The key is the following identity for differential polynomials;

$$f_2 \frac{d^i f_1}{d\xi^i} = \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{i+k} \binom{i}{k} \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \left(f_1 \frac{d^{i-k} f_2}{d\xi^{i-k}} \right).$$

Using the Leibnitz rule for $\nabla = T(-1) \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes d/d\xi$ we see that

$$D_R = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j!n!} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \binom{j}{k} T(-1)^{j-k} J_{n+j-\Delta_2+1}(v_2)v_1 \otimes \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \left(\frac{d^n f_2}{d\xi^n} f_1 \right).$$

We now set $m = j - k$, $i = n + k$, and eliminate j and n;

$$\begin{aligned} D_R &= \sum_{i,\, m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i+m+1}}{i!m!} T(-1)^m J_{m+i-\Delta_2+1}(v_2)v_1 \otimes \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{i+k} \binom{i}{k} \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \left(\frac{d^{i-k} f_2}{d\xi^{i-k}} f_1 \right), \\ &= \sum_{i,\, m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i+m+1}}{i!m!} T(-1)^m J_{m+i-\Delta_2+1}(v_2)v_1 \otimes \frac{d^i f_1}{d\xi^i} f_2\,, \\ &= [v_1 \otimes f_1, v_2 \otimes f_2] \end{aligned}$$

by the skew symmetry.

Using the property (d) of chiral vertex operator algebras we obtain $[\nabla \widetilde{V}^{(0)}, \tilde{V}^{(1)}] = 0$, and then $[\tilde{V}^{(1)}, \nabla \widetilde{V}^{(0)}] \subset \nabla \widetilde{V}^{(0)}$ by the mod $\nabla \widetilde{V}^{(0)}$-skew symmetry. Now the bilinear operation $[\ ,\ ]$ on $\widetilde{V}^{(1)}$ induces a bilinear map on $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ such that $[F^p\mathfrak{g}(V), F^q\mathfrak{g}(V)] \subseteq F^{p+q}\mathfrak{g}(V)$.

To see that this bilinear map is a Lie bracket it suffices to show that the $[\ ,\ ]$ satisfies the Jacobi identity, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &[[v_1 \otimes f_1, v_2 \otimes f_2], v_3 \otimes f_3] \\ &\qquad = [v_1 \otimes f_1, [v_2 \otimes f_2, v_3 \otimes f_3]] - [v_2 \otimes f_2, [v_1 \otimes f_1, v_3 \otimes f_3]] \end{aligned}$$

for all $v_i \in V_{\Delta_i}$ and $f_i \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))(d\xi)^{1-\Delta_i}$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. Let us denote the right hand side of the Jacobi identity by J_R. By definition of the bracket we see that

$$J_R = \sum_{m,\, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!\, n!} [J_{m-\Delta_1+1}(v_1), J_{n-\Delta_2+1}(v_2)]v_3 \otimes \frac{d^m f_1}{d\xi^m} \frac{d^n f_2}{d\xi^n} f_3.$$

We now apply the commutator formula to the right hand side so that

$$J_R = \sum_{m,\,n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{m!\,n!} \binom{m}{j} J_{m+n-\Delta_1-\Delta_2+2} \left(J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2\right) v_3 \otimes \frac{d^m f_1}{d\xi^m} \frac{d^n f_2}{d\xi^n} f_3.$$

Set $i = m + n - j$ and eliminate m;

$$\begin{aligned} J_R &= \sum_{i,\,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!\,j!} J_{i+j-\Delta_1-\Delta_2+2} \left(J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2\right) v_3 \otimes \sum_{n=0}^{i} \binom{i}{n} \frac{d^{j+i-n} f_1}{d\xi^{j+i-n}} \frac{d^n f_2}{d\xi^n} f_3 \\ &= \sum_{i,\,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!\,j!} J_{i+j-\Delta_1-\Delta_2+2} \left(J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2\right) v_3 \otimes \frac{d^i}{d\xi^i} \left(\frac{d^j f_1}{d\xi^j} f_2 \right) f_3 \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2 \otimes \frac{d^j f_1}{d\xi^j} f_2, v_3 \otimes f_3 \right] \\ &= \left[[v_1 \otimes f_1, v_2 \otimes f_2], v_3 \otimes f_3 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.1.2. Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ with the Lie algebra structure

$$[J(v_1, f_1), J(v_2, f_2)] = \sum_{m=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1} \frac{1}{m!} J(J_{m-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2, \frac{d^m f_1}{d\xi^m} f_2)$$

is called the *current Lie algebra* associated to the chiral vertex operator algebra V.

For any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set $J_n(v) = J(v, \xi^{n+\Delta-1})$. Specifically for $v = T$ we denote $T(n) = J(T, \xi^{n+1})$.

We could also do the whole story for $\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathbb{C}[\xi, \xi^{-1}](d\xi)^{1-\Delta}$; then we get a graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(V)^f_n$ where $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_n$ is linearly spanned by $J_n(v)$ ($v \in V$). We see that $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ and that $J_n(T(-1)v) = -(n + \Delta)J_n(v)$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 2.1.3. (1) $J_n(|0\,\rangle) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.

(2) Let $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$. Then

$$[J_m(v_1), J_n(v_2)] = \sum_{j=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1} \binom{m + \Delta_1 - 1}{j} J_{m+n}(J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2),$$

and in particular the linear map $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f \to \mathcal{E}nd^f\, V$ defined by $J_n(v) = J(v, \xi^{n+\Delta-1}) \mapsto J_n(v)$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

(3) The vector space $\mathcal{V}ir(V) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}T(n) \oplus \mathbb{C}J_0(\,|0\rangle)$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$, and has the bracket relations

$$[T(m), T(n)] = (m - n)T(m + n) + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} c_V J_0(\,|0\rangle), \quad [T(n), J_0(\,|0\rangle)] = 0.$$

2.2 Current algebras

We set $\mathfrak{g}(V)_{\geq 0} = F^0\mathfrak{g}(V)(= F_0\mathfrak{g}(V))$ and $\mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0} = \bigoplus_{n<0} \mathfrak{g}(V)^f_n$. The vector spaces $\mathfrak{g}(V)_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0}$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(V) = \mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}(V)_{\geq 0}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$, the notation $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0}$ is also used. Let $U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V)$; there is a canonical vector space isomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{\geq 0})$. For any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define a vector subspace of $U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ by

$$F^p\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) = \sum_{p_1+\cdots+p_r \geq p} F^{p_1}\mathfrak{g}(V) \cdots F^{p_r}\mathfrak{g}(V),$$

where we suppose that $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 \subseteq F^p\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ for all $p \leq 0$, which defines a decreasing filtration. Then $U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ becomes a filtered algebra and a filtered Lie algebra with the canonical Lie algebra structure; for all p, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} &F^p\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \cdot F^q\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \subseteq F^{p+q}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)), \\ &[F^p\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)), F^q\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V))] \subseteq F^{p+q}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)). \end{aligned}$$

The filtration F^p ($p \in \mathbb{Z}$) on $U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ induces a decreasing filtration on $U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{\geq 0})$ such that $F^1\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0}) = 0$ and $F^0\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{\geq 0}) = U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{\geq 0})$, respectively. We note that for every $p \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$F^p\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) = \sum_{\substack{p_1+p_2=p \\ p_1 \leq 0,\, p_2 \geq 0}} F^{p_1}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0}) \cdot F^{p_2}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{\geq 0}).$$

For any fixed $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we introduce a decreasing filtration on $F^p\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ by setting

$$F^p_N\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) = \sum_{\substack{p_1+p_2=p \\ p_1 \leq 0,\, p_2 \geq N}} F^{p_1}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0}) \cdot F^{p_2}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)_{\geq 0}) \quad \text{for} \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Then by definition $F^{p_1}_{N_1}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \cdot F^{p_2}_{N_2}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \subseteq F^{p_1+p_2}_{N_2}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ for all p_1, $p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and N_1, $N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and if $N_1 + p_2 \geq 0$ then $F^{p_1}_{N_1}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \cdot F^{p_2}_{N_2}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \subseteq F^{p_1+p_2}_{N_1+p_2}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ by the commutator formula. We now set

$$F^p\, \widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)) = \varprojlim_N F^p\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V))/F^p_N\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)),$$

i.e., $F^p\, \widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ is the formal completion of $F^p\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ with respect to the linear topology induced by the filtration F^p_N ($N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). Since $F^{p+1}_N\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) = F^{p+1}\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \cap F^p_N\, U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we see that

$$\ldots \supseteq F^p\, \widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \supseteq F^{p+1}\, \widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \supseteq \ldots \quad \text{and} \quad \cap_p\, F^p\, \widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)) = 0.$$

We set $\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)) = \cup_p F^p\,\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))$, which is a complete algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&F^p\,\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \cdot F^q\,\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \subseteq F^{p+q}\,\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)),\\
&[F^p\,\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)), F^q\,\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))] \subseteq F^{p+q}\,\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)).
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2.1. Let $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ be the two-sided ideal of $\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ generated by $J_0(|0\rangle) - 1$ and the associativity relation for all $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$, $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$ and m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_m(J_n(v_1)v_2) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^j \binom{n+\Delta_1-1}{j}&\\
\times \left(J_{n-j}(v_1)J_{m-n+j}(v_2) - (-1)^{n+\Delta_1-1}J_{m+\Delta_1-j-1}(v_2)J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)\right)&.
\end{aligned}$$

We set $\mathcal{U}(V) = \widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))/\mathfrak{B}(V)$, which is called the *current algebra* associated to the chiral vertex operator algebra V.

Remark 2.2.2. (1) Let $F^p\mathcal{U}(V)$ $(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ be the filtration induced by $F^p\,\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))$. Then the current algebra $\mathcal{U}(V)$ is a filtered algebra and a filtered Lie algebra.

(2) The commutator and associativity formulas hold on $\mathcal{U}(V)$ as well as $J_n(T(-1)v) = -(n+\Delta)J_n(v)$ and $J_n(|0\rangle) = \delta_{n,0}1$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.3 Modules for chiral vertex operator algebras

Definition 2.3.1. Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra. A *module* M for V is a $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module such that

(a) M is a finitely generated $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module.

(b) For any $m \in M$ the vector space $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)m$ is finite dimensional.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(V)$ the category of V-modules. The chiral vertex operator algebra V is a $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module, and is generated by the vacuum $|0\rangle$. Since $J_n(v) \in \mathcal{E}nd^f_{-n}V$ we see that $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)v \subseteq \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{|v|} V_\Delta$, in particular, $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)v$ is finite dimensional for any $v \in V$ so that V is a V-module, which is called the *vacuum module*.

Let M be a V-module and $\{m_i\}$ be a *finite* set of generators as a $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module. The vector space $W = \sum_i F^0\mathcal{U}(V)m_i$ is finite dimensional by the condition (b). The set $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(V)$, and W is a $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)$-module. In particular, W is $T(0)$-invariant and is decomposed into a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for the operator $T(0)$. Since $M = U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0})W$ the V-module M is also decomposed into a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for $T(0)$. For any $h \in \mathbb{C}$ we set

$$M_{(h)} = \{m \in M \mid (T(0)-h)^k m = 0 \text{ for a positive integer } k\}.$$

We note that $J_n(v) : M_{(h)} \to M_{(h-n)}$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.3.2. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra and M be a V-module. Then there exists a finite set of complex numbers $\{h_i\}$ such that $h_i - h_j \notin \mathbb{Z}\,(i \neq j)$ and $M = \bigoplus_{h \in P(M)} M_{(h)}$, where $P(M) = \cup_i (h_i + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$.*

Definition 2.3.3. Given any $v \in V_\Delta$ we associate a formal power series

$$J^M(v,z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_n(v) z^{-n-\Delta} \in (\text{End } M)[[z, z^{-1}]],$$

which is called the *nonabelian current* over M associated to the vector v.

3 Finiteness theorem for V-modules

3.1 Finiteness condition

Definition 3.1.1. Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra and M be a V-module. For any integer $n \geq 2$ we denote by $C_n(M)$ the vector subspace of M, which is linearly spanned by vectors $J_{-\Delta-p}(v)m$ for all $v \in V_\Delta\,(\Delta \geq 0)$, $m \in M$ and $p \geq n-1$. If $\dim M/C_n(M) < \infty$ we say that the V-module M satisfies the C_n-*condition* or that M is C_n-*finite* .

We see that $C_2(M) \supseteq C_3(M) \supseteq \ldots \supset C_n(M) \supseteq \ldots$, and that if M is C_n-finite for an $n \geq 2$ then it is C_2-finite. Gaberdiel and Neitzke ([GN, Theorem 11]) showed that that if a chiral vertex operator algebra V is C_2-finite then it is C_n-finite for all $n \geq 2$. We shall prove that if V is C_2-finite then any V-module is C_n-finite for all $n \geq 2$ (see Corollary 3.2.8).

3.2 Fermionic property of modules

The current Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ is a filtered Lie algebra with the filtration $F^p\mathfrak{g}(V)\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$. In this subsection we use another filtration which we denote by $G_p\mathfrak{g}(V)\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 3.2.1. For any integer p we set

$$G_p\tilde{V}^{(1)} = \bigoplus_{\Delta \leq p} V_\Delta \otimes \mathbb{C}((\xi))(d\xi)^{1-\Delta}$$

and denote by $G_p\mathfrak{g}(V)$ the image of $G_p\tilde{V}^{(1)}$ under the canonical projection $\tilde{V}^{(1)} \to \mathfrak{g}(V)$.

We see that $G_p\mathfrak{g}(V)\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ defines an increasing filtration on $\mathfrak{g}(V)$;

$$0 = G_{-1}\mathfrak{g}(V) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq G_p\mathfrak{g}(V) \subseteq G_{p+1}\mathfrak{g}(V) \subseteq \ldots, \quad \cup_p G_p\mathfrak{g}(V) = \mathfrak{g}(V).$$

The commutator formula shows:

Lemma 3.2.2. *$[G_p\mathfrak{g}(V), G_q\mathfrak{g}(V)] \subset G_{p+q-1}\mathfrak{g}(V)$ for any p, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, and in particular $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ is a filtered Lie algebra with the filtration $G_p\mathfrak{g}(V)\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$. Then $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathfrak{g}(V) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^\infty \operatorname{gr}^G_p \mathfrak{g}(V)$ is a graded abelian Lie algebra where $\operatorname{gr}^G_p \mathfrak{g}(V) = G_p\mathfrak{g}(V)/G_{p-1}\mathfrak{g}(V)$.*

We set

$$G_p\,U(\mathfrak{g}(V)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & (p < 0), \\ \sum_{p_1+\cdots+p_r\leq p} G_{p_1}\mathfrak{g}(V)\cdots G_{p_r}\mathfrak{g}(V) & (p \geq 0), \end{cases}$$

which defines an increasing filtration on $U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$. This filtration induces an increasing filtration on $\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))$ since the filtration $G_p\,U(\mathfrak{g}(V))\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ is compatible with the filtration $F^q_N U(\mathfrak{g}(V))$. Let $G_p\mathcal{U}(V)\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ be the filtration on $\mathcal{U}(V)$, which is induced by $G_p\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V))$.

Proposition 3.2.3. *The current algebra $\mathcal{U}(V)$ is a filtered algebra, i.e., $G_p\mathcal{U}(V)\cdot G_q\mathcal{U}(V) \subseteq G_{p+q}\mathcal{U}(V)$ for any integers p and q. Moreover $[G_p\mathcal{U}(V), G_q\mathcal{U}(V)] \subseteq G_{p+q-1}\mathcal{U}(V)$, and in particular $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathcal{U}(V) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^\infty \operatorname{gr}^G_p \mathcal{U}(V)$ is a commutative graded algebra where $\operatorname{gr}^G_p \mathcal{U}(V) = G_p\mathcal{U}(V)/G_{p-1}\mathcal{U}(V)$.*

Let $G_pU(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f)\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ be the induced filtration, i.e., $G_pU(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f) = G_p\widehat{U}(\mathfrak{g}(V)) \cap U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f)$. Then $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f)$ is a commutative graded algebra, and there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f) \cong \operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0}) \otimes \operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{\geq 0}).$$

The filtration $F^p\mathcal{U}(V)\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ induces a filtration on $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet\mathcal{U}(V)$ so that $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet\mathcal{U}(V)$ becomes a filtered graded commutative algebra.

Let us introduce a *good filtration* on a V-module M. By definition of a V-module there exists a finite dimensional $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)$-submodule W such that the canonical $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module homomorphism

$$\mathcal{U}(V) \otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} W \to M \to 0$$

is exact. We set $G_{-1}M = 0$ and $G_pM = G_p\mathcal{U}(V)W$ for all nonnegative integer p, which defines an increasing filtration on M such that $G_p\mathcal{U}(V)G_qM \subseteq G_{p+q}M$. In particular $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet M$ is a $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet\mathcal{U}(V)$-module. The vector space $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet M$ is also a $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f)$-module. Note that $G_pU(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f)W = G_pM$ for all p.

Proposition 3.2.4. *The graded $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet\mathcal{U}(V)$-module $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet M$ is generated by $\operatorname{gr}^G_0 M = W$ as a $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0})$-module.*

In other words the canonical $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0})$-module homomorphism

$$\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W \to \operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet M \to 0$$

is exact. Note that there exists a canonical graded algebra homomorphism

$$\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0}) \cong S(\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0})$$

where $S(R)$ denotes the symmetric algebra of over a graded vector space R.

Lemma 3.2.5. *For any $v \in C_2(V)$ the element of $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathcal{U}(V)$, whose representative is $J_n(v)\,(n \in \mathbb{Z})$, trivially acts on $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet M$.*

Proof. We can assume that $v = J_{-\Delta_1-p}(v_1)v_2\,(p \geq 1)$ with $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$. On the one hand $|v| = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + p$ and $J_n(v)G_qM \subset G_{\Delta_1+\Delta_2+p+q}M$, while the right hand side of the associativity formula for $J_n(v)$ belongs to $G_{\Delta_1+\Delta_2}\mathcal{U}(V)$, and then $J_n(v)G_qM \subset G_{\Delta_1+\Delta_2+q}M$. □

Let $\mathfrak{g}(V)^{f,C_2}$ be a graded vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$, which is linearly spanned by $J_n(v)$ where $v \in C_2(V)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We set $\mathfrak{u} = \operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathfrak{g}(V)^f / \operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathfrak{g}(V)^{f,C_2}$. Then the action of $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet U(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f) \cong S(\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathfrak{g}(V)^f)$ on $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet M$ induces an action of the commutative algebra $S(\mathfrak{u})$ on $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet M$. We now set $\mathfrak{u}_{<0} = \operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0} / \operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathfrak{g}(V)^{f,C_2}_{<0}$ where $\mathfrak{g}(V)^{f,C_2}_{<0} = \mathfrak{g}(V)^{f,C_2} \cap \mathfrak{g}(V)_{<0}$; the set $\mathfrak{u}_{<0}$ is canonically a vector subspace of $\mathfrak{u}$ and $\operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet M = S(\mathfrak{u}_{<0}) \operatorname{gr}^G_0 M$.

Let U be a graded subspace of V such that $V = U \oplus C_2(V) \oplus \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$. Then the graded vector space $\mathfrak{u}_{<0}$ is linearly spanned by vectors $[J_n(v)]\,(n < 0)$ for $v \in U$. We note that $\operatorname{gr}^G_{-1} M = 0$ and $\operatorname{gr}^G_0 M = W$, while for any positive integer p the space $\operatorname{gr}^G_p M$ is linearly spanned by vectors

$$J_{-n_1}(v_1) \cdots J_{-n_r}(v_r)w \quad (w \in W,\, n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_r > 0)$$

where $v_1, \ldots, v_r \in U$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r |v_i| = p$.

Lemma 3.2.6. *Let $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$. For any integer m there exist integers $c_{ij}\,(i, j \in \mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$J_{-m}(v_1)J_{-m}(v_2) = J_{-2m}(J_{-\Delta_1}(v_1)v_2) + \sum_{\substack{i+j=2m \\ i \neq j}} c_{ij} J_{-i}(v_1)J_{-j}(v_2) \quad \textit{in } \operatorname{gr}^G_\bullet \mathcal{U}(V).$$

Proof. Since the associativity formula

$$J_{-2m}(J_{-\Delta_1}(v_1)v_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(J_{-\Delta_1-j}(v_1)J_{-2m+\Delta_1+j}(v_2) + J_{-2m+\Delta_1-j-1}(v_2)J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)\right)$$

holds for all integer m we get for $m \geq \Delta_1$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{-m}(v_2)J_{-m}(v_1) &= J_{-2m}(J_{-\Delta_1}(v_1)v_2) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{j \geq 0 \\ j \neq m-\Delta_1}} J_{-\Delta_1-j}(v_1)J_{-2m+\Delta_1+j}(v_2) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} J_{-2m+\Delta_1-j-1}(v_2)J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1), \end{aligned}$$

while for $m \leq \Delta_1 - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{-m}(v_1)J_{-m}(v_2) &= J_{-2m}(J_{-\Delta_1}(v_1)v_2) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} J_{-\Delta_1-j}(v_1)J_{-2m+\Delta_1+j}(v_2) - \sum_{\substack{j\geq 0 \\ j\neq \Delta_1-m-1}} J_{-2m+\Delta_1-j-1}(v_2)J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

The fermionic property of V-modules means:

Theorem 3.2.7. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra and M be a V-module. Let U be a graded vector subspace of V such that $V = U \oplus C_2(V) \oplus \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$. For any nonnegative integer p the vector space $\mathrm{gr}_p^G M$ is linearly spanned by vectors*

$$J_{-n_1}(v_1)\cdots J_{-n_r}(v_r)w \quad (w \in W,\ n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_r > 0)$$

where $v_1, \ldots, v_r \in U$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r |v_i| = p$.

In order to prove the theorem we first show that the following statement $\mathrm{S}(p, N)$ holds for any nonnegative integers p and N;

S(p, N): The vector space $\mathrm{gr}_p^G M$ is linearly spanned by vectors

$$J_{-n_1}(v_1)\cdots J_{-n_r}(v_r)w \quad (w \in W, n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_r > 0)$$

where $v_1, \ldots, v_r \in U$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r |v_i| = p$, and $n_i \neq n_j$ if $n_i,\, n_j \leq N$ $(i \neq j)$.

We will prove the statement $\mathrm{S}(p, N)$ by induction with respect to the lexicographic order on $(p, N) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$. The statement $\mathrm{S}(0, N)$ holds for all N. We suppose that the statement holds for any pair which is strictly smaller than (p, N). Then by $\mathrm{S}(p, N-1)$ any vector in $\mathrm{gr}_p^G M$ is a linear combination of vectors

$$J_{-n_1}(u_1)\cdots J_{-n_r}(u_r)\, \mathbb{J}^s\, J_{-m_1}(w_1)\cdots J_{-m_t}(w_t)w, \quad w \in W$$

where $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_r > N > m_1 > \ldots > m_t > 0$ and $\mathbb{J}^s = J_{-N}(v_1)\cdots J_{-N}(v_s)$. We set $\mathbb{I}^r = J_{-n_1}(u_1)\cdots J_{-n_r}(u_r)$ and $\mathbb{K}^t = J_{-m_1}(w_1)\cdots J_{-m_t}(w_t)$. Applying Lemma 3.2.6 to $\mathbb{J}^s$ we see that

$$\mathbb{I}^r\mathbb{J}^s\mathbb{K}^t w = \mathbb{I}^r\mathbb{J}^{s-2}J_{-2N}(J_{-\Delta_{s-1}}(v_{s-1})v_s)\mathbb{K}^t w + \sum_{\substack{i+j=2N \\ i\neq j}} c_{ij}\mathbb{I}^r\mathbb{J}^{s-2}J_{-i}(v_{s-1})J_{-j}(v_s)\mathbb{K}^t w.$$

In the second term in the right hand side of the equation in the previous text we see that either $i > N$ or $j > N$. We then can apply $\mathrm{S}(p-1, N)$ to either the term $\mathbb{J}^{s-2}J_{-j}(v_s)\mathbb{K}^t w$ or $\mathbb{J}^{s-2}J_{-i}(v_{s-1})\mathbb{K}^t w$ in order to get the desired expression. Now in the first term we replace $J_{-\Delta_{s-1}}(v_{s-1})v_s$ by $u + C_2(V)$ for a suitable $u \in U$ so that $\mathbb{I}^r \mathbb{J}^{s-2} J_{-2N}(J_{-\Delta_{s-1}}(v_{s-1})v_s)\mathbb{K}^t w = \mathbb{I}^r J_{-2N}(u)\mathbb{J}^{s-2}\mathbb{K}^t w$ in $\operatorname{gr}_p^G M$. Now the induction on s can be applied to prove the statement $\mathrm{S}(p, N)$.

We now give a proof of Theorem 3.2.7. Let $M = \bigoplus_{h\in P(M)} M_{(h)}$ and set $\alpha = \min\{\operatorname{Re} h \mid h \in P(M)\}$. We will show that for any $h \in P(M)$ any vector from $\operatorname{gr}_p^G M_{(h)}$ has the desired expression. We suppose that

$$J_{-n_1}(v_1)\cdots J_{-n_r}(v_r)w \in \operatorname{gr}_p^G M_{(h)} \quad \text{and} \quad w \in W \cap M_{(h')},$$

where $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_r > 0$. Using $\mathrm{S}(p, N)$ for a positive integer N such that $N \geq \operatorname{Re} h - \alpha + 1$ we can assume that $n_i \neq n_j$ $(i \neq j)$ if $n_i,\, n_j \leq N$. Now $n_1 + \cdots + n_r = h - h'$, and in particular $n_1 \leq \operatorname{Re}(h - h') < N$. So we get $n_i \neq n_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

Corollary 3.2.8. *Let V be a C_2-finite chiral vertex operator algebra and M be a V-module.*

(1) *M is C_n-finite for all $n \geq 2$.*

(2) *Let $M = \bigoplus_{h\in P(M)} M_{(h)}$. Then $M_{(h)}$ is finite dimensional for all $h \in P(M)$.*

Proof. Let U be a finite dimensional graded vector subspace of V such that $V = U \oplus C_2(V) \oplus \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$. Any element of M is a linear combination of vectors

$$v(\vec{n}, w) := J_{-n_1}(v_1)\cdots J_{-n_r}(v_r)w \quad (w \in W,\, v_1, \ldots, v_r \in U,\, n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_r > 0).$$

If $n_1 \geq |v_1| + n - 1$ then $v(\vec{n}, w) \in C_n(M)$. Otherwise $|v_1| + n \geq n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_r > 0$, and for fixed $v_1, \ldots, v_r$ the choice of such (n_i) is finite. Now since U is finite dimensional we see that $M/C_n(M)$ is finite dimensional.

Suppose that $w \in W \cap M_{(h_0)}$ and $v(\vec{n}, w) \in M_{(h)}$. Then we see that $h_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_r = h$; only a finite number of $n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_r > 0$ satisfy this condition so that the vector space $M_{(h)}$ is finite dimensional since U is finite dimensional. □

We can characterize V-modules in terms of nonabelian currents as we have done for a chiral vertex operator algebra in § 1.4. Let $M^* = \bigoplus_{h\in P(M)} M^*_{(h)}$ be the graded dual of a V-module M, and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ be the dual pairing between M^* and M. Fix $m \in M$ and $m^* \in M^*$. For any $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$ the formal power series $\langle m^*|J^M(v_1, z_1)J^M(v_2, z_2)|m\rangle$ absolutely converges on the domain $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$ and is analytically continued to a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with possible poles on $z_1 = z_2$, $z_i = 0\,(i = 1, 2)$ and $z_i = \infty\,(i = 1, 2)$. We use the same notation to denote this rational function. The formal power series $\langle m^*|J^M(J(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2, z_2)|m\rangle$ absolutely converges on the domain $0 < |z_1 - z_2| < |z_2|$ and is analytically continued to a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$: we have the $\mathcal{S}_2$-symmetry and the operator product property

$$\begin{aligned}\langle m^*|J^M(v_1, z_1)J^M(v_2, z_2)|m\rangle &= \langle m^*|J^M(v_2, z_2)J^M(v_1, z_1)|m\rangle \\ &= \langle m^*|J^M(J(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2, z_2)|m\rangle \\ &= \langle m^*|J^M(J(v_2, z_2 - z_1)v_1, z_1)|m\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2.9. Let M be a graded $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$-module. For $v \in V_\Delta$ we set $J^M(v,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} J_n(v)z^{-n-\Delta} \in (\mathcal{E}nd^f\, M)[[z,z^{-1}]]$. Then $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$-module structure is uniquely extended to a $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module structure if and only if all nonabelian currents $J^M(v,z)$ have the $\mathcal{S}_2$-symmetry, the operator product property, and $J_0(|0\rangle) = \mathrm{id}_M$.

3.3 Zero-mode algebras

We set $\mathfrak{J} = \mathcal{U}(V)F^1\mathcal{U}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{J}^0 = \mathfrak{J} \cap F^0\mathcal{U}(V)$. The set $\mathfrak{J}$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{U}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{J}^0$ is a two-sided ideal of $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)$. We note that $\mathfrak{J}^0 = \sum_{n\geq 1} F^{-n}\mathcal{U}(V)\cdot F^n\mathcal{U}(V)$. We set $A_0(V) = F^0\mathcal{U}(V)/\mathfrak{J}^0$, which is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with a unit 1.

Definition 3.3.1. Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra. We call $A_0(V)$ the *zero-mode algebra* associated to V. We denote by $[J_0(v)]$ ($v \in V$) the element of $A_0(V)$ being represented by $J_0(v)$. The category of finite dimensional $A_0(V)$-modules is denoted by $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(A_0(V))$.

Proposition 3.3.2. (1) *Let U be a graded vector subspace of V such that $V = U \oplus C_2(V)$. Then $A_0(V)$ is linearly spanned by $\{\,[J_0(v)]\,|\,v\in U\,\}$.*

(2) *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the vector subspace of $A_0(V)$, which is linearly spanned by $\{\,[J_0(v)]\,|\,v \in V_\Delta\,(\Delta\geq 1)\,\}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is a two-sided ideal of $A_0(V)$, and the quotient algebra $A_0(V)/\mathfrak{A}$ is one dimensional $A_0(V)$-module which is generated by* $[1]$ *where* $1 = J_0(|0\rangle)$.

Proof. By using the associativity and commutator formulas we see that for any $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(v_1)J_0(v_2) &\equiv J_0(J_{-\Delta_1}(v_1)v_2) \\ &\quad - \sum_{1-\Delta_1\leq j<0}\ \sum_{k=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1} \binom{\Delta_2-j-1}{k} J_0(J_{k-\Delta_2+1}(v_2)v_1) \mod \mathfrak{J}^0 . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Since $A_0(V)$ is linearly spanned by vectors $[J_0(v_1)]\cdots[J_0(v_n)]$ the induction on the number of products shows that $A_0(V)$ is linearly spanned by vectors $[J_0(v)]$, $v\in V$.

Now for any $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$ and $p \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(J_{-\Delta_1-p}(v_1)v_2) &\equiv (-1)^{p+\Delta_1+1}\binom{-p-1}{\Delta_1-1} J_0(v_2)J_0(v_1) \\ + \sum_{\substack{0<j<\Delta_1-1\\ k\geq 0}} &(-1)^{p+j+\Delta_1-1}\binom{-p-1}{-j+\Delta_1-1}\binom{\Delta_1+j-1}{k} J_0(J_{k-\Delta_2+1}(v_2)v_1) \mod \mathfrak{J}^0. \end{aligned}$$

The induction on the filtration on $A_0(V)$ induced by $F_pV = \oplus_{\Delta\leq p}V_\Delta$ ($p\in\mathbb{Z}$) applied to the equation in the previous text as well as (3.1) shows that $A_0(V)$ is linearly spanned by $\{\,[J_0(v)]\,|\,v\in U\,\}$.

If $\Delta_1 \geq 1$ and $\Delta_2 \geq 1$ the nonzero multiple of $J_0(|0\rangle)$ never appears in the right hand side of (3.1) so that the set $\mathfrak{A}$ is a two-sided ideal of $A_0(V)$. We now note that $\dim A_0(V)/\mathfrak{A} \leq 1$ and $V_0 = \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$ is an $A_0(V)$-module by (1). Then we see that $A_0(V)/\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathbb{C}$. □

Definition 3.3.3. Let M be a V-module. We set $\mathcal{HW}(M) = \{m \in M \mid \mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{>0} m = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{CHW}(M) = M/\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0} M$, which is called the space of *highest weight vectors* of M and the space of *co-highest weight vectors* of M, respectively.

Lemma 3.3.4. *Let $M \neq 0$ be a V-module. Then $\mathcal{HW}(M) \neq 0$, and it is canonically a left $A_0(V)$-module.*

Theorem 3.3.5. *Let V be a C_2-finite chiral vertex operator algebra.*

(1) *The zero-mode algebra $A_0(V)$ is finite dimensional.*

(2) *The number of simple objects of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(A_0(V))$ is finite.*

(3) *The $A_0(V)$-module $\mathcal{HW}(M)$ is finite dimensional. In particular*

$$\mathcal{HW} : \mathcal{M}od\,(V) \to \mathcal{M}od\,(A_0(V))$$

is a functor.

(4) *Let W be a simple $A_0(V)$-module and set $M(W) = \mathcal{U}(V) \otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} W$. Then $M(W)$ is a V-module, and there exists a unique maximal proper V-submodule $N(W)$ so that $L(W) = M(W)/N(W)$ is a simple V-module.*

(5) *The map $W \mapsto L(W)$ define a bijective map between the set of equivalent classes of finite dimensional simple $A_0(V)$-modules and the set of equivalent classes of simple V-modules.*

(6) *Let M be a $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module such that $M = \bigoplus_{h \in P(M)} M_{(h)}$ where $M_{(h)} = \{m \in M \mid (T(0) - h)^n m = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$. Then M is a V-module if and only if* $\dim M_{(h)} < \infty$ *for all h.*

(7) *For any V-module M there exists a composition series;*

$$0 = F_0 M \subset F_1 M \subset \ldots \subset F_n M = M$$

where $F_i M\,(0 \leq i \leq n)$ are V-modules and $F_i M/F_{i-1} M\,(1 \leq i \leq n)$ are simple V-modules.

Proof. The statements (1) and (2) follows from Proposition 3.3.2 (1). We give proofs for the statement (3)-(6). The existence of a composition series (7) can be proved by the standard argument.

(3) Suppose that $\mathcal{HW}(M) \cap M_{(h)} \neq 0$. Then there exists a simple $A_0(V)$-submodule of $\mathcal{HW}(M)$ on which $T(0)$ acts as $h\,\mathrm{id}$. However the number of such an h is finite since $A_0(V)$ is finite dimensional.

(4) Since W is simple $A_0(V)$-module $T(0) = h\,\mathrm{id}_W$ for some $h \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $M(W) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{h+n}$ where $M_{h'} = \{m \in M \mid T(0)m = h'm\ \}$. Let N be a proper V-submodule of $M(W)$. Then $N = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} N_{h+n}$ otherwise $N = M(W)$ since W is simple, so that the sum of proper V-submodules is again proper. We now set $N(W)$ to be the sum of all proper V-submodules of $M(W)$. Then $N(W)$ is the unique maximal proper V-submodule of $M(W)$.

(5) Let M be a simple V-module and W be a simple $A_0(V)$-module in M; such a simple module exists as shown in (3). Since M is simple we see that the canonical $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module homomorphism $\mathcal{U}(V) \otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} W \to M \to 0$ is exact. The kernel of this sequence is the maximal proper $\mathcal{U}(V)$-submodule since M is simple, and then $L(W) \cong M$.

(6) We suppose that $M = \bigoplus_{h \in P(M)} M_{(h)}$, $\dim M_{(h)} < \infty$. For any $m \in M_{(h)}$ we see that $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)m \subset \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{(h-n)}$, and that $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)m$ is finite dimensional since $M_{(h-n)} = 0$ for sufficiently large n.

Let S be the maximal finite set of complex numbers such that each of whose elements is a generalized eigenvalue of $T(0)$ on a finite dimensional $A_0(V)$-module. We set $W = \bigoplus_{h \in P(M) \cap S} M_{(h)}$, and let N be the $\mathcal{U}(V)$-submodule of M generated by W. Since the V-submodule N is finitely generated it suffices to show that $M = N$. We now suppose that N is a proper $\mathcal{U}(V)$-submodule of M. By definition $M/N = \bigoplus_{h \in P(M) \setminus S} (M/N)_{(h)}$. Then there exists a nonzero $A_0(V)$-module in M/N, and we see that $P(M/N) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. □

4 Duality

Let M be a V-module and M^* be its graded dual. Following Frenkel-Huang-Lepowsky [FHL] the $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$-module structure on M^* is introduced. It is proved that the graded dual M^* becomes a V-module in our sense if V is C_2-finite.

4.1 Anti-automorphism for current Lie algebras

We define a linear map $\theta : \mathfrak{g}(V)^f \to \mathfrak{g}(V)^f$ by setting

$$\theta(J_n(v)) = (-1)^{\Delta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} J_{-n}(T(1)^j v) \quad \text{for } v \in V_{\Delta} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proposition 4.1.1. *The linear map $\theta : \mathfrak{g}(V)^f \to \mathfrak{g}(V)^f$ is an anti-Lie algebra involution.*

In the following we will prove the proposition. The fact that θ defines a well-defined linear map is not obvious; one has to show that θ preserves the relation $J_n(T(-1)v) = -(n+\Delta)J_n(v)$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. But it follows from the formula $[T(1)^j, T(-1)] = 2jT(1)^{j-1}T(0) - j(j-1)T(1)^{j-1}$; note that the set $\{T(-1), T(0), T(1)\}$ forms the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$.

We set $J^\theta(v,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \theta(J_n(v))z^{-n-\Delta}$ so that $J^\theta(v,z) = J(e^{zT(1)}(-z^{-2})^{T(0)}v, z^{-1})$. The fact that θ is an anti-Lie algebra homomorphism is a consequence of the formal conjugation formula due to [FHL, Lemma 5.2.3]:

Lemma 4.1.2. (1) *As elements of* $\mathfrak{g}(V)[[z,z^{-1}]]$

$$\mu^{T(0)}J(v,z)\mu^{-T(0)} = J(\mu^{T(0)}v, \mu z) \quad \textit{for} \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

(2) *As elements of* $\mathfrak{g}(V)[[z,z^{-1},t\,]]$

$$e^{tT(1)}J(v,z)e^{-tT(1)} = J\left(e^{t(1-tz)T(1)}(1-tz)^{-2T(0)}v, z/(1-tz)\right),$$

where the binomial expression in the right hand side is expanded as

$$(1-tz)^n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^j\binom{n}{j}t^jz^j \quad \textit{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We will prove the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
[J^\theta(v_2,z_2),&\theta(J_m(v_1))] \\
&= \operatorname*{Res}_{z_1=z_2} J^\theta(J(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2)z_1^{m+\Delta_1-1}\,dz_1 \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1}\binom{m+\Delta_1-1}{j}J^\theta(J_{j-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2,z_2)z_2^{m+\Delta_1-j-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Then applying the commutator formula to the right hand side we see that

$$[\theta(J_n(v_2)),\theta(J_m(v_1))] = \theta([J_m(v_1),J_n(v_2)]),$$

i.e., the linear map θ is an anti-homomorphism.

To prove (4.1) we first note that that the commutator formula is equivalent to the following formal equation in $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f[[z_1,z_1^{-1},z_2,z_2^{-1}]]$

$$[J_m(v_1),J(v_2,z_2)] = \operatorname*{Res}_{z_1=z_2} J(J(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2)z_1^{m+\Delta_1-1}\,dz_1,$$

where the right hand side is defined by $\operatorname*{Res}_{w=0} J(J(v_1,w)v_2,z_2)(z_2+w)^{m+\Delta_1-1}\,dw$. We now see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[J^\theta(v_2, z_2), \theta(J_m(v_1))] \\
&= (-1)^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2} \sum_{i,\,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!j!} z_2^{j-2\Delta_2} [J(T(1)^j v_2, z_2^{-1}), J_{-m}(T(1)^i v_1)] \\
&= (-1)^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2+1} \sum_{i,\,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_2^{j-2\Delta_2}}{i!j!} \operatorname*{Res}_{z_1=z_2^{-1}} J(J(T(1)^i v_1, z_1 - z_2^{-1}) T(1)^j v_2, z_2^{-1}) z_1^{-m+\Delta_1-i-1}\, dz_1 \\
&= (-1)^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2+1} z_2^{-2\Delta_2} \operatorname*{Res}_{z_1=z_2^{-1}} J(J(e^{z_1^{-1}T(1)} v_1, z_1 - z_2^{-1}) e^{z_2 T(1)} v_2, z_2^{-1}) z_1^{-m+\Delta_1-1}\, dz_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the conjugation formula ($z = z_2$, $t = z_2^{-1}(1 - z_1/z_2)$) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
J^\theta(J(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2, z_2) &= (-1)^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2} z_2^{-2\Delta_1-2\Delta_2} J(e^{z_2T(1)} J(v_1, z_2^{-1}(1 - z_1/z_2))v_2, z_2^{-1}) \\
&= (-1)^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2} z_1^{-2\Delta_1} z_2^{-2\Delta_2} J(J(e^{z_1T(1)}v_1, z_1^{-1} - z_2^{-1}) e^{z_2T(1)} v_2, z_2^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We now get the desired formula after the change of variables $z_1 \to z_1^{-1}$.

By very definition of $J^\theta(v, z)$ we see that

$$(J^\theta)^\theta(v, z) = J(e^{zT(1)}(-z^{-2})^{T(0)} e^{z^{-1}T(1)}(-z^2)^{T(0)} v, z),$$

and that $(J^\theta)^\theta(v, z) = J(v, z)$ by $(-z^2)^{T(0)} e^{zT(1)} (-z^2)^{-T(0)} = e^{-z^{-1}T(1)}$ (see [FHL, 5.3.1]), so $\theta^2(J_n(v)) = J_n(v)$, i.e., θ is an involution.

4.2 Duality operation for modules

Let V be a C_2-finite chiral vertex operator algebra. Recall that any V-module M has the decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{h \in P(M)} M_{(h)}$ where $\dim M_{(h)} < \infty$ for all $h \in P(M)$. We set $D(M) = \bigoplus_{h \in P(M)} M_{(h)}^*$ and introduce a $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$-module structure on $D(M)$ by $\langle J_n(v)\varphi, m\rangle = \langle \varphi, \theta(J_n(v))m\rangle$ for all $\varphi \in D(M)$ and $m \in M$.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let V be a C_2-finite chiral vertex operator algebra.*

(1) The $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$-module structure on $D(M)$ is uniquely extended to a $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module structure, and $D(M)$ is a V-module.

(2) $D : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(V) \to \mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(V)$ is a contravariant functor such that $D(D(M)) = M$ for any $M \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(V))$.

By Remark 3.2.9 it suffices to verify the $\mathcal{S}_2$-symmetry, the operator product property and $J_0(|0\rangle) = \mathrm{id}$. Then $D(M)$ is a V-module by Theorem 3.3.5 (6). Let $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$. Using the $\mathcal{S}_2$-symmetry of a V-modules we see that the formal power series $\langle m^*|J^\theta(v_1, z_1)J^\theta(v_2, z_2)|m\rangle \in \mathbb{C}[[z_1, z_1^{-1}, z_2, z_2^{-1}]]$ absolutely converges on the domain $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$, and is analytically continued to a rational function on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, whose poles are located at $z_1 = z_2$ and $z_i = 0$, $z_j = \infty\,(i, j = 1, 2)$, and that $\mathcal{S}_2$-symmetry follows from the one of the V-module M. The operator product property

$$\langle m^* | J^\theta(v_1, z_1) J^\theta(v_2, z_2) | m\rangle = \langle m^* | J^\theta(J(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2, z_2) | m\rangle$$

on $0 < |z_1 - z_2| < |z_1|$ also holds by virtue of

$$e^{z_2 T(1)}(-z_2^{-2})^{T(0)} J(v_1, z_1 - z_2)(-z_2^{-2})^{-T(0)} e^{-z_2 T(1)} = J\left(e^{z_1 T(1)}(-z_1^{-2})^{T(0)} v_1, z_1^{-1} - z_2^{-1}\right),$$

which is derived by Lemma 4.1.2, and the operator product property for (J, M). Finally $\theta(J_0(|0\rangle)) = J_0(|0\rangle)$ so that $J_0(|0\rangle) = \mathrm{id}$ on $D(M)$.

Remark 4.2.2. The operator product property gives rise to the identity

$$J^\theta(J^{\leq 0}(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2, z_2) = J^{\theta, > 0}(v_1, z_1) J^\theta(v_2, z_2) + J^\theta(v_2, z_2) J^{\theta, \leq 0}(v_1, z_1)$$

on the domain $0 < |z_1 - z_2| < |z_1|$, $|z_2|$.

5 Conformal blocks

5.1 Meromorphic forms and Lie algebras

Let $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the projective line and z be its canonical affine coordinate. We denote by $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*))$ the vector space of global meromorphic $(1 - \Delta)$-forms on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and set $\mathcal{H}(V)^{(1)} = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*))$ and $\mathcal{H}(V)^{(0)} = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{-\Delta}(*))$. Define a linear map $\nabla : \mathcal{H}(V)^{(0)} \to \mathcal{H}(V)^{(1)}$ by

$$v \otimes f(z)(dz)^{-\Delta} \longmapsto T(-1)v \otimes f(z)(dz)^{-\Delta} + v \otimes \frac{df(z)}{dz}(dz)^{1-\Delta} \quad (v \in V_\Delta),$$

and set $\mathfrak{g}(V; \mathbb{P}^1, *) = \mathcal{H}(V)^{(1)} / \nabla \mathcal{H}(V)^{(0)}$. Introduce a bilinear operation $[\ ,\ ] : \mathcal{H}(V)^{(1)} \to \mathcal{H}(V)^{(1)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[v_1 \otimes f_1(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta_1}, v_2 \otimes f_2(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta_2}] \\
&\qquad\qquad = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} J_{m-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2 \otimes \frac{d^m f_1(z)}{dz^m} f_2(z)(dz)^{2-\Delta_1-\Delta_2+m}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the exactly same argument given in the proof of Proposition 2.1.1 we get:

Proposition 5.1.1. *The vector space $\mathfrak{g}(V; \mathbb{P}^1, *)$ is a Lie algebra with the bracket $[\ ,\ ]$.*

For any point $w \in \mathbb{P}^1$ we define $\xi_w = z - w$ for $w \neq \infty$ and $\xi_\infty = z$, and set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_w = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}((\xi_w) & (w \neq \infty), \\ \mathbb{C}((\xi_\infty^{-1}) & (w = \infty). \end{cases}$$

We note that the local parameter ξ_∞ has the pole at $z = \infty$ of order 1.

Definition 5.1.2. (1) For any $w \in \mathbb{P}^1$ we define the linear map $i_w : H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^\Delta(*)) \to \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_w(d\xi_w)^\Delta$ by taking the Laurent expansion at $z = w$ in terms of the variable ξ_w. We often use the notation $i_w f(z)(dz)^\Delta = f_w(\xi_w)(d\xi_w)^\Delta$.

(2) For any $w \in \mathbb{P}^1 \backslash \{\infty\}$ we define the linear map $j_w : \mathfrak{g}(V; \mathbb{P}^1, *) \to \mathfrak{g}(V)$ by $v \otimes f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta} \mapsto v \otimes f_w(\xi_w)(d\xi_w)^{1-\Delta}$, while for $w = \infty$ we define the linear map j_∞ by $v \otimes f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta} \mapsto -\theta\left(v \otimes f_\infty(\xi_\infty)(d\xi_\infty)^{1-\Delta}\right)$, where θ is the anti-Lie algebra involution of $\mathfrak{g}(V)^f$ defined in § 4.1. More precisely, let $f_\infty(\xi_\infty) = \sum_{n \le n_0} f_{\infty,n}\, \xi_\infty^{n+\Delta-1}$. Then we define

$$j_\infty\left(v \otimes f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta}\right) = -\sum_{n \le n_0} f_{\infty,n} \theta\left(J_n(v)\right).$$

Recall that $\theta\left(J_n(v)\right) = (-1)^\Delta J_{-n}(e^{T(1)}v)$ so that the infinite sum in the previous text defines an element of $\mathfrak{g}(V)$.

Proposition 5.1.3. *For any $w \in \mathbb{P}^1$ the linear map $j_w : \mathfrak{g}(V; \mathbb{P}^1, *) \to \mathfrak{g}(V)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.*

Remark 5.1.4. Let $v \in V_\Delta$, $f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*))$ and M be a V-module. Then

$$j_\infty\left(v \otimes f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta}\right) u = \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_\infty = \infty} J^\theta\left(v, \xi_\infty\right) f_\infty(\xi_\infty) u \, d\xi_\infty$$

for all $u \in M$ since $\operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_\infty=\infty} g(\xi_\infty)d\xi_\infty = -g_{-1}$ for $g(\xi_\infty) = \sum_{n \ll 0} g_n\, \xi_\infty^n \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_\infty$.

5.2 Space of covacua and conformal blocks

Let $A = \{1, 2, \ldots, N, \infty\}$, and fix a set of distinct $N+1$ points $w_a \in \mathbb{P}^1\, (a \in A)$ with $w_\infty = \infty$, which is denoted by $w_A = (w_a)_{a \in A}$. We often identify the index set A with the set of distinct points w_A by the correspondence $a \leftrightarrow w_a$. As in § 5.1 we write $\xi_a = z - w_a\, (a \neq \infty)$ and $\xi_\infty = z$.

Let $M^a\, (a \in A)$ be V-modules. Define $\mathfrak{g}_A(V) = \bigoplus_{a \in A} \mathfrak{g}(V)_a$, where each $\mathfrak{g}(V)_a\, (a \in A)$ is a copy of the current Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V)$. The vector space $\mathfrak{g}_A(V)$ is naturally a Lie algebra, and the tensor product vector space $M_A = \bigotimes_{a \in A} M^a$ becomes a $\mathfrak{g}_A(V)$-module by $\rho_A : \mathfrak{g}_A(V) \to \operatorname{End} M_A$, where $\rho_A = \sum_{a \in A} \rho_a$ and $\rho_a\, (a \in A)$ is the action of $\mathfrak{g}(V)_a$ on the a-th component of M_A.

Let $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A))$ be the vector space of global meromorphic $(1-\Delta)$-forms on $\mathbb{P}^1$, whose poles are only located at $w_a\, (a \in A)$. We set

$$\mathfrak{g}(V; \mathbb{P}^1, *w_A) = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A)) / \nabla\left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{-\Delta}(*w_A))\right).$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}(V;\mathbb{P}^1,*w_A)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(V;\mathbb{P}^1,*)$, and the linear map

$$j_{w_a}:\mathfrak{g}(V;\mathbb{P}^1,*w_A)\to\mathfrak{g}(V)_a$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism for all $a\in A$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)$ the image of the Lie algebra homomorphism $j_{w_A}=\sum_{a\in A}j_{w_a}:\mathfrak{g}(V;\mathbb{P}^1,*w_A)\to\mathfrak{g}_A(V)$, which is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_A(V)$.

Definition 5.2.1. We call the vector space $\mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)=M_A/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M_A$ the *space of covacua at w_A*, and call the dual space $\mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A)=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A),\mathbb{C})$ the *space of conformal blocks at w_A*.

5.3 Systems of current correlation functions

We give the definition of system of current correlation functions, and state several properties of them. Let $A=\{1,2,\ldots,N,\infty\}$ and fix $w_A=(w_a)_{a\in A}\in(\mathbb{P}^1)^{N+1}$ such that $w_a\neq w_b\,(a\neq b)$ with $w_\infty=\infty$. For any nonnegative integer m we set $[0]=\emptyset$, and $[m]=\{1,\ldots,m\}$ for $m\geq 1$. For all $(z_i)_{i\in[m]}\in(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ we define divisors $D_{a,i}=\{z_i=w_a\}$ and $D_{i,j}=\{z_i=z_j\}\,(i\neq j)$. Let $M^a\,(a\in A)$ be V-modules and set $M_{[m],A}=\overbrace{V\otimes\cdots\otimes V}^{m}\otimes M_A$.

Definition 5.3.1. The set $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ is called a *system of current correlation functions at w_A* if it satisfies the following set of axioms:

(a) Φ_m is a multilinear functional on $M_{[m],A}$ with values on meromorphic forms on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$, i.e., for any $v_i\in V_{\Delta_i}\,(1\leq i\leq m)$ and $u\in M_A$ its value $\Phi_m(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m v_i\otimes u)$ is a meromorphic $(\Delta_1,\ldots,\Delta_m)$-form on $(z_i)_{i\in[m]}\in(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$. In particular $\Phi_0\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_A,\mathbb{C})$, which is called the *initial term* of the system.

We denote $\Phi_m(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m v_i\otimes u)$ by $\Phi_m(v_1,\ldots,v_m;u)_{(z_1,\ldots,z_m)}$ when we need to indicate the dependence of the variables $z_1,\ldots,z_m$.

(b) $\Phi_m(v_1,\ldots,v_m;u)_{(z_1,\ldots,z_m)}\in H^0((\mathbb{P}^1)^m,\Omega^{\boxtimes_{i=1}^m\Delta_i}(\sum_{i,a}*D_{a,i}+\sum_{i\neq j}*D_{i,j}))$ for all positive integers m.

(c) Φ_m is $\mathcal{S}_m$-invariant, i.e., for any $\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_m$

$$\Phi_m(v_{\sigma(1)},\,v_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,v_{\sigma(m)};u)_{(z_{\sigma(1)},z_{\sigma(2)}\cdots,z_{\sigma(m)})}=\Phi_m(v_1,\,v_2,\ldots,v_m;u)_{(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_m)}.$$

(d) The meromorphic form $\Phi_{m+1}(v,v_1,\ldots,v_m;u)_{(z,z_1,\ldots,z_m)}\,(v\in V_\Delta)$ has the following Laurent expansion at $z=w_a\,(a\in A)$ and $z=z_i\,(1\leq i\leq m)$:

$$\Phi_{m+1}(v,v_1,\ldots,v_m;u)_{(z,z_1,\ldots,z_m)}$$

$$=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Phi_m\left(v_1,\ldots,v_m;\rho_a(J_n(v))u\right)_{(z_1,\ldots,z_m)}(z-w_a)^{-n-\Delta}(dz)^\Delta & (a\neq\infty),\\ \displaystyle\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Phi_m\left(v_1,\ldots,v_m;\rho_\infty(\theta(J_n(v)))u\right)_{(z_1,\ldots,z_m)}z^{-n-\Delta}\,(dz)^\Delta & (a=\infty),\end{cases}$$

and

$$\Phi_{m+1}(v, v_1, \dots, v_m; u)_{(z, z_1, \dots, z_m)} \\ = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_m\left(v_1, \dots, J_n(v)v_i, \dots, v_m; u\right)_{(z_1, \dots, z_m)} (z - z_i)^{-n-\Delta} (dz)^{\Delta}.$$

(e) For any $1 \le i \le m$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \Phi_m(v_1, \dots, v_m; u)_{(z_1, \dots, z_m)} dz_i = \Phi_m(v_1, \dots, T(-1)v_i, \dots, v_m; u)_{(z_1, \dots, z_m)}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{or}_{w_A}(M_A)$ the set of all systems of current correlation functions at w_A.

Note 5.3.2. We often denote $\Phi_m(v_1, \dots, v_m; u)_{(z_1, \dots, z_m)}$ by

$$\langle \Phi | J(v_1, z_1) \cdots J(v_m, z_m) | u \rangle (dz_1)^{\Delta_1} \cdots (dz_m)^{\Delta_m}.$$

Theorem 5.3.3. *The linear map* $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{or}_{w_A}(M_A) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_A, \mathbb{C})$ $(\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \mapsto \Phi_0)$ *induces an isomorphism* $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{or}_{w_A}(M_A) \cong \mathcal{V}^{\dagger}_{w_A}(M_A)$.

Proof. We first show that for any $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{C}\mathrm{or}_{w_A}(M_A)$ the initial term Φ_0 belongs to the space of conformal blocks at w_A, i.e., $\Phi_0(\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M_A) = 0$. Let $v \in V_{\Delta}$ and $f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A))$. By the property (d) we see that $\Phi_1(v; u)_z = \Phi_0(\rho_a(J(v, \xi_a))u)(d\xi_a)^{\Delta}$ and $\Phi_1(v; u)_z = \Phi_0(\rho_\infty(J^{\theta}(v, \xi_\infty))u)(d\xi_\infty)^{\Delta}$ in a neighborhood of $z = w_a$ $(a \in A \setminus \{\infty\})$ and $z = \infty$, respectively. So using Remark 5.1.4 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_0(\rho_A\left(j_{w_A}(v \otimes f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta})\right) u) \\ &= \sum_{a \in A \setminus \{0\}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_a = 0} \Phi_0(\rho_a\left(J(v, \xi_a)\right) u) f_a(\xi_a)\, d\xi_a + \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_\infty = \infty} \Phi_0\left(\rho_\infty\left(J^{\theta}\left(v, \xi_\infty\right)\right) u\right) f_\infty(\xi_\infty)\, d\xi_\infty \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} \operatorname*{Res}_{z = w_a} \Phi_1(v; u)_z f(z)\, (dz)^{1-\Delta} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

since $\Phi_1(v, z) f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta}$ is a meromorphic 1-form whose poles are located only at $z = w_a$ $(a \in A)$, and the total sum of residues is zero. Thus the linear map $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{or}_{w_A}(M_A) \to \mathcal{V}^{\dagger}_{w}(M_A)$ is well-defined, and is obviously injective; the Laurent expansion uniquely determines the meromorphic form.

In order to prove that the linear map is surjective we need the notions of 1-point and 2-point current correlation functions. The proof of the surjectivity shall be given in § 5.7 after we study these current correlation functions. ☐

5.4 1-point current correlation functions

For any $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}^{\dagger}_{w_A}(M_A)$ we will construct $\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)}$ for the system of current correlation functions with the initial term Φ.

We set $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_a = \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{w_a}$ $(a \in A)$, and define the pairing between $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}^{\Delta}_A = \bigoplus_{a\in A} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_a(d\xi_a)^{\Delta}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}^{1-\Delta}_A = \bigoplus_{a\in A} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_a(d\xi_a)^{1-\Delta}$ by

$$\langle \sum_{a\in A} f_a(\xi_a)(d\xi_a)^{\Delta}, \sum_{a\in A} g_a(\xi_a)(d\xi_a)^{1-\Delta} \rangle = \sum_{a\in A} \mathrm{Res}_a\, f_a(\xi_a) g_a(\xi_a) d\xi_a$$

where

$$\mathrm{Res}_a\, f_a(\xi_a) d\xi_a = \begin{cases} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_a=0} f_a(\xi_a) d\xi_a & (a \neq \infty), \\ \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_\infty=\infty} f_\infty(\xi_\infty) d\xi_\infty & (a = \infty). \end{cases}$$

Recall that

$$i_{w_a} : H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{\Delta}(*w_A)) \to \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_a(d\xi_a)^{\Delta} \text{ and } i_{w_a} : H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A)) \to \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_a(d\xi_a)^{1-\Delta}$$

are linear maps defined by the Laurent expansion. We set $i_{w_A} = \bigoplus_{a\in A} i_{w_a}$. The following is well-known as the *strong residue theorem*:

Proposition 5.4.1. (1) *The pairing* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ *is a complete dual pairing between complete topological vector spaces* $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}^{\Delta}_A$ *and* $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}^{1-\Delta}_A$.

(2) $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}^{\Delta}_A$ *and* $g \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}^{1-\Delta}_A$ *belongs to* $i_{w_A} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{\Delta}(*w_A))$ *and* $i_{w_A} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A))$ *if and only if* $\langle f, i_{w_A} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A))\rangle = 0$ *and* $\langle i_{w_A} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{\Delta}(*w_A)), g \rangle = 0$, *respectively.*

Proposition 5.4.2. *For any* $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}^{\dagger}_{w_A}(M_A)$, $v \in V_{\Delta}$ *and* $u \in M_A$ *there exists a unique element* $\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{\Delta}(*w_A))$ *such that*

$$i_{w_a}\left(\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)}\right) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi\left(\rho_a\left(J_n(v)\right)u\right)\xi_a^{-n-\Delta}(d\xi_a)^{\Delta} & (a \neq \infty), \\ \displaystyle\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi\left(\rho_\infty\left(\theta(J_n(v))\right)u\right)\xi_\infty^{-n-\Delta}(d\xi_\infty)^{\Delta} & (a = \infty). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us denote the right hand sides of the equality by $g_a(\xi_a)$ for $a \in A$. Using

Remark 5.1.4 we see that for any $f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \sum_{a\in A} g_a(\xi_a), i_{w_A}(f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta})\rangle \\
&= \sum_{a\in A\backslash\{\infty\}} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_a=0} \Phi(\rho_a(J_n(v))u)\xi_a^{-n-\Delta} f_a(\xi_a)\, d\xi_a \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_\infty=\infty} \Phi\left(\rho_\infty\left(\theta(J_n(v))\right)u\right)\xi_\infty^{-n-\Delta}\, f_\infty(\xi_\infty)\, d\xi_\infty \\
&= \sum_{a\in A\backslash\{\infty\}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_a=0} \Phi\left(\rho_a(J(v,\xi_a))uf_a(\xi_a)\right) + \operatorname*{Res}_{\xi_\infty=0} \Phi\left(\rho_\infty(J^\theta(v,\xi_\infty))uf_\infty(\xi_\infty)\right) \\
&= \Phi\left(\rho_A\left(j_{w_A}\left(v\otimes f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta}\right)\right)u\right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We now see $\sum_{a\in A} g_a(\xi_a) \in i_{w_A} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A))$ by Proposition 5.4.1 (2). □

Remark 5.4.3. (1) The 1-point current correlation function $\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)}$ associated to a conformal block Φ is a meromorphic Δ-form on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and its poles are located at $z = w_a\,(a\in A)$.

(2) In terms of the nonabelian current $J(v,z)$ the proposition is also stated that in a neighborhood of $z = w_a\,(a \in A)$

$$\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)} = \begin{cases} \Phi\left(\rho_a\left(J(v, z-w_a)\right)u\right)(dz)^\Delta & (a\neq\infty), \\ \Phi\left(\rho_\infty\left(J^\theta(v,z)\right)u\right)(dz)^\Delta & (a=\infty). \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Definition 5.4.4. Let Φ be an element of $\mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A)$, and $v\in V_\Delta$, $u\in M_A$. The form $\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^\Delta(*w_A))$ in the proposition is called the *1-point current correlation function associated to the conformal block* Φ. To indicate that $\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)}$ is uniquely determined by Φ we often use the notation

$$\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)} = \langle\Phi|J(v,z)|u\rangle(dz)^\Delta.$$

Remark 5.4.5. Let $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ be a system of current correlation functions at w_A. Then the initial term Φ_0 is a conformal block, i.e., $\Phi_0\in\mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A)$ by the proof of Theorem 5.3.3, and by definition Φ_1 is the 1-point current correlation function associated to the conformal block Φ_0; for the property (e) see the proof of Proposition 5.7.1.

Proposition 5.4.6. *Let $w_A = (w_a)_{a\in A} \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^{N+1}$ be a set of distinct points with $w_\infty=\infty$, and $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ be a system of current correlation functions at w_A. Then for any $v\in V_\Delta$ and $u \in M_A$*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)} = &\sum_{a\in A\backslash\{\infty\}} \sum_{n\geq -\Delta+1} \Phi_0\left(\rho_a\left(J_n(v)\right)u\right)(z-w_a)^{-n-\Delta}(dz)^\Delta \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \Phi_0\left(\rho_\infty\left(\theta(J_{-n-\Delta}(v))\right)u\right)z^n(dz)^\Delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum for the index n is finite, in particular, $\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)}(dz)^{-\Delta}$ is a rational function of z.

Proof. The singular parts of the Laurent expansions of both hand sides are same by the property (d). Then we conclude that both hand sides being multiplied by $(dz)^{-\Delta}$ give the same rational function because the difference of them is holomorphic on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and zero at $z=\infty$. $\square$

5.5 2-point current correlation functions

Our next aim is to construct Φ_2 for the system of current correlation functions with the initial term Φ.

Definition 5.5.1. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}^{\dagger}_{w_A}(M_A)$ be a conformal block at w_A. The *2-point current correlation function* $\Phi_2(v_1,v_2;u)_{(z_1,z_2)}$ associated to Φ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2(v_1,v_2;u)_{(z_1,z_2)} = &\sum_{n\geq -\Delta_1+1} \Phi_1(J_n(v_1)v_2;u)_{(z_2)}(z_1-z_2)^{-n-\Delta_1}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}\\ &+\sum_{a\in A\setminus\{\infty\}}\sum_{n\geq -\Delta_1+1} \Phi_1(v_2;\rho_a(J_n(v_1))u)_{(z_2)}(z_1-w_a)^{-n-\Delta_1}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}\\ &+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Phi_1(v_2;\rho_\infty(\theta(J_{-n-\Delta_1}(v_1)))u)_{(z_2)}z_1^n(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}\end{aligned}$$

for any $v_1\in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$. The 2-point correlation function $\Phi_2(v_1,v_2;u)_{(z_1,z_2)}$ is also written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2(v_1,v_2;u)_{(z_1,z_2)} = &\Phi_1(J^{>0}(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2;u)_{(z_2)}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}\\ &+\sum_{a\in A\setminus\{\infty\}}\Phi_1(v_2;\rho_a(J^{>0}(v_1,z_1-w_a))u)_{(z_2)}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}\\ &+\Phi_1(v_2;\rho_\infty(J^{\theta,\leq 0}(v_1,z_1))u)_{(z_2)}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1},\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $J^{>0}(v,z)=\sum_{n\geq -\Delta+1}J_n(v)z^{-n-\Delta}$ and $J^{\leq 0}(v,z)=\sum_{n\leq -\Delta}J_n(v)z^{-n-\Delta}$.

Remark 5.5.2. The 2-point current correlation function $\Phi_2(v_1,v_2;u)_{(z_1,z_2)}$ is a meromorphic (Δ_1,Δ_2)-form of z_1 and z_2, and its poles are located at $z_1=z_2$, $z_i=w_a\,(i=1,2,a\in A)$.

Proposition 5.5.3. *For $v_1\in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2\in V_{\Delta_2}$ the Laurent expansion of the 2-point current correlation function $\Phi_2(v_1,v_2;u)_{(z_1,z_2)}$ associated to a conformal block $\Phi\in\mathcal{V}^{\dagger}_{w_A}(M_A)$ is as follows:*

(1) *In a neighborhood of $z_1=z_2$*

$$\Phi_2(v_1,v_2;u)_{(z_1,z_2)}=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Phi_1(J_n(v_1)v_2;u)_{(z_2)}(z_1-z_2)^{-n-\Delta_1}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}.$$

(2) *In a neighborhood of* $z_1 = w_a \, (a \in A)$

$$\Phi_2(v_1, v_2; u)_{(z_1,z_2)} = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi_1(v_2; \rho_a(J_n(v_1))u)_{(z_2)}(z_1 - w_a)^{-n-\Delta_1}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1} & (a \neq \infty), \\ \displaystyle\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi_1\left(v_2; \rho_\infty\left(\theta(J_n(v_1))\right)u\right)_{(z_2)} \, z_1^{-n-\Delta_1}\,(dz_1)^{\Delta_1} & (a = \infty). \end{cases}$$

Remark 5.5.4. The statements (1) and (2) in Proposition 5.5.3 respectively means:

(1) In a neighborhood of $z_1 = z_2$

$$\Phi_2(v_1, v_2; u)_{(z_1,z_2)} = \Phi_1(J(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2; u)_{(z_2)}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}.$$

(2) In a neighborhood of $z_1 = w_a \, (a \in A)$

$$\Phi_2(v_1, v_2; u)_{(z_1,z_2)} = \begin{cases} \Phi_1(v_2; \rho_a(J(v_1, z_1 - w_a))u)_{(z_2)}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1} & (a \neq \infty), \\ \\ \Phi_1(v_2; \rho_\infty(J^\theta(v_1, z_1))u)_{(z_2)}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1} & (a = \infty). \end{cases}$$

We now give a proof of the statement (1) of the proposition. By (5.2) the first statement is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_1(J^{\leq 0}(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2; u)_{(z_2)} \\ &\quad = \Phi_1(v_2; \rho_\infty(J^{\theta,\leq 0}(v_1, z_1))u)_{(z_2)} + \sum_{a\in A\setminus\{\infty\}} \Phi_1(v_2; \rho_a(J^{>0}(v_1, z_1 - w_a))u)_{(z_2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

For any fixed z_1 both hand sides of (5.3) are meromorphic functions of z_2, whose poles are located at $z_2 = w_a \, (a \in A)$.

In order to prove (5.3) we show that both hand sides of (5.3) have the same singular part at the pole $z_2 = w_a \, (a \in A)$. Using (5.1) and (1.6) we see that the singular part of (5.3) at $z_2 = w_a \, (a \neq \infty)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi\left(\rho_a\left(J^{\leq 0}(v_1, z_1 - w_a)\right)u_a\right) \\ &\quad = \Phi\left(\rho_\infty\left(J^{\theta,\leq 0}(v_1, z_1)\right)u_a\right) + \sum_{b\in A\setminus\{a,\infty\}} \Phi\left(\rho_b\left(J^{>0}(v_1, z_1 - w_b)\right)u_a\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where $u_a = \rho_a\left(J^{>0}(v_2, z_2 - w_a)\right)u$, while using (5.1) and Remark 4.2.2 we see that the nonnegative power term of the Laurent expansion at $z_2 = \infty$ is

$$\Phi\left(\rho_\infty\left(J^{\theta,>0}(v_1, z_1)\right)u_\infty\right) = \sum_{b\in A\setminus\{\infty\}} \Phi\left(\rho_b\left(J^{>0}(v_1, z_1 - w_b)\right)u_\infty\right), \tag{5.5}$$

where $u_\infty = \rho_\infty\left(J^{\theta,\leq 0}(v_2, z_2)\right)u$. Now suppose that (5.4) and (5.5) hold. Then the both hand sides of (5.3) have the same singular part at the pole $z_2 = w_a \, (a \in A)$, and the difference of them is zero at $z_2 = \infty$, which shows (5.3). So it suffice to see:

Lemma 5.5.5. *Let* $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}^{\dagger}_{w_A}(M_A)$ *and* $u \in M_A$. *Then*

$$\Phi\left(\rho_\infty\left(J^{\theta,>0}(v,z)\right)u\right) = \sum_{b\in A\backslash\{\infty\}} \Phi\left(\rho_b\left(J^{>0}(v,z-w_b)\right)u\right), \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi\left(\rho_a\left(J^{\leq 0}(v,z-w_a)\right)u\right)\\ &\qquad = \Phi\left(\rho_\infty\left(J^{\theta,\leq 0}(v,z)\right)u\right) + \sum_{b\in A\backslash\{a,\infty\}} \Phi\left(\rho_b\left(J^{>0}(v,z-w_b)\right)u\right) \text{for } a \neq \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall the definition of the conformal block, i.e.,

$$\Phi(j_a(v\otimes\varphi)u) + \sum_{b\neq a,\infty} \Phi(j_b(v\otimes\varphi)u) + \Phi(j_\infty(v\otimes\varphi)u) = 0 \tag{5.6}$$

for all $v \in V_\Delta$ and $\varphi \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A))$. Then the first and second equality follows from (5.6) for $\varphi = z^{n+1}(dz)^{1-\Delta}$ $(n \geq 0)$ and $\varphi = (z-w_a)^{-n-1}(dz)^{1-\Delta}$ $(n \geq 0)$, respectively. □

The statement (2) of Proposition 5.5.3 can be proved in a very similar way by Lemma 5.5.5; here we use

$$J(J^{>0}(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2) = \begin{cases} [J(v_2,z_2), J^{\leq 0}(v_1,z_1)] & \text{for} \quad 0<|z_1|<|z_2|, \\ [J^{>0}(v_1,z_1), J(v_2,z_2)] & \text{for} \quad 0<|z_2|<|z_1|, \end{cases}$$

and

$$J^\theta(J^{>0}(v_1,z_1-z_2)v_2,z_2) = \begin{cases} [J^{\theta,\leq 0}(v_1,z_1), J^\theta(v_2,z_2)] & \text{for} \quad 0<|z_1|<|z_2|, \\ [J^\theta(v_2,z_2), J^{\theta,>0}(v_1,z_1)] & \text{for} \quad 0<|z_2|<|z_1|. \end{cases}$$

Remark 5.5.6. Let A be a finite set. In order to avoid complicated description of 1-point and 2-point functions we have so far considered sets of points $w_A \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ which contain the point ∞. In the case that $w_a \neq \infty$ for all $a \in A$ we just drop the terms z^n from its definition. More precisely the 1-point and 2-point current correlation function associated to Φ is respectively given by $\Phi_1(|0\rangle;u)_{(z)} = \Phi(u)$, $\Phi_2(|0\rangle, v_2; u)_{(z_1,z_2)} = \Phi_1(v_2;u)_{(z_2)}$, and for any $v \in V_\Delta$ $(\Delta \neq 0)$, $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ $(\Delta_1 \neq 0)$

$$\Phi_1(v;u)_{(z)} = \sum_{a\in A}\sum_{n\geq -\Delta+1} \Phi(\rho_a(J_n(v))u)(z-w_a)^{-n-\Delta}(dz)^\Delta \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2(v_1,v_2;u)_{(z_1,z_2)} = &\sum_{n\geq -\Delta_1+1} \Phi_1(J_n(v_1)v_2;u)_{(z_2)}(z_1-z_2)^{-n-\Delta_1}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}\\ &+\sum_{a\in A}\sum_{n\geq -\Delta_1+1} \Phi_1(v_2;\rho_a(J_n(v_1))u)_{(z_2)}(z_1-w_a)^{-n-\Delta_1}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

5.6 Propagation of vacua

Let $\tilde{A} = \{0\} \sqcup A$ and $w_{\tilde{A}} = (w_0, w_A)$, $w_A = (w_a)_{a\in A}$ where $w_0 \neq w_a$ for all $a \in A$, and set $M_{\tilde{A}} = V \otimes M_A$. The main aim of this subsection is to prove the following theorem which is called *the propagation of vacua*.

Theorem 5.6.1. *The linear map* $M_A \to M_{\tilde{A}}$ $(u \mapsto |0\rangle \otimes u)$ *induces a vector space isomorphism* $\mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A) \cong \mathcal{V}_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(M_{\tilde{A}})$.

Proof. We first show that the linear map $M_A \to M_{\tilde{A}}$ $(u \mapsto |0\rangle \otimes u)$ induce a linear map $\iota : \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A) \to \mathcal{V}_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(M_{\tilde{A}})$. To see this it suffice to prove that $|0\rangle \otimes u \in \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(V)M_{\tilde{A}}$ for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M_A$; using the fact that $j_{w_0}(v\otimes f)|0\rangle = 0$ if $f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta}$ is holomorphic at $z = w_0$ we see that $|0\rangle \otimes j_{w_A}(v \otimes f)u = j_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(v \otimes f)(|0\rangle \otimes u) \in \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(V)M_{\tilde{A}}$ for any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $f \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A))$.

In order to prove that the induced map ι is surjective we will show that for any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $u \in M_A$ there exists $f \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_{\tilde{A}}))$ such that $v \otimes u \equiv -|0\rangle \otimes j_{w_A}(v \otimes f)u$. Take f such that $j_{w_0}(f) = \left(\xi_0^{-1} + \text{higher order terms of } \xi_0\right)(d\xi_0)^{1-\Delta}$. Then

$$J_{-\Delta}(v)|0\rangle \otimes u = j_{w_0}(v \otimes f)|0\rangle \otimes u = j_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(v \otimes f)(|0\rangle \otimes u) - |0\rangle \otimes j_{w_A}(v \otimes f)u,$$

in other words, $v \otimes u = J_{-\Delta}(v)|0\rangle \otimes u \equiv -|0\rangle \otimes j_{w_A}(v \otimes f)u \mod \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(V)M_{\tilde{A}}$.

We now prove that the map ι is injective, which is equivalent to showing that the dual map $\iota^* : \mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(M_{\tilde{A}}) \to \mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A)$ is surjective, i.e., for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A)$ there exists $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(M_{\tilde{A}})$ such that $\tilde{\Phi}(|0\,\rangle \otimes u) = \Phi(u)$ for all $u \in M_A$. We define $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{\tilde{A}}, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(u_0 \otimes u) = \Phi_1(u_0; u)_{(w_0)}(dw_0)^{-\Delta} = \langle\Phi|J(u_0, w_0)|u\rangle$$

for any $u_0 \in V_\Delta$ and $u \in M_A$,where $\Phi_1(u_0; u)_{(z)}$ is the 1-point current correlation function associated to the conformal block Φ. It suffices to show that $\tilde{\Phi}$ induces an element in $\mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_{\tilde{A}}}(M_{\tilde{A}})$, i.e., $\tilde{\Phi}(j_{w_0}(v \otimes f)u_0 \otimes u) + \tilde{\Phi}(u_0 \otimes j_{w_A}(v \otimes f)u) = 0$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$ and $f(z)(dz)^{1-\Delta} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_{\tilde{A}}))$, which follows from Proposition 5.5.3 and Remark 5.5.4 as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\Phi|(J(j_0(v \otimes f)u_0, w_0)|u\rangle &= \operatorname*{Res}_{z=w_0} \langle\Phi|J(J(v, z-w_0)u_0, w_0)|u\rangle f(z)\,dz \\
&= \operatorname*{Res}_{z=w_0} \langle\Phi|J(v, z)J(u_0, w_0)|u\rangle f(z)\,dz \\
&= -\sum_{a\in A} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=w_a} \langle\Phi|J(v, z)J(u_0, w_0)|u\rangle f(z)\,dz \\
&= -\sum_{a\in A} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=w_a} \langle\Phi|J(u_0, w_0)|J(v, z-w_a)u\rangle f(z)\,dz \\
&= -\sum_{a\in A} \langle\Phi|J(u_0, w_0)|j_a(v \otimes f)u\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As a corollary we get the $\mathcal{S}_2$-symmetry of the 2-point current correlation functions:

Corollary 5.6.2. *Let* $v_i \in V_{\Delta_i}\,(i = 1, 2)$. *Then* $\Phi_2(v_1, v_2; u)_{(z_1,z_2)} = \Phi_2(v_2, v_1; u)_{(z_2,z_1)}$.

The 1-point current correlation function is a meromorphic Δ-form on $\mathbb{P}^1$, whose poles are located only at $z = w_a\,(a \in A)$. If $\infty \in A$ the 2-point function has poles only at $z_1 = z_2$ and $z_i = w_a\,(i = 1, 2, a \in A)$, while if $\infty \notin A$ the point $z_1 = \infty$ may be also a pole by (5.7). However, since the 2-point current correlation function is holomorphic at $z_2 = \infty$ it is also holomorphic at $z_1 = \infty$ by the $\mathcal{S}_2$-symmetry:

Proposition 5.6.3. *The 2-point current correlation function* $\Phi_2(v_1, v_2; u)$ *associated to a conformal block* $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A)$ *belongs to* $H^0((\mathbb{P}^1)^2, \Omega^{\Delta_1 \boxtimes \Delta_2}(*D_{1,2} + \sum_{i,a} *D_{i,a})$.

5.7 Construction of systems of current correlation functions

Using the propagation of vacua we will obtain the whole system of current correlation function $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ with a given initial term $\Phi_0 = \Phi \in \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)$.

Let m be a nonnegative integer. For any set of *distinct* m points $z_{[m]} = (z_i)_{i\in[m]} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $z_i \neq w_a\,(i \in [m], a \in A)$ we assign the space of covacua $\mathcal{V}_{w_A,[m]}(M_{[m],A})$. By the propagation of vacua there exists a canonical vector space isomorphism ι_m : $\mathcal{V}_{z_{[m]},w_A}(M_{[m],A}) \to \mathcal{V}_{z_{[m+1]},w_A}(M_{[m+1],A})$. Let $\bar{\Phi}_0 = \Phi \in \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)$. We define a system $\{\bar{\Phi}_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ by $\bar{\Phi}_{m+1} = \iota_m^{*-1}(\bar{\Phi}_m)$ for $m \geq 0$.

Proposition 5.7.1. *Let* $u \in M_A$, $v_i \in V_{\Delta_i}\,(i \in [m])$ *and* $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A)$. *Then*

$$\Phi_m = \bar{\Phi}_m(v_1, \ldots, v_m; u)_{(z_1,\ldots,z_m)}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1} \cdots (dz_m)^{\Delta_m}, \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots$$

is the system of current correlation functions at w_A *with the initial term* Φ.

Proof. The properties (a) and (d) are immediate consequences of the inductive definition of $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$. The property (b) follows from Proposition 5.6.3.

We now prove the $\mathcal{S}_m$-symmetry. On the one hand, using Corollary 5.6.2 and the inductive definition of Φ_m we see that

$$\Phi_m(v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_m; u)_{(z_1,z_2,z_3,\ldots,z_m)} = \Phi_m(v_2, v_1, v_3, \ldots, v_m; u)_{(z_2,z_1,z_3,\ldots,z_m)}.$$

On the other hand, at a neighborhood of $z_1 = z_j\,(j \neq 1)$ and $z_1 = w_a\,(a \in A)$ we have the Laurent expansions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_m(v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_m; u)_{(z_1,z_2,z_3,\ldots,z_m)} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi_{m-1}(v_2, \ldots, J_n(v_1)v_j, \ldots, v_m; u)_{(z_2,\ldots,z_m)}(z_1 - z_j)^{-n-\Delta_1}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}, \quad \text{and} \\
&\Phi_m(v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_m; u)_{(z_1,z_2,z_3,\ldots,z_m)} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi_{m-1}(v_2, \ldots, v_m; \rho_a(J_n(v_1))u)_{(z_2,\ldots,z_m)}(z_1 - w_a)^{-n-\Delta_1}(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The $\mathcal{S}_m$-symmetry now follows by induction on m.

To verify (e) it suffices to show the case $m = 1$, i.e.,

$$\partial/\partial z\, \Phi_1(v; u)_{(z)}\, dz = \Phi_1(T(-1)v; u)_{(z)}.$$

Since both hand sides are meromorphic $(\Delta + 1)$-forms on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with poles only at $z = w_a\,(a \in A)$ it is enough to see that these two have the same Laurent expansions at the poles; in a neighborhood of $z = w_a\,(a \in A)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1(T(-1)v; u)_{(z)} &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi(\rho_a(J_n(T(-1)v))u)(z - w_a)^{-n-\Delta-1}(dz)^{\Delta+1} \\ &= -\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (n + \Delta)\Phi(\rho_a(J_n(v))u)(z - w_a)^{-n-\Delta-1}(dz)^{\Delta+1} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Phi_1(v; u)_{(z)}\, dz.\end{aligned}$$

□

5.8 Finiteness of conformal blocks

Theorem 5.8.1. *Let V be a C_2-finite chiral vertex operator algebra. Let A be the finite set $A = \{\,1, 2, \ldots, N, \infty\,\}$ and $w_A = (w_a)_{a\in A}$ be a set of distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $w_\infty = \infty$. Let $M_A = \bigotimes_{a\in A} M^a$ where M^a is a V-module. Then the space of covacua $\mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)$ is finite dimensional.*

Proof. Let $M^a = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^a_{(h_i+n)}$ for all $a \in A$. We set

$$F_pM^a = \begin{cases} 0 & (p \le -1), \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \bigoplus_{n=0}^{p} M^a_{(h_i+n)} & (p \ge 0), \end{cases}$$

which define an increasing filtration on M^a and the induced filtration on $C_2(M^a)$. We note that $\operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet M^a \cong M^a$ and $\operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet C_2(M^a) \cong C_2(M^a)$. Since V is C_2-finite we see that $\operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet M^a / \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet C_2(M^a)$ is finite dimensional by Corollary 3.2.8.

Let us define an increasing filtration on M_A by

$$F_pM_A = \sum_{\sum p_a = p} \bigotimes_{a\in A} F_{p_a}M^a.$$

The filtration $F_pM_A\,(p \in \mathbb{Z})$ on M_A induces a filtration on $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M_A$, and then a filtration on $\mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)$. There exists a canonical exact sequence as vector spaces

$$0 \to \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M_A) \to \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet M_A \to \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A) \to 0\,,$$

in other words, $\operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A) \cong \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet M_A / \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M_A)$. Let us denote by π the canonical projection $\operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet M_A = \bigotimes_{a\in A} \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet M^a \to \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)$. We will show that π

induces a surjective linear map $\bigotimes_{a\in A}\operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet M^a/\operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet C_2(M^a) \to \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)$ so that $\operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)$ is finite dimensional. To this end it suffices to see that

$$\operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet M^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet C_2(M^a) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{gr}^F_\bullet M^\infty \subseteq \ker \pi \quad \text{for all } a \in A. \tag{5.8}$$

For any $a \in A$ we set

$$\varphi^a = \begin{cases} (z-w_a)^{-n+\Delta-1}(dz)^{1-\Delta} & \text{if } a \neq \infty, \\ z^{n+\Delta-1}(dz)^{1-\Delta} & \text{if } a = \infty. \end{cases}$$

If $n+\Delta-1 \geq 0$ then $\varphi^a \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_A))$ for all $a \in A$. For any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $m_A = \otimes_{b\in A} m_b \in M_A$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(j_A(v\otimes\varphi^a))(m_A) = m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes J_{-n}(v_a)m_a \otimes \cdots \otimes m_\infty \\ + \sum_{\substack{b\in A\\ b\neq a}} m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_b(v\otimes\varphi^a)m_b \otimes \cdots \otimes m_\infty\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where $v_a = v$ and $v_a = e^{T(1)}v$ for $a \neq \infty$ and $a = \infty$, respectively. We suppose that $n \geq \Delta$ and $m_A \in F_{p-n}M_A$. Then the second term of the right hand side of (5.9) belongs to $F_{p-1}M_A$ so that that

$$m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes J_{-n}(v_a)m_a \otimes \cdots \otimes m_\infty \in F_{p-1}M_A + F_p\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M_A.$$

So (5.8) immediately follows for $a \neq \infty$; the case $a = \infty$ is proved by using the identity $v = e^{T(1)}e^{-T(1)}v$. □

Remark 5.8.2. From the proof of the theorem we see that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A) < \infty$ if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M^a/C_1(M^a) < \infty$ for all $a \in A$ where $C_1(M)$ is the vector subspace which is linearly spanned by vectors $J_{-n}(v)m$ ($v \in V_\Delta$, $n \geq \Delta$) for all $m \in M$. However in the case of the space of conformal blocks over a higher genus compact Riemann surface even C_2-finite condition is not enough for the finiteness; one has to suppose C_n-finiteness for all $n \geq 2$, which is proved in Corollary 3.2.8.

5.9 2-point conformal blocks

Proposition 5.9.1. *Let $A = \{0, \infty\}$, and $w_A = (0, \infty)$. Let V be a C_2-finite chiral vertex operator algebra and M^0, M^∞ be V-modules. Then*

$$\mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(V)}(M^0, D(M^\infty))$$

where $M_A = M^0 \otimes M^\infty$.

Proof. We first note that $\varphi_n = z^{n+\Delta-1}\,(dz)^{1-\Delta}\,(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ is a topological basis of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*[0]+*[\infty]))$, and that $j_0\,(v\otimes\varphi_n)\,u_0 = J_n(v)u_0$ and $j_\infty\,(v\otimes\varphi_n)\,u_\infty = -\theta\,(J_n(v))\,u_\infty$ for all $u_0 \in M^0$ and $u_\infty \in M^\infty$. We identify $\mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A)$ with the subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_A, \mathbb{C})$, which consists of elements $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_A, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}^{out}_A(V)M_A) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\Phi(u_0 \otimes \theta(J_n(v))u_\infty) = \Phi(J_n(v)u_0 \otimes u_\infty). \tag{5.10}$$

Let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(V)}(M^0, D(M^\infty))$ and define $\Phi_f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_A, \mathbb{C})$ by $\Phi_f(u_0 \otimes u_\infty) = \langle\, f(u_0), u_\infty\rangle$. Then we wee that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_f\,(u_0 \otimes \theta\,(J_n(v))\,u_\infty) &= \langle\, f(u_0), \theta\,(J_n(v))\,u_\infty\rangle \\ &= \langle\, J_n(v)f(u_0), u_\infty\rangle = \langle\, f(J_n(v)u_0), u_\infty\rangle = \Phi_f\,(J_n(v)u_0 \otimes u_\infty)\,,\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\Phi_f \in \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)^\dagger$. Conversely for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A)$ and $u_0 \in M^0$ we define $f(u_0) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M^\infty, \mathbb{C})$ by $\langle\, f(u_0), u_\infty\rangle = \Phi(u_0 \otimes u_\infty)$. Using (5.10) we see that $\langle\, f(T(0)u_0), u_\infty\rangle = \langle\, f(u_0), T(0)u_\infty\rangle$ so that if $u_0 \in M^0_{(h_0)}$ then $f(u_0)|M^0_{(h)} = 0$ for all $h \neq h_0$ and $f(u_0) \in D(M^\infty)$. We now conclude that $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(V)}(M^0, D(M^\infty))$ by (5.10). ☐

6 Integrable connections

6.1 Integrable connection on sheaves of covacua

Let $A = \{\,1, \ldots, N, \infty\,\}$ and $X_A = \{w_A = (w_a)_{a\in A}\}$ be the configuration space of distinct points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $w_\infty = \infty$. Since we fix the finite set A throughout this subsection we simply write $X = X_A$.

In the previous section we study the space of covacua associated to a point $w_A \in X$ in the configuration space. Here we vary the point w_A, and consequently we get the sheaf of covacua; the w_A dependence of the space of covacua will be described by an integrable connection on the sheaf.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} = X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ be the trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over X, and z be the canonical affine coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^1$; the pair (w_A, z) is a local coordinate for $\mathcal{C}$. We define cross-sections $s_a : X \to \mathcal{C}\,(a \in A)$ by $s_a(w) = (w_A, w_a)$, and introduce divisors on $\mathcal{C}$ by $S_a = s_a(X)$ and $S_A = \bigcup_{a\in A} S_a$. For $a \neq \infty$ the holomorphic function $\xi_a = z - w_a$ defines a local coordinate system (w_A, ξ_a) of $\mathcal{C}$ around the divisor S_a, while the meromorphic function ξ_∞ defines a local meromorphic coordinate system (w_A, ξ_∞) of $\mathcal{C}$ around the divisor S_∞

Let $\mathcal{O}_X$ be the structure sheaf of X, i.e., the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on X. For any $a \in A$ we define the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{X,a}(V)$ over $\mathcal{O}_X$ by

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,a}(V) = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_X((\xi_a))(d\xi_a)^{1-\Delta} / \nabla(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_X((\xi_a))(d\xi_a)^{-\Delta}),$$

and set $\mathfrak{g}_X(V) = \oplus_{a\in A}\mathfrak{g}_{X,a}(V)$, which is also a Lie algebra over $\mathcal{O}_X$. Let $M^a\,(a \in A)$ be V-modules and set $M_X = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes M_A$ where $M_A = \bigotimes_{a\in A} M^a$. Then M_X becomes a $\mathfrak{g}_X(V)$-module over $\mathcal{O}_X$.

Let $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{C}/X}$ be the sheaf of relative 1-forms for the surjective holomorphic map $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to X$, and $\Omega^{\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(*S_A)$ be the sheaf of relative Δ-forms which have possible poles on the divisor S_A. For any element in $V_\Delta \otimes \pi_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(*S_A)$, where $\pi_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(*S_A)$ is the direct image sheaf, we assign an element in $\mathfrak{g}_{X,a}(V)$ by taking Laurent expansion at S_a in terms of coordinates ξ_a, i.e., for any $v \otimes f(w,z)(dz)^{1-\Delta} \in V_\Delta \otimes \pi_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(*S_A)$ we define the map $j_a : V_\Delta \otimes \pi_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(*S_A) \to \mathfrak{g}_{X,a}(V)$ by

$$j_a\left(v \otimes f(w,z)(dz)^{1-\Delta}\right) = J\left(v, f_a(w,\xi_a)\right),$$

where $f_a(w,\xi_a)$ is the Laurent expansion of $f(w,z)$ at $z = w_a$ in terms of the coordinate ξ_a. We set $j_A = \sum_{a\in A} j_a$.

Definition 6.1.1. We define the $\mathcal{O}_X$-submodule $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_X(V)$ of $\mathfrak{g}_X(V)$ by

$$\mathfrak{g}^{out}_X(V) = j_A\left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \pi_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(*S_A)\right).$$

Proposition 6.1.2. *The $\mathcal{O}_X$-submodule $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_X(V)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_X(V)$ over $\mathcal{O}_X$.*

Proof. Let $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$, and let $f_1(w,z)(dz)^{1-\Delta_1} \in \pi_*\Omega^{1-\Delta_1}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(*S_A)$ and $f_2(w,z)(dz)^{1-\Delta_2} \in \pi_*\Omega^{1-\Delta_2}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(*S_A)$. Then for any $a \in A$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[j_a\left(v_1 \otimes f_{1,a}(w,\xi_a)(dz)^{1-\Delta_1}\right), j_a\left(v_2 \otimes f_{2,a}(w,\xi_a)(dz)^{1-\Delta_2}\right)\right]\\
&= \left[J\left(v_1, f_{1,a}(w,\xi_a)\right), J\left(v_2, f_{2,a}(w,\xi_a)\right)\right]\\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1} \frac{1}{m!} J\left(J_{m-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2, \left(\frac{d}{d\xi_a}\right)^m f_{1,a}(w,\xi_a)\cdot f_{2,a}(w,\xi_a)\right)\\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-1} j_a\left(\frac{1}{m!} J_{m-\Delta_1+1}(v_1)v_2 \otimes \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m f_1(w,z)\cdot f_2(w,z)(dz)^{2-\Delta_1-\Delta_2+m}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\bar{A} = A\backslash\{\infty\}$. For any $a \in \bar{A}$ we define the derivation $\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a} : \mathfrak{g}_X(V) \to \mathfrak{g}_X(V)$ by $\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a} = \partial/\partial w_a + [\rho_a(T(-1)), *]$, and the linear map $\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a} : M_X \to M_X$ by $\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a} = \partial/\partial w_a + \rho_a(T(-1))$.

Proposition 6.1.3. *The linear maps $\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}$ and $\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a}$ $(a \in \bar{A})$ satisfy the following.*

(1) $[\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}, \nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_b}] = 0$ *and* $[\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a}, \nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_b}] = 0$.

(2) $\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(f \cdot J) = \partial_a f \cdot J + f\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(J)$ *and* $\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(f \cdot m) = \partial_a f \cdot m + f\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(m)$ *for all* $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$, $J \in \mathfrak{g}_X(V)$ *and* $m \in M_X$ *where* $\partial_a = \partial/\partial w_a \, (a \in \bar{A})$.

(3) $\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}([J_1, J_2]) = [\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(J_1), J_2] + [J_1, \nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(J_2)]$ *for all* $J_1,\ J_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_X(V)$ *and* $\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(J \cdot m) = \nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(J) \cdot m + J\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(m)$ *for all* $J \in \mathfrak{g}_X(V)$, $m \in M_X$.

(4) $\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(\mathfrak{g}^{out}_X(V)) \subset \mathfrak{g}^{out}_X(V)$.

Proof. The statements (1) and (2) are immediate consequences of the definition. To show (3), it suffices to consider $J_1,\ J_2 \in \mathfrak{g}(V)$ by (2);

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}([J_1, J_2]) &= [T(-1), [J_1, J_2]] \\ &= [[T(-1), J_1], J_2]] + [[J_1, [T(-1), J_2]] = [\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(J_1), J_2] + [J_1, \nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a}(J_2)].\end{aligned}$$

To see (4) we note that that $[T(-1), J(v, f)] = J(T(-1)v, f)$ and $J(v, df/d\xi) + J(T(-1)v, f) = 0$. Then for any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $f(w, z)(dz)^{1-\Delta} \in \pi_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}/X}(*S_A)$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a} j_A\left(v \otimes f(w, z)(dz)^{1-\Delta}\right) \\ &= \sum_{b\in A} \nabla^{\mathfrak{g}_X(V)}_{\partial/\partial w_a} J(v, f_b(w, \xi_b)) \\ &= \sum_{b\in A} J\left(v, \frac{\partial}{\partial w_a} f_b(w, \xi_b)\right) + [T(-1), J(v, f_a(w, \xi_a))] \\ &= \sum_{b\in A} J\left(v, \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_a}\right)_b (w, \xi_b)\right) + J\left(v, \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial \xi_a}(w, \xi_a)\right) + J(T(-1)v, f_a(w, \xi_a)) \\ &= j_A\left(v \otimes \partial_a f(w, z)(dz)^{1-\Delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 6.1.4. The quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{V}_X(M_A) = M_X/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_X(V)M_X$ is called the *sheaf of covacua*.

6.2 Structure of sheaves of covacua

Theorem 6.2.1. *Let* V *be a* C_2*-finite chiral vertex operator algebra.*

(1) *The sheaf of covacua* $\mathcal{V}_X(M_A)$ *is a coherent* $\mathcal{O}_X$*-module.*

(2) *The linear map* $\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a}$ $(a \in A)$ *induce an integrable connection* $\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_a}$ *on the sheaf of covacua* $\mathcal{V}_X(M_A)$.

(3) *The sheaf of covacua* $\mathcal{V}_X(M_A)$ *is a locally free* $\mathcal{O}_X$*-module of finite rank.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 5.8.1 we see that there exists a finite dimensional vector space U such that $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes U \to \mathrm{gr}^F_\bullet \mathcal{V}_X(M_A) \to 0$ is exact. So we see that $\mathcal{V}_X(M_A)$ is finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, and is then $\mathcal{O}_X$-coherent since $\mathcal{O}_X$ is noetherian.

By Proposition 6.1.3 (4) the linear map $\nabla^{M_X}_{\partial/\partial w_a}$ $(a \in A)$ induce an integrable connection on $\mathcal{V}_X(M_A)$. It is well-known that a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module with an integrable connection is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module of finite rank (cf. [Bore, (VI, 1.7)]). □

7 Regularity conditions

7.1 Condition Ⅱ and Ⅲ

Definition 7.1.1. We set $M(0) = \mathcal{U}(V)/\mathcal{U}(V)F^1\mathcal{U}(V)$, which is canonically $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module, and denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the element in $M(0)$ with the representative $1 \in \mathcal{U}(V)$.

Remark 7.1.2. There exists a canonical $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module isomorphism

$$M(0) \cong \mathcal{U}(V) \otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} A_0(V).$$

We have so far worked under the condition that the chiral vertex operator algebra is C_2-finite, which we call the condition I.

Condition I. The chiral vertex operator algebra V is C_2-finite.

We further assume that the zero-mode algebra $A_0(V)$ is semisimple, which we call the condition Ⅱ:

Condition Ⅱ. The chiral vertex operator algebra V is C_2-finite and its zero-mode algebra $A_0(V)$ is *semisimple* .

The zero-mode algebra $A_0(V)$ is finite dimensional if V is C_2-finite. The category of finite dimensional $A_0(V)$-modules has finitely many simple objects upto equivalence; let W_0, W_1, ... , W_r be the complete list of simple objects of this category, where we set $W_0 = \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$ (see Proposition 3.3.2).

Any $A_0(V)$-module $W_i\,(0 \leq i \leq r)$ is an $F^0\mathcal{U}(V)$-module by the natural projection $F^0\mathcal{U}(V) \to A_0(V) \to 0$. Then we can define the induced $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module $L_i = \mathcal{U}(V) \otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} W_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq r)$, which are in fact V-modules.

Problem. If a chiral vertex operator algebra V is a C_2-finite simple V-module and its zero-mode algebra $A_0(V)$ is semisimple then induced modules L_i $(0 \leq i \leq r)$ are simple V-modules.

At the moment we are not able to prove this problem, instead we assume:

Condition Ⅲ. The chiral vertex operator algebra V is C_2-finite, its zero-mode algebra $A_0(V)$ is semisimple, and the induced V-modules L_i $(0 \leq i \leq r)$ are simple.

7.2 Structure of the space of covacua

In this subsection we assume the condition $\mathbb{III}$. Recall that the zero-mode algebra $A_0(V)$ is linearly spanned by $[J_0(v)]$ $(v \in V_\Delta)$. We define a linear map $\theta : A_0(V) \to A_0(V)$ by

$$J_0(v) \longmapsto (-1)^\Delta J_0(e^{T(1)}v) \quad \text{for all } v \in V_\Delta \, (\Delta \geq 0).$$

Then θ is well-defined and is an anti-algebra involution of $A_0(V)$ (see Proposition A.2.12).

Let W be a finite dimensional $A_0(V)$-module and set $D(W) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W, \mathbb{C})$, which is a left $A_0(V)$-module by $\langle J_0(v)\varphi, u\rangle = \langle \varphi, \theta(J_0(v))u\rangle$ for all $\varphi \in D(W)$, $u \in W$ and $[J_0(v)] \in A_0(V)$. The map $D : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(A_0(V)) \to \mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(A_0(V))$ is a contravariant functor such that $D^2 = \mathrm{id}$. For any simple $A_0(V)$-module W_i its dual module $D(W_i)$ is also simple, and is isomorphic to one of the simple $A_0(V)$-modules, which we denote by $W_{i^\dagger}$, i.e., $D(W_i) \cong W_{i^\dagger}$.

Proposition 7.2.1. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra which satisfies conditions $\mathbb{III}$, and W be a simple $A_0(V)$-module. Then there exists a canonical V-module isomorphism $D(L(W)) \cong L(D(W))$ where $L(W) = \mathcal{U}(V) \bigotimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} W$ is the induced V-module.*

Let $A = \{1, 2, \ldots, N, \infty\}$ and set $\bar{A} = A \setminus \{\infty\}$. Let $w_A = (w_a)_{a \in A}$ with $w_\infty = \infty$. For V-modules M^a $(a \in \bar{A})$ we set $M_A = \bigotimes_{a \in \bar{A}} M^a = M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0)$ where $M_{\bar{A}} = \bigotimes_{a \in \bar{A}} M^a$ and $M^\infty = M(0)$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\bar{A}}}(\infty)$ be the image of $\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^\infty V_\Delta \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*S_{\bar{A}} - \Delta[\infty]))$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{A}}(V)$ under the map $j_{\bar{A}}$. We denote by $\mathbf{1}_\infty$ the canonical generator of $M(0)$.

Proposition 7.2.2. *Let $\iota : M_{\bar{A}} \to M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0) = M_A$ be the linear map defined by $u \mapsto u \otimes \mathbf{1}_\infty$. Then ι induces a vector space isomorphism*

$$M_{\bar{A}}/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\bar{A}}}(\infty) M_{\bar{A}} \cong \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A).$$

Proof. We set $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_\infty(\infty) = j_\infty\left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^\infty V_\Delta \otimes H^0\left(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*S_{\bar{A}} - \Delta[\infty])\right)\right)$, which is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(V)_\infty$. Since $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_\infty(\infty) \subset F^1\mathfrak{g}(V)_\infty$ and $F^1\mathfrak{g}(V)_\infty \mathbf{1}_\infty = 0$ we have $j_{\bar{A}}(v \otimes \varphi)u \otimes \mathbf{1}_\infty = j_A(v \otimes \varphi)(u \otimes \mathbf{1}_\infty)$ for any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $\varphi \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*S_{\bar{A}} - \Delta[\infty]))$, i.e., $\iota(\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\bar{A}}}(\infty) M_{\bar{A}}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V) M_A$; in particular ι induces the linear map $M_{\bar{A}}/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\bar{A}}}(\infty) M_{\bar{A}} \to \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A)$.

In order to show that ι is surjective it suffices to prove that $M(0)$ is generated by $\mathbf{1}_\infty$ as a $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_\infty(V)$-module where $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_\infty(V) = j_\infty\left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^\infty V_\Delta \otimes H^0\left(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*S_A)\right)\right)$; this follows from the fact that $\varphi_n = z^{n+\Delta-1}(dz)^{1-\Delta}$ belongs to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*S_A))$ for any nonnegative integer n and $j_\infty(v \otimes \varphi_n) = (-1)^{\Delta+1} J_{-n}(e^{T(1)}v)$ or equivalently $j_\infty(e^{-T(1)}v \otimes \varphi) = (-1)^{\Delta+1} J_{-n}(v)$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$.

The injectivity of the map ι is proved in the same spirit appeared in the proof of Theorem 5.6.1, i.e., the surjectivity of the dual map

$$\iota^* : \mathcal{V}^\dagger_{w_A}(M_A) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{\bar{A}}/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\bar{A}}}(\infty) M_{\bar{A}}, \mathbb{C})$$

is proved by using the one to one correspondence between $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{\bar{A}}/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\bar{A}}}(\infty)M_{\bar{A}},\mathbb{C})$ and the space of systems of current correlation functions $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\Phi_m(v_1,\dots,v_m;u)_{(z_1,\dots,z_m)}\in H^0((\mathbb{P}^1)^m,\Omega^{\boxtimes_{i=1}^{m}\Delta_i}(\sum_{a\in\bar{A},\,i}*D_{a,i}+\sum_{i\neq j}*D_{i,j}+\sum_{i}\Delta_i D_{i,\infty})).$$

Let $\Phi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{\bar{A}}/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\bar{A}}}(\infty)M_{\bar{A}},\mathbb{C})$. By Proposition 5.4.1 there exists a meromorphic form $\langle\Phi|J(v,z)|u_{\bar{A}}\rangle(dz)^{\Delta}\in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1,\Omega^{\Delta}(*w_{\bar{A}}+\Delta[\infty]))$ whose Laurent expansion at $z=w_a\,(a\in\bar{A})$ is

$$\langle\Phi|J(v,z)|u_{\bar{A}}\rangle(dz)^{\Delta}=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Phi\left(\rho_a\left(J_n(v)\right)u_{\bar{A}}\right)(z-w_a)^{-n-\Delta}(dz)^{\Delta}.$$

There is another expression of this 1-point current correlation function;

$$\langle\Phi|J(v,z)|u_{\bar{A}}\rangle(dz)^{\Delta}=\sum_{a\in\bar{A}}\sum_{n\geq-\Delta+1}\Phi\left(\rho_a\left(J_n(v)\right)u_{\bar{A}}\right)(z-w_a)^{-n-\Delta}(dz)^{\Delta}. \tag{7.1}$$

To see this it suffices to show that the right hand side of (7.1) belongs to the space $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1,\Omega^{\Delta}(*w_{\bar{A}}+\Delta[\infty]))$, which follows from the fact that for all $0\leq n\leq\Delta-2$ the form $z^n(dz)^{1-\Delta}$ belongs to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1,\Omega^{1-\Delta}(*w_{\bar{A}}-\Delta[\infty]))$ and then $\Phi(j_{\bar{A}}(v\otimes z^n(dz)^{1-\Delta})u_{\bar{A}})=0$. Since the second equality of Lemma 5.5.5 holds for $\Phi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{\bar{A}}/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\bar{A}}}(\infty)M_{\bar{A}},\mathbb{C})$ we see that (5.7) defines the 2-point current correlation function

$$\Phi_2(v_1,v_2;u_{\bar{A}})_{(z_1,z_2)}\in H^0((\mathbb{P}^1)^2,\Omega^{\Delta_1\boxtimes\Delta_2}(\sum_{\substack{i=1,2\\ a\in\bar{A}}}*D_{i,a}+*D_{1,2}+\Delta_1 D_{1,\infty}+\Delta_2 D_{2,\infty}))$$

by using the same argument given in the proof of Proposition 5.5.3. Then by the same calculation in Theorem 5.6.1 we see that $\langle\Phi|J(v,z)|*\rangle\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{\bar{A}}/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_{\bar{A}}}(\infty)M_{\bar{A}},\mathbb{C})$ for any fixed $v\in V_{\Delta}$ and $z\in\mathbb{C}$. We now can inductively construct the whole system of current correlation functions associated to Φ, which we denote by

$$\langle\Phi|J(v_1,z_1)\cdots J(v_m,z_m)|u_{\bar{A}}\rangle(dz_1)^{\Delta_1}\cdots(dz_m)^{\Delta_m}.$$

We now define $\tilde{\Phi}\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{\bar{A}}\otimes M(0),\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}(u_{\bar{A}}\otimes\theta\left(J_{n_1}(v_1)\right)\cdots\theta\left(J_{n_k}(v_k)\right)\mathbf{1}_{\infty})&=\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{k}\\ \times\oint_{|z_1|=r_1}\cdots\oint_{|z_k|=r_k}\langle\Phi|J(v_k,z_k)\cdots J(v_1,z_1)|u_{\bar{A}}\rangle&z_k^{n_k+\Delta_k-1}\cdots z_1^{n_1+\Delta_1-1}\,dz_k\cdots dz_1,\end{aligned}$$

where $r_k>r_{k-1}>\ldots>r_1>|w_a|\,(a\in\bar{A})$. Using the operator product property and the $\mathcal{S}_m$-symmetry of the system $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ it is proved that $\tilde{\Phi}$ defines an element in $\mathrm{Hom}(M_{\bar{A}}\otimes M(0),\mathbb{C})$, i.e., it can be shown that the definition of $\tilde{\Phi}$ is compatible with the commutator formula, the associativity, etc. The operator product property also shows that $\tilde{\Phi}$ induce an element of $\mathcal{V}^{\dagger}_{w_A}(M_A)$, i.e., $\tilde{\Phi}(\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{A}(V)M_A)=0$ (see the proof of Theorem 5.6.1). □

Theorem 7.2.3. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra which satisfies conditions III, and $W_i\,(0 \le i \le r)$ be the complete list of simple objects of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(A_0(V))$ and L_i be the induced V-module. Let $M^a\,(a \in \bar{A})$ be V-modules and set $M_{\bar{A}} = \bigotimes_{a\in\bar{A}} M^a$. Then there exists a canonical vector space isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_A) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) \otimes W_i^*,$$

where $M_A = M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0)$.

Proof. Since $A_0(V) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^r W_i \otimes W_i^*$ by Wedderburn's theorem it follows that $M(0) \cong \mathcal{U}(V) \otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} A_0(V) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^r L_i \otimes W_i^*$ as V-modules. We now conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} M_A/\mathfrak{g}_{w_A}^{out}(V)M_A &\cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i \otimes W_i^* / \mathfrak{g}_{w_A}^{out}(V) \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i \otimes W_i^* \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{V}_{w_A}(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) \otimes W_i^* \,. \end{aligned}$$

□

8 Factorization

The aim of this section is to prove the factorization theorem; one of the immediate applications of the theorem is the formula of the dimension of conformal blocks for $N \ge 4$ in terms of fusion rules, i.e., the dimension of the conformal blocks for $N = 3$. The theorem is proved by pinching the N-pointed projective line into two copies of the projective line, each of which is suitably pointed. We first define the fiber bundle which describes the pinching procedure, and study the sheaf of covacua associated to the fiber bundle.

8.1 Gauge condition

Let $A = \{\, 0, 1, \ldots, N, \infty \,\}$ and $w_A = (w_a)_{a\in A}$ be the set of distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $w_\infty = \infty$. We denote by X_A the set of such w_A's, which is canonically embedded in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{N+2}$. Let $Z_A = \{w_A \in X_A \mid w_0 = 0,\, w_1 = 1\,\}$. We write $X = X_A$ and $Z = Z_A$ for short. For V-modules $M^a\,(a \in A)$ we set $M_A = \bigotimes_{a\in A} M^a$. We define the sheaf of covacua over X and Z by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}_X(M_A) = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes M_A/\mathfrak{g}_X^{out}(V)\,(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes M_A)\,, \text{ and} \\ &\mathcal{V}_Z(M_A) = \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes M_A/\mathfrak{g}_Z^{out}(V)\,(\mathcal{O}_Z \otimes M_A)\,, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. There is a Θ_X and Θ_Z-action (an integrable connection) on $\mathcal{V}_X(M_A)$ and $\mathcal{V}_Z(M_A)$, which is respectively given by

$$\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_a} + \rho_a(T(-1)) \quad \text{for all } a \in A, \text{ and}$$
$$\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_a} + \rho_a(T(-1)) \quad \text{for all } a \in A \setminus \{0,\, 1\}.$$

Proposition 8.1.1. *There is a canonical $\mathcal{O}_X$-module isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{V}_X(M_A) \cong \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{V}_Z(M_A).$$

8.2 Geometric settings

Let A and B be finite sets with cardinality $|A|,\, |B| \geq 3$, which has 3 distinct fixed points $0_A,\, 1_A,\, \infty_A \in A$ and $0_B,\, 1_B,\, \infty_B \in B$, respectively. We set $\bar{A} = A \setminus \{0_A\}$ and $\bar{B} = B \setminus \{\infty_B\}$. We define $C = \bar{A} \sqcup \bar{B} = A \setminus \{0_A\} \sqcup B \setminus \{\infty_B\}$ with fixed 3 points being assigned by $0_C = 0_B$, $1_C = 1_A$, $\infty_C = \infty_A$. We set

$$U_A = \{ w_A \in Z_A \mid |w_{A,a}| > 1/2,\, a \neq 0_A \,\}, \quad U_B = \{ w_B \in Z_B \mid |w_{B,b}| < 2,\, b \neq \infty_B \,\},$$

which is an open set in Z_A and Z_B respectively.

We define an open subset in $\mathbb{C}$ with the coordinate q by $U_q^\times = \{\, q \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |q| < 1/16 \,\}$ and set $U^\times = U_q^\times \times U_A \times U_B$. The manifold $U^\times$ is embedded into Z_C by $w_{C,a} = w_{A,a}$ $(a \in \bar{A})$ and $w_{C,b} = q w_{B,b}$ $(b \in \bar{B})$. There are two coordinate systems $(w_{C,c})_{c \in C^\circ}$ and $(q, w_{A^\circ}, w_{B^\circ})$ for $U^\times$ where $A^\circ = A \setminus \{0_A, 1_A, \infty_A\}$, $B^\circ = B \setminus \{0_B, 1_B, \infty_B\}$ and $C^\circ = C \setminus \{0_C, 1_C, \infty_C\}$.

In order to define sheaves of covacua over $U^\times$ we introduce the trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\pi : \mathcal{C}_{U^\times} = U^\times \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to U^\times$; we regard $\mathbb{P}^1$ as $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and let z_C be the affine coordinate of $\mathbb{C}$. For any $c \in C$ we define the section $s_c : U^\times \to \mathcal{C}_{U^\times}$ by $s_c(w_C) = (w_C, w_{C,c})$ and divisors over $\mathcal{C}_{U^\times}$ by $S_c^\times = s_c(U^\times)$ and $S_C^\times = \bigcup_{c \in C} S_c^\times$. We define a local coordinate around $S_c^\times$ by

$$\xi_{C,c} = \begin{cases} z_C - w_{C,c} & (c \neq \infty), \\ z_C & (c = \infty). \end{cases}$$

Let M^c $(c \in C)$ be V-modules and set $M_C = \bigotimes_{c \in C} M^c$. For all $c \in C$ we set

$$\mathfrak{g}_{U^\times,c}(V) = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U^\times}((\xi_{C,c}))(d\xi_{C,c})^{1-\Delta} / \nabla \left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U^\times}((\xi_{C,c}))(d\xi_{C,c})^{-\Delta} \right),$$

which is a Lie algebra over $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{U^\times}(V) = \bigoplus_{c \in C} \mathfrak{g}_{U^\times,c}(V)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times}$ canonically acts on $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times} \otimes M_C$. We denote this action by $\rho_C = \sum_{c \in C} \rho_{C,c}$. Let

$j_C : \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \pi_* \Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_{U^\times}/U^\times}(*S_C^\times) \to \mathfrak{g}_{U^\times}(V)$ be the linear map defined by the Laurent expansion at the divisors $S_c^\times$ $(c \in C)$ in terms of the coordinates $\xi_{C,c}$ and denote the image by $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{U^\times}(V)$. We set

$$\mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C) = \mathcal{O}_{U^\times} \otimes M_C / \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{U^\times}(V)\,(\mathcal{O}_{U^\times} \otimes M_C)$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C)$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times}$-module and is equipped with the integrable connection $\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_{C,c}}$ $(c \in C)$ so that $\mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times}$-module of finite rank.

We set $U_q = \{\, q \in \mathbb{C} \mid |q| < 1/16 \,\} \supset U_q^\times$ and $U = U_q \times U_A \times U_B \supset U^\times$, and define the trivial $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over U by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_U = U \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to U$. There exists a canonical commutative embedding

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{C}_{U^\times} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_U \arrow[d] \\ U^\times \arrow[r] & U \end{tikzcd} \quad \text{by} \quad (q, w_A, w_B, z_C) \mapsto (q, w_A, w_B, z_C, q^{-1} z_C).$$

Using the homogeneous coordinates $([z_A^0 : z_A^1], [z_B^0 : z_B^1])$ of the fiber $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ the manifold $\mathcal{C}_{U^\times}$ is described in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_U$ by

$$\mathcal{C}_{U^\times} = \{\, (q, w_A, w_B, [z_A^0 : z_A^1], [z_B^0 : z_B^1]) \mid z_A^0 z_B^1 = q z_A^1 z_B^0,\ q \neq 0 \,\}.$$

We now define the algebraic set $\mathcal{C}_U$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_U$ by $z_A^0 z_B^1 = q z_A^1 z_B^0$, and denote the natural projection by $\pi : \mathcal{C}_U \to U$.

Proposition 8.2.1. (1) *$\mathcal{C}_U$ is a codimension 1 closed complex submanifold in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_U$.*

(2) *The map $\pi : \mathcal{C}_U \to U$ is flat and proper.*

The space $\mathcal{C}_U$ is non-singular, while the fibers over the points of the domain $D = U \setminus U^\times$ are singular. In order to see more detail of the manifold $\mathcal{C}_U$ we introduce the open covering of $\mathcal{C}_U$ and the local coordinate systems; $\mathcal{C}_U = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_\infty$ where

$$\begin{aligned} &V_0 = \{\, (q, w_A, w_B, z_B) \mid |z_B| < 4 \} \quad z_A = q z_B, \\ &V_1 = \{\, (w_A, w_B, z_A, t_B) \mid |z_A| < 1/2,\ |t_B| < 1/2 \,\} \quad q = z_A t_B, \\ &V_\infty = \{\, (q, w_A, w_B, t_A) \mid |t_A| < 4 \,\} \quad t_B = q t_A. \end{aligned}$$

where $z_A = z_A^0 / z_A^1$ and $t_A = z_A^1 / z_A^0$, etc.

Let Σ is the set of all points $p \in \mathcal{C}_U$ at which the differential $d\pi_p : T_p \mathcal{C}_U \to T_{\pi(p)} U$ is not surjective.

Proposition 8.2.2. (1) *The set Σ is a codimension 2 submanifold of $\mathcal{C}_U$ such that $\Sigma \cap (V_0 \cup V_\infty) = \emptyset$ and $\Sigma = \{\, (w_A, w_B, z_A, t_B) \mid z_A = t_B = 0 \,\} \subset V_1$.*

(2) *The projection π gives an isomorphism $\Sigma \cong D$.*

For any $c \in C$ we define the section $s_c : U \to \mathcal{C}_U$ by

$$(q, w_A, w_B) \longmapsto \begin{cases} (q, w_A, w_B, w_{A,a}, q^{-1}w_{A,a}) & (a \in \bar{A}), \\ (q, w_A, w_B, qw_{B,b}, w_{B,b}) & (b \in \bar{B}), \end{cases}$$

and the divisor $S_c = s_c(U)$ on $\mathcal{C}_U$. We set $S_C = S_{\bar{A}} \cup S_{\bar{B}}$ where $S_{\bar{A}} = \bigcup_{a\in\bar{A}} S_a$ and $S_{\bar{B}} = \bigcup_{b\in\bar{B}} S_b$.

Lemma 8.2.3. (1) *Let* $a \in \bar{A}$ *and* $b \in \bar{B}$. *Then* $S_a \subset V_\infty$, $S_a \cap (V_1 \cup V_0) = \emptyset$ *and* $S_b \subset V_0$, $S_b \cap (V_1 \cup V_\infty) = \emptyset$. *In particular* $S_c \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$ *for any* $c \in C$.

(2) *The following is a local coordinates system of* $\mathcal{C}_U$ *around* $S_c\,(c \in C)$*;*

$$\begin{aligned} &(q, w_A, w_B, \xi_{A,a}), \quad \xi_{A,a} = z_A - w_{A,a} \quad (a \in A \setminus \{0_A, \infty_A\}), \\ &(q, w_A, w_B, \xi_{A,\infty}), \quad \xi_{A,a} = z_A = t_A^{-1} \quad (a = \infty_A), \\ &(q, w_A, w_B, \xi_{B,b}), \quad \xi_{B,b} = z_B - w_{B,b} \quad (b \in \bar{B}). \end{aligned}$$

(3) $\xi_{C,a} = \xi_{A,a}$ *on* $S_a \cap \mathcal{C}_{U^\times}$ *for any* $a \in A \setminus \{0_A, \infty_A\}$ *and* $q^{-1}\xi_{C,b} = \xi_{B,b}$ *on* $S_b \cap \mathcal{C}_{U^\times}$ *for any* $b \in \bar{B}$.

The fibers of $\mathcal{C}_U$ over D are given by $z_A t_B = 0$, i.e., each fiber consists of two copies of the projective line with the identification of $z_A = 0$ and $z_B = \infty$ as a simple double point; Σ is the set of all these simple double points. We now define open subsets V_1^0 and V_1^∞ of V_1 by

$$V_1^0 = \{\, (w_A, w_B, z_A, t_B) \in V_1 \mid t_B \neq 0 \,\} \text{ and } V_1^\infty = \{\, (w_A, w_B, z_A, t_B) \in V_1 \mid z_A \neq 0 \,\}.$$

Then we see that $V_1 \setminus \Sigma = V_1^0 \cup V_1^\infty$ and $\mathcal{C}_U \setminus \Sigma = V_0 \cup V_1^0 \cup V_1^\infty \cup V_\infty$.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to defining the sheaf of cavacua $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ attached to the family of curves $\pi : \mathcal{C}_U \to U$ such that $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)|U^\times = \mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C)$.

Let $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}$ be the relative dualizing sheaf of $\pi : \mathcal{C}_U \to U$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_U$ and U are nonsingular and π is flat. Then there exists an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_U}$-module isomorphism $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}_U} \otimes \pi^*\omega_U^{-1}$ where ω_Y is the canonical sheaf of a complex manifold Y. In particular $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_U}$-module of rank 1.

Proposition 8.2.4. (1) $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}$ *is a locally free* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_U}$*-module of rank* 1.

(2) *Let* $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{C}_U/U} = \Omega^1_{\mathcal{C}_U}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_U}(d\pi^*\Omega^1_U)$. *Then* $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}|\mathcal{C}_U \setminus \Sigma = \Omega^1_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}|\mathcal{C}_U \setminus \Sigma$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}|V_0 = \mathcal{O}_{V_0}dz_B, \quad \omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}|V_\infty = \mathcal{O}_{V_\infty}dt_A, \\ &\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}|V_1^0 = \mathcal{O}_{V_1^0}dt_B, \quad \omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}|V_1^\infty = \mathcal{O}_{V_1^\infty}dz_A. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{U,\bar{A}}(V) = \bigoplus_{a\in\bar{A}} \mathfrak{g}_{U,a}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{U,\bar{B}}(V) = \bigoplus_{b\in\bar{B}} \mathfrak{g}_{U,b}(V)$ where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{U,a}(V) = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_U((\xi_{A,a}))(d\xi_{A,a})^{1-\Delta} / \nabla \left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_U((\xi_{A,a}))(d\xi_{A,a})^{-\Delta} \right),$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{U,b}(V) = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_U((\xi_{B,b}))(d\xi_{B,b})^{1-\Delta} / \nabla \left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_U((\xi_{B,b}))(d\xi_{B,b})^{-\Delta} \right).$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_U(V) = \mathfrak{g}_{U,\bar{A}}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{U,\bar{B}}(V)$ over $\mathcal{O}_U$ canonically acts on $\mathcal{O}_U \otimes M_C = \mathcal{O}_U \otimes M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}$ where $M^a\,(a \in \bar{A})$, $M^b\,(b \in \bar{B})$ be V-modules and $M_{\bar{A}} = \bigotimes_{a\in\bar{A}} M^a$, $M_{\bar{B}} = \bigotimes_{b\in\bar{B}} M^b$. We denote this action by

$$\rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B}} = \sum_{a\in\bar{A}} \rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},a} + \sum_{b\in\bar{B}} \rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},b}.$$

Recall that $\xi_{C,a} = \xi_{A,a}\,(a \in \bar{A})$ and $\xi_{C,b} = q\xi_{B,b}\,(b \in \bar{B})$. Let $g^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}} : \mathfrak{g}_{U^\times}(V) \to \mathfrak{g}_U(V)$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times}$-module map $J(v, \xi_{C,a}^{n+\Delta-1}) \mapsto J(v, \xi_{A,a}^{n+\Delta-1})\,(a \in \bar{A})$, $J(v, \xi_{C,b}^{n+\Delta-1}) \mapsto q^n J(v, \xi_{B,b}^{n+\Delta-1})\,(b \in \bar{B})$ for any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which gives rise to an $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times}$-module isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_{U^\times}(V) \cong \mathfrak{g}_U(V)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{U^\times}(V)$ acts on $\mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C)$ by ρ_C, while the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_U(V)$ acts on $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ by $\rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}$. There is an $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times}$-module isomorphism $G^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}} : \mathcal{O}_{U^\times} \otimes M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M_{\bar{B}} \to \mathcal{O}_U \otimes M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M_{\bar{B}} | U^\times$ such that $G^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(\ell_{U^\times} m_{U^\times}) = g^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(\ell_{U^\times}) G^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(m_{U^\times})$ for all $\ell_{U^\times} \in \mathfrak{g}_{U^\times}(V)$ and $m_{U^\times} \in \mathcal{O}_{U^\times} \otimes M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}$: in fact let $M^b = \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^b(h_i^b + n)$. Then for any $u_{\bar{A}} \in M_{\bar{A}}$ and $u_{\bar{B}} = \otimes_{b\in\bar{B}} u_b \in M_{\bar{B}}$, $u_b \in M^b(h_i^b + d_b)$ the isomorphism $G^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}$ is given by

$$G^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}}) = \left(\sum_{b\in\bar{B}} q^{-d_b} \right) u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}},$$

where we remark that $G^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}) \not\subset M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}$.

Let $j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}} = j_{\bar{A}} + j_{\bar{B}}$ where $j_{\bar{A}} : \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \pi_* \omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}(*S_{\bar{A}}) \to \mathfrak{g}_{U,\bar{A}}(V) \subset \mathfrak{g}_U(V)$ and $j_{\bar{B}} : \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \pi_* \omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}(*S_{\bar{B}}) \to \mathfrak{g}_{U,\bar{B}}(V) \subset \mathfrak{g}_U(V)$ is the linear map defined by the Laurent expansion at the divisors $S_{\bar{A}}$ and $S_{\bar{B}}$ in terms of coordinates $\xi_{A,a}\,(a \in \bar{A})$ and $\xi_{B,b}\,(b \in \bar{B})$, respectively. The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_U^{out}(V)$ of $\mathfrak{g}_U(V)$ is defined to be the image of the map $j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}$. We see that $g^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{U^\times}(V)) = \mathfrak{g}^{out}_U(V)|U^\times$.

Definition 8.2.5. Let $M^c\,(c \in C)$ be V-modules and set $M_C = \bigotimes_{c\in C} M^c$. We define the $\mathcal{O}_U$-module $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ by

$$\mathcal{V}_U(M_C) = \mathcal{O}_U \otimes M_C / \mathfrak{g}_U^{out}(V)(\mathcal{O}_U \otimes M_C).$$

Using the same argument given in the proof of Theorem 6.2.1 we get:

Proposition 8.2.6. *$\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_U$-module, and $G^{\,C}_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}$ induces an $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times}$-module isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C) \cong \mathcal{V}_U(M_C)|\,U^\times$.*

8.3 Integrable connections

Let $\mathfrak{m}_D$ be the defining ideal of D in U, i.e., $\mathfrak{m}_D = q\mathcal{O}_U$ and set $\Theta_U(-\log D) = \{\ell \in \Theta_U \,|\, \ell(\mathfrak{m}_D) \subset \mathfrak{m}_D\}$, which is a Lie subalgebra of Θ_U over $\mathcal{O}_U$, where for any complex manifold Y we denote by Θ_Y the sheaf of germs of vector fields on Y. Using the local coordinate system $(q, w_{A^\circ}, w_{B^\circ})$ of U we get

$$\Theta_U(-\log D) = \bigoplus_{a\in A^\circ} \mathcal{O}_U \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{A,a}} \oplus \bigoplus_{b\in B^\circ} \mathcal{O}_U \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{B,b}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_U q \frac{\partial}{\partial q}\,.$$

Recall that there are two coordinate systems $(w_{C,c})_{c\in C^\circ}$ and $(q, w_{A^\circ}, w_{B^\circ})$ for $U^\times$; the relation between them is

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{A,a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{C,a}} \quad (a \in A^\circ), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{B,b}} = w_{C,1_B} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{C,b}} \quad (b \in B^\circ),\\ q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} = \sum_{b\in B\setminus\{0_B,\infty_B\}} w_{C,b} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{C,b}}\,,\end{gathered} \tag{8.1}$$

where $w_{C,1_B} = q$ while $w_{B,1_B} = 1$

Recall that the integrable connection on $\mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C)$ is defined by

$$\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_{C,c}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{C,c}} + \rho_{C,c}\,(T(-1)) \quad (c \in C).$$

Using (8.1) and the fact that $q\rho_{C,b}(T(-1)) = \rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},b}(T(-1))$ for all $b \in \bar{B}$ we see that the corresponding action of $\Theta_{U^\times}$ on $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)|U^\times$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_{A,a}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{A,a}} + \rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},a}\,(T(-1)) \quad (a \in A^\circ),\\ \nabla_{\partial/\partial w_{A,a}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{B,b}} + \rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},b}\,(T(-1)) \quad (b \in B^\circ),\\ \nabla_{q\partial/\partial q} &= q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \sum_{b\in B\setminus\{0_B,\infty_B\}} w_{B,b}\rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},b}\,(T(-1))\,.\end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

It should be remarked that $[q\partial/\partial q, \rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},b}(J_n(v))] = -n\rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},b}(J_n(v))$ for all $b \in \bar{B}$, and in particular, $[q\partial/\partial q, \rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},b}(T(-1))] = \rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},b}(T(-1))$. The formula (8.2) defines an integrable action of $\Theta_U(-\log D)$ on $\mathcal{O}_U \otimes M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}$ such that $\nabla_X \mathfrak{g}_U^{out}(V) \subset \mathfrak{g}_U^{out}(V)$ for all $X \in \Theta_U(-\log D)$; this fact is proved by the exactly same calculation done in the proof of Proposition 6.1.3 (4) since $S_C \cap V_1 = \emptyset$. So there is a $\Theta_U(-\log D)$ action on $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)|U^\times \cong \mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C)$ coincides with the $\Theta_{U^\times}$ action. In other words;

Theorem 8.3.1. *The $\Theta_{U^\times}$ action on $\mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C)$ is naturally extended to a $\Theta_U(-\log D)$ action on $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$.*

8.4 Local freeness

We spend the following several subsections to prove that the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_U$-module.

Recall that $\Theta_U(-\log D)$ acts on $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ and induces $\Theta_{U^\times}$ action on $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)|U^\times \cong \mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C)$, which is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{U^\times}$-module. Let $\mathcal{O}_D$ be the structure sheaf of D, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathcal{O}_U/\mathfrak{m}_D$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C) = \mathcal{V}_U(M_C) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_D$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_D$-module, and there is a natural $\Theta_U(-\log D)$ action on $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C)$ since $\Theta_U(-\log D)\,(\mathfrak{m}_D\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)) \subset \mathfrak{m}_D\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$. In particular Θ_D acts on $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C)$ and then $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C)$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_D$-module of finite rank. Since $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)|\,U^\times \cong \mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C)$ and $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ is $\mathcal{O}_U$-coherent it follows that rank $\mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C) \leq$ rank $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C)$. So in order to prove the local freeness of $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ over $\mathcal{O}_U$ it suffices to show that rank $\mathcal{V}_{U^\times}(M_C) =$ rank $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C)$.

We denote the restriction of $\mathcal{C}_U$ to D by $\pi_D : \mathcal{C}_D = \pi^{-1}(D) \to D$, and set $\bar{S}_C = \bigcup_{c\in C} s_c(D)$, which is a divisor over $\mathcal{C}_D$. For any $a \in \bar{A}$ and $b \in \bar{B}$ we set

$$\mathfrak{g}_{D,a}(V) = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_D((\xi_{A,a}))(d\xi_{A,a})^{1-\Delta}/\nabla\left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_D((\xi_{A,a}))(d\xi_{A,a})^{-\Delta}\right),$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{D,b}(V) = \bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_D((\xi_{A,b}))(d\xi_{A,b})^{1-\Delta}/\nabla\left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes \mathcal{O}_D((\xi_{A,b}))(d\xi_{A,b})^{-\Delta}\right)$$

and define $\mathfrak{g}_{D,\bar{A}}(V) = \bigoplus_{a\in\bar{A}} \mathfrak{g}_{D,a}(V)$, $\mathfrak{g}_{D,\bar{B}}(V) = \bigoplus_{b\in\bar{A}} \mathfrak{g}_{D,b}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_D(V) = \mathfrak{g}_{D,\bar{A}}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{D,\bar{B}}(V) (= \mathfrak{g}_U(V) \bigotimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_D)$, which are Lie algebras over $\mathcal{O}_D$.

Definition 8.4.1. Let $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_D/D} = \omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_U}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_D}$ be the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_D}$-module. We set $\mathfrak{g}_D^{out}(V) = j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes (\pi_D)_*\,\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C))$ where the map $j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}$ is also defined by Laurent expansion in terms of local coordinates $\xi_{A,a}\,(a \in \bar{A})$ and $\xi_{B,b}\,(b \in \bar{B})$, which is an $\mathcal{O}_D$-Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_D(V)$. We define

$$\mathcal{V}_D(M_C) = \mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_C/\mathfrak{g}_D^{out}(V)(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_C).$$

There is an $\mathcal{O}_D$-module isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_A) \cong \mathcal{V}_D(M_A)$. In fact by using

$$(\pi_D)_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C) \cong \pi_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}(*S_C) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_D \tag{8.3}$$

(see [TUY, Lemma 4.1.3, page 522]) one has the $\mathcal{O}_D$-module isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_D^{out}(V) \cong$

$\mathfrak{g}_U^{out}(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_D$. In the following commutative diagram of $\mathcal{O}_D$-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] \\
\mathfrak{g}_U^{out}(V)(\mathcal{O}_U \otimes M_C) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_D \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & \mathfrak{g}_D^{out}(V)(M_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) \arrow[d, "\epsilon"] \\
(\mathcal{O}_U \otimes M_C) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_D \arrow[r, "\gamma"] \arrow[d] & M_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{V}_U(M_C) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_D \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{V}_D(M_C) \arrow[d] \\
0 & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

the map β is surjective and the map γ is an isomorphism. So $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha) \cong \operatorname{Im}(\epsilon)$, which shows $\mathcal{V}_D(M_C) \cong M_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_D / \operatorname{Im}(\epsilon) \cong (\mathcal{O}_U \otimes M_C) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \mathcal{O}_D / \operatorname{Im}(\alpha) \cong \overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C)$.

Lemma 8.4.2. *There is a canonical $\mathcal{O}_D$-module isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_A) \cong \mathcal{V}_D(M_A)$.*

The structure of the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_D(M_C)$ is described by the following theorem whose proof is given in § 8.6.

Theorem 8.4.3. *There exists a canonical $\mathcal{O}_D$-module isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{V}_D(M_C) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) \otimes \mathcal{V}_D^B(L_{i^\dagger} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}).$$

More explanations here should be in order. Sheaves of covacua over D in the right hand sides are defined by using the trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $D \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to D$ and the cross-section $s_a : (w_A, w_B) \mapsto (w_A, w_B, w_a)\,(a \in A)$ and $s_b : (w_A, w_B) \mapsto (w_A, w_B, w_b)\,(b \in B)$, respectively;

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) &= \mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i / \mathfrak{g}_D^{A,out}(V)\left(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i\right), \\
\mathcal{V}_D^B(L_{i^\dagger} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}) &= \mathcal{O}_D \otimes L_{i^\dagger} \otimes M_{\bar{B}} / \mathfrak{g}_D^{B,out}(V)\left(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes L_{i^\dagger} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the simple V-module L_i and $L_{i^\dagger}$ corresponds to the point 0_A and ∞_B. So these are sheaves of covacua attached to a family of nonsingular curves of genus 0, while $\mathcal{V}_D(M_C)$ is the sheaf of covacua attached to a family of stable curves of genus 0.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_U = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_U / \mathfrak{m}_D^n \mathcal{O}_U \cong \mathcal{O}_D[[q]]$ be the completion along D and set

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_U(M_C) &= \varprojlim_n \mathcal{V}_U(M_C) / \mathfrak{m}_D^n \mathcal{V}_U(M_C), \\
\widehat{\Theta}_U(-\log D) &= \varprojlim_n \Theta_U(-\log D) / \mathfrak{m}_D^n \Theta_U(-\log D).
\end{aligned}$$

The $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_U(M_C)$ is a coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_U$-module, and is equipped with the integrable connection ∇_X for $X \in \widehat{\Theta}_U(-\log D)$. There is a canonical $\mathcal{O}_D$-module isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_U(M_C) \otimes_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_U} \mathcal{O}_D \cong \overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C).$$

Definition 8.4.4. Let L be a simple V-module such that $L = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} L(d\,)$ where $L(d\,) = \{u \in L \mid T(0)u = (\Delta + d\,)u\ \}$. We define a $\widehat{\Theta}_U(-\log D)$ action on $\mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L) \otimes \mathcal{V}_D^B(L^\dagger \otimes M_{\bar{B}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[[q]]$ for $\partial/\partial w_{A,a}\,(a \in A^\circ)$ and $\partial/\partial w_{B,b}\,(b \in B^\circ)$ by (8.2), while for $q\partial/\partial q$ by

$$\nabla_{q\partial/\partial q} = q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \Delta - \sum_{b \in \bar{B}} \rho_{\bar{A},\bar{B},b}\,(T(0))\,.$$

This canonically induces a $\widehat{\Theta}_U(-\log D)$ action on $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C) \otimes \mathbb{C}[[q]]$.

The following theorem yields the local freeness of the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$:

Theorem 8.4.5. *There exists a canonical* $\mathcal{O}_D[[q]]$*-module isomorphism which is compatible with the action* $\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_{A,a}}\,(a \in \bar{A})$, $\nabla_{\partial/\partial w_{B,b}}\,(a \in \bar{B})$ *and* $\nabla_{q\partial/\partial q}$ *such that the following commutative diagram holds:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_U(M_C) \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] \arrow[d] & \overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C) \otimes \mathbb{C}[[q]] \arrow[d] \\
\overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C) \arrow[r, phantom, "\underset{\mathrm{id}}{\cong}"] & \overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 8.4.6. $\mathcal{V}_U(M_C)$ *is a locally free* $\mathcal{O}_U$*-module.*

8.5 Relative dualizing sheaf over the critical discriminant

This subsection is devoted to the study of the direct image sheaf $\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}^{1-\Delta}(*S_C)$ and $(\pi_D)_*\,\omega_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}^{1-\Delta}(*\bar{S}_C)$; the contents of the subsection play the essential role in the proofs of Theorem 8.4.3 and Theorem 8.4.5.

Let φ be a cross-section of the sheaf $\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}^{1-\Delta}(*S_C)$. We denote the restriction of φ to the open set V_0, V_1^0, V_1^∞ and V_∞ by φ_0, φ_1^0, φ_1^∞ and φ_∞, respectively, so that $\varphi_0 = \varphi_1^0$, $\varphi_1^0 = \varphi_1^\infty$ and $\varphi_1^\infty = \varphi_\infty$ on $V_0 \cap V_1^0$, $V_1^0 \cap V_1^\infty$ and $V_1^\infty \cap V_\infty$. Recall that the critical locus Σ has codimension 2 in $\mathcal{C}_U$ and $\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}^{1-\Delta}(*S_C)$ is an invertible sheaf over U. So φ is uniquely determined by the value on $\mathcal{C}_U \setminus \Sigma\,(= V_0 \cup V_1^0 \cup V_1^\infty \cup V_\infty)$.

By Lemma 8.2.3 (1) the cross-section φ_1^0 and φ_1^∞ is holomorphic on each domain of the definition. The expansion of φ_1^0 and φ_1^∞ is respectively given by

$$\varphi_1^0 = \sum_{m\geq 0,\, n\in\mathbb{Z}} \varphi_{m,n}^B(w_A, w_B) z_A^m t_B^n (dt_B)^{1-\Delta} \quad (t_B \neq 0),$$

$$\varphi_1^\infty = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z},\, n\geq 0} \varphi_{m,n}^A(w_A, w_B) z_A^m t_B^n (dz_A)^{1-\Delta} \quad (z_A \neq 0).$$

Since $\varphi_1^0 = \varphi_1^\infty$ on $V_1^0 \cap V_1^\infty$ and $t_B dz_A + z_A dt_B = 0$ in $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}|V_1^0 \cap V_1^\infty$ we see that

$$\varphi^A_{m,n} = 0\,(m < \Delta - 1),\ \varphi^B_{m,n} = 0\,(n < \Delta - 1) \quad \text{and} \tag{8.4}$$

$$\varphi^A_{m+\Delta-1,n} = (-1)^{\Delta-1}\varphi^B_{m,n+\Delta-1} \quad (m, n \geq 0). \tag{8.5}$$

Summarizing

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1^0 &= \sum_{m,n\geq 0} \varphi^B_{m,n+\Delta-1}(w_A, w_B) z_A^m t_B^{n+\Delta-1}(dt_B)^{1-\Delta} \\ &= \sum_{m,n\geq 0} \varphi^B_{m,n+\Delta-1}(w_A, w_B) q^m t_B^{n-m+\Delta-1}(dt_B)^{1-\Delta}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1^\infty &= \sum_{m,n\geq 0} \varphi^A_{m+\Delta-1,n}(w_A, w_B) z_A^{m+\Delta-1} t_B^{n}(dz_A)^{1-\Delta} \\ &= \sum_{m,n\geq 0} \varphi^A_{m+\Delta-1,n}(w_A, w_B) z_A^{m-n+\Delta-1} q^{n}(dz_A)^{1-\Delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

Let $\pi^A_D \sqcup \pi^B_D : \mathcal{C}^A_D \sqcup \mathcal{C}^B_D \to \mathcal{C}_D$ be obtained by the simultaneous normalization of $\pi_D : \mathcal{C}_D \to D$ along Σ:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}^A_D \sqcup \mathcal{C}^B_D \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi^A_D \sqcup \pi^B_D"'] & \mathcal{C}_D \arrow[d, "\pi_D"] \\
D \arrow[r] & D
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathcal{C}^A_D \sqcup \mathcal{C}^B_D$ is the disjoint union of two copies of the trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over D. Let $s_{A,0} : D \to \mathcal{C}^A_D$ and $s_{B,\infty} : D \to \mathcal{C}^B_D$ be defined by $(w_A, w_B) \mapsto (w_A, w_B, 0)$ and $(w_A, w_B) \mapsto (w_A, w_B, \infty)$, which correspond to the normalized double points over D, and set $S_{A,0} = s_{A,0}(D) \subset \mathcal{C}^A_D$ and $S_{B,\infty} = s_{B,\infty}(D) \subset \mathcal{C}^B_D$. We set $S_{\bar{A}} = \cup_{a\in\bar{A}} s_a(D) \subset \mathcal{C}^A_D$ and $S_{\bar{B}} = \cup_{b\in\bar{B}} s_b(D) \subset \mathcal{C}^B_D$. Note that we use same notations for divisors over U and D, for instance, recall that we set $S_{\bar{A}} = \cup_{a\in\bar{A}} s_a(U)$ as a divisor over U.

By (8.3) any cross-section of the sheaf $(\pi_D)_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C)$ is represented by a quadruplet $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1^0, \varphi_1^\infty, \varphi_\infty)$ where φ_0 and φ_∞ is the restriction of some $\varphi \in \pi_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}(*S_C)$ to $V_0 \cap \Sigma$ and $V_\infty \cap \Sigma$, respectively, while φ_1^0 and φ_1^∞ is the unique extension of $\varphi|V_1^0$ and $\varphi|V_1^\infty$ to Σ. Then by (8.4) we see that $\varphi^A_D = (\varphi_1^\infty, \varphi_\infty) \in (\pi^A_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^A_D/D}(*S_{\bar{A}} - (\Delta-1)S_{A,0})$ and $\varphi^B_D = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1^0) \in (\pi^B_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^B_D/D}(*S_{\bar{B}} - (\Delta-1)S_{B,\infty})$. The expansion of φ^A_D and φ^B_D in a neighborhood of $z_A = 0$ and $t_B = 0$ is respectively given by

$$\varphi^A_D = \sum_{m\geq 0} \varphi^A_{m+\Delta-1,0}(w_A, w_B) z_A^{m+\Delta-1}(dz_A)^{1-\Delta} \quad (z_A \neq 0) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\varphi^B_D = \sum_{n\geq 0} \varphi^B_{0,n+\Delta-1}(w_A, w_B) t_B^{n+\Delta-1}(dt_B)^{1-\Delta} \quad (t_B \neq 0).$$

The leading terms $\varphi^A_{\Delta-1,0}$, $\varphi^B_{0,\Delta-1} \in \mathcal{O}_D$ satisfy $\varphi^A_{\Delta-1,0} + (-1)^\Delta \varphi^B_{0,\Delta-1} = 0$ by (8.5).

Proposition 8.5.1. *The sequence of $\mathcal{O}_D$-module homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to (\pi_D)_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C) \to \\ (\pi^A_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^A_D/D}(*S_{\bar{A}} - (\Delta-1)S_{A,0}) \oplus (\pi^B_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^B_D/D}(*S_{\bar{B}} - (\Delta-1)S_{B,\infty}) \to \mathcal{O}_D \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

defined by $\varphi \mapsto (\varphi^A_D, \varphi^B_D)$ *and* $(\varphi^A_D, \varphi^B_D) \mapsto \varphi^A_{\Delta-1,0} + (-1)^\Delta \varphi^B_{0,\Delta-1}$ *is exact.*

Proof. Let $\varphi^A_{\Delta-1,0}$, $\varphi^B_{0,\Delta-1} \in \mathcal{O}_D$ such that $\varphi^A_{\Delta-1,0} + (-1)^\Delta \varphi^B_{0,\Delta-1} = 0$. Then by (8.3) there exists $\varphi \in \pi_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}(*S_C)$ such that $\varphi|D = (\varphi^A_D, \varphi^B_D)$ and the leading term of φ^A_D and φ^B_D is $\varphi^A_{\Delta-1,0}$ and $\varphi^B_{0,\Delta-1}$, respectively. $\square$

8.6 Proof of the structure theorem for the sheaf over critical discriminant

In this subsection we prove Theorem 8.4.3. By using the exact sequence in Proposition 8.5.1 we identity $(\pi_D)_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_D$-submodule of $(\pi^A_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^A_D/D}(*S_{\bar{A}} - (\Delta - 1)S_{A,0}) \oplus (\pi^B_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^B_D/D}(*S_{\bar{B}} - (\Delta-1)S_{B,\infty})$. We introduce the vector subspace

$$(\pi_D)_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C)' = (\pi^A_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^A_D/D}(*S_{\bar{A}} - \Delta S_{A,0}) \oplus (\pi^B_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^B_D/D}(*S_{\bar{B}} - \Delta S_{B,\infty}),$$

which has the codimension 1 in $\pi_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*S_C)$. We define the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{out'}_D(V)$ of $\mathfrak{g}_D(V)$ by

$$\mathfrak{g}^{out'}_D(V) = j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}\left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes (\pi_D)_*\omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C)'\right),$$

and set

$$\mathcal{V}_D(M_C)' = \mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_C / \mathfrak{g}^{out'}_D(V)(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_C).$$

In order to prove Theorem 8.4.3 it suffices to determine $\mathcal{V}_D(M_C)'$ and the kernel of the canonical $\mathcal{O}_D$-module homomorphism $\mathcal{V}_D(M_C)' \to \mathcal{V}_D(M_C) \to 0$. With this point in view we introduce the $\mathcal{O}_D$-module $\mathcal{V}^A_D(M_{\bar{A}})' = \mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_{\bar{A}} / \mathfrak{g}^{A,out'}_D(V)(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_{\bar{A}})$ and $\mathcal{V}^B_D(M_{\bar{B}})' = \mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_{\bar{B}} / \mathfrak{g}^{B,out'}_D(V)(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes M_{\bar{B}})$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}^{A,out'}_D(V) &= j_{\bar{A}}\big(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes (\pi^A_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^A_D/D}(*S_{\bar{A}} - \Delta S_{A,0})\big), \\ \mathfrak{g}^{B,out'}_D(V) &= j_{\bar{B}}\big(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes (\pi^B_D)_*\Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}^B_D/D}(*S_{\bar{B}} - \Delta S_{B,\infty})\big). \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 7.2.2 we get:

Lemma 8.6.1. *The linear map* $M_{\bar{A}} \to M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0)\,(u_{\bar{A}} \mapsto u_{\bar{A}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A,0})$ *and* $M_{\bar{B}} \to M(0) \otimes M_{\bar{B}}\,(u_{\bar{B}} \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{B,\infty} \otimes u_{\bar{B}})$ *respectively induces an* $\mathcal{O}_D$*-module isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}})' \cong \mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0)) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_D^B(M_{\bar{B}})' \cong \mathcal{V}_D^B(M(0) \otimes M_{\bar{B}}).$$

Corollary 8.6.2. *There exists a canonical* $\mathcal{O}_D$*-module isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_D(M_C)' \quad &\cong \quad \mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0)) \otimes \mathcal{V}_D^B(M(0) \otimes M_{\bar{B}}) \\ u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}} \quad &\mapsto \quad (u_{\bar{A}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A,0}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{B,\infty} \otimes u_{\bar{B}}). \end{aligned}$$

We now determine the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_D$-module homomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0)) \otimes \mathcal{V}_D^B(M_{\bar{B}} \otimes M(0)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_D(M_C) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{8.8}$$

Note that for any $\Delta \geq 0$ the pair

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_D^{A,\,\Delta} &= (z_A^{-1} dz_A)^{1-\Delta} \in (\pi_D^A)_* \Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D^A/D}(*S_{\bar{A}} - (\Delta - 1)S_{A,0}) \quad \text{and} \\ \varphi_D^{B,\,\Delta} &= (-t_B^{-1} dt_B)^{1-\Delta} \in (\pi_D^B)_* \Omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D^B/D}(*S_{\bar{B}} - (\Delta - 1)S_{B,\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

defines an element $\varphi_D^{\Delta} \in (\pi_D)_* \omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C)$ such that

$$(\pi_D)_* \omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C) = (\pi_D)_* \omega^{1-\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}_D/D}(*\bar{S}_C)' \oplus \mathcal{O}_D\, \varphi_D^{\Delta}.$$

This gives rise to the splitting $\mathfrak{g}_D^{out}(V) = \mathfrak{g}_D^{out\,\prime}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_D^{out\,\prime\prime}(V)$ where $\mathfrak{g}_D^{out\,\prime\prime}(V)$ is the $\mathcal{O}_D$-module generated by $j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}\left(v \otimes \varphi_D^{\Delta}\right)$ for all $v \in V_\Delta\,(\Delta \geq 0)$.

Since $[j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(v \otimes \varphi_D^{\Delta}), \mathfrak{g}_D^{out\,\prime}(V)] \subset \mathfrak{g}_D^{out\,\prime}(V)$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$ the element $j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(v \otimes \varphi_D^{\Delta})$ acts on $\mathcal{V}_D(M_C)'$, which action is also denoted by $j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(v \otimes \varphi_D^{\Delta})$; more precisely $j_{\bar{A}}(v \otimes \varphi_D^{A,\Delta})$ and $j_{\bar{B}}(v \otimes \varphi_D^{B,\Delta})$ acts on $\mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}})'$ and $\mathcal{V}_D^B(M_{\bar{B}})'$, respectively. The corresponding action on $\mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0))$ and $\mathcal{V}_D^B(M(0) \otimes M_{\bar{B}})$ through the isomorphism given in Lemma 8.6.1 is described as follows: recall that these isomorphisms are induced from linear maps $u_{\bar{A}} \mapsto u_{\bar{A}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A,0}\,(u_{\bar{A}} \in M_{\bar{A}})$ and $u_{\bar{B}} \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{B,\infty} \otimes u_{\bar{B}}\,(u_{\bar{B}} \in M_{\bar{B}})$. The linear map $j_{\bar{A}}(v \otimes \varphi_D^{A,\Delta})$ on $\mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0))$ sends $u_{\bar{A}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A,0}$ to $j_{\bar{A}}(v \otimes \varphi_D^{A,\Delta}) u_{\bar{A}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A,0} \equiv -u_{\bar{A}} \otimes J_0(v)\mathbf{1}_{A,0} \mod \mathfrak{g}_D^{A,\,out}(V)\,(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0))$. By Theorem 7.2.3 there exists the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) \otimes W_i^*\,,$$

on which the linear map $j_{\bar{A}}(v \otimes \varphi^{A,\,\Delta})$ sends $u_{\bar{A}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A,0}$ to $-u_{\bar{A}} \otimes J_0(v)\mathbf{1}_{A,0} = -u_{\bar{A}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A,0} J_0(v)$ where $\mathbf{1}_{A,0} J_0(v)$ indicates the right action of $A_0(V)$ to $\mathbf{1}_{A,0}$. More precisely the linear map $j_{\bar{A}}(v \otimes \varphi^{A,\Delta})$ coincides with the right $A_0(V)$ action on each component W_i^* $(0 \leq i \leq r)$; the set $\mathfrak{g}_D^{out\,\prime\prime}(V)\mathcal{V}_D(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M(0))$ is linearly spanned by

$$-\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) \otimes w_i^* J_0(v) \quad \text{for all} \quad w_i^* \in W_i^*,\, v \in V_\Delta,\, \Delta \geq 0.$$

Applying the exactly same argument to $\mathcal{V}_D^B(M_{\bar{B}})'$ we see that $\mathfrak{g}_D^{out\,\prime\prime}(V)\mathcal{V}_D(M(0) \otimes M_{\bar{B}})$ is linearly spanned by

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{V}_D^B(L_i \otimes M_{\bar{A}}) \otimes w_i^* \theta\left(J_0(v)\right) \quad \text{for all} \quad w_i^* \in W_i^*,\, v \in V_\Delta,\, \Delta \geq 0.$$

So $\mathcal{V}_D(M_C)$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{i,\,j=0}^{r} \mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) \otimes \mathcal{V}_D^B(L_j \otimes M_{\bar{B}}) \otimes \left(W_i^* \otimes W_j^* / \mathcal{I}(W_i^*, W_j^*)\right)$$

where $\mathcal{I}(W_i^*, W_j^*)$ is the vector space which is linearly spanned by $-w_i^* J_0(v) \otimes w_j^* + w_i^* \otimes w_j^* \cdot \theta\left(J_0(v)\right)$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$ $(\Delta \geq 0)$ and $w_i^* \in W_i$ $(0 \leq i \leq r)$. We now see that

$$W_i^* \otimes W_j^* / \mathcal{I}(W_i^*, W_j^*) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A_0(V)}(W_i, D(W_j)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & (i = j^\dagger), \\ 0 & (i \neq j^\dagger) \end{cases}$$

by Schur's lemma.

8.7 Proof of the glueing isomorphism

We now prove Theorem 8.4.5; by Lemma 8.4.2 and Theorem 8.4.3 it suffices to construct the $\mathcal{O}_D[[q]]$-module isomorphism Φ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Phi : \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_U(M_C) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} \mathcal{V}_D^B(L_{i^\dagger} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[[q]] \arrow[d] \\
\bar{\Phi} : \overline{\mathcal{V}}_D(M_C) \arrow[r] & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} \mathcal{V}_D^B(L_{i^\dagger} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

and Φ is compatible with the $\Theta(-\log D)$ action, where $\overline{\Phi}$ is $\mathcal{O}_D$-module isomorphism given in Theorem 8.4.3.

For any simple V-module L there exists a complex number Δ such that $L = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} L(d)$, $L(d) = \{\, u \in L_i \mid T(0)u = (\Delta + d)u\}$ and $\dim L(d) < \infty$. We denote such a complex number for the simple V-modules L_i by Δ_i. Let $L^\dagger$ be the contragredient dual of L. For each homogeneous space $L(d)$ and $L^\dagger(d)$ we fix the dual basis

$\{u_{d,1}, \ldots, u_{d,\ell_d}\} \subset L(d)$ and $\{u_d^1, \ldots, u_d^{\ell_d}\} \subset L^\dagger(d)$ with respect to the canonical dual pairing. We now define the element in $L \otimes L^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{C}[[q]]$ by

$$\Omega(L) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_j u_{d,j} \otimes u_d^j \otimes q^d \right).$$

Lemma 8.7.1. *For any* $v \in V_\Delta$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(J_n(v) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \theta(J_n(v))\, q^n)\, \Omega(L) = 0.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\langle\, (J_n(v) \otimes 1)\Omega(L), u_{d_1,j_1} \otimes u_{d_2}^{j_2} \,\rangle = \langle\, (1 \otimes \theta(J_n(v))\, q^n)\Omega(L), u_{d_1,j_1} \otimes u_{d_2}^{j_2} \,\rangle.$$

By definition

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle\, (J_n(v) \otimes 1)\Omega(L), u_{d_1,j_1} \otimes u_{d_2}^{j_2} \,\rangle = \langle\, J_n(v) u_{d_1,j_1}, u_{d_2}^{j_2} \,\rangle q^{d_1} \quad \text{and} \\ &\langle\, (1 \otimes \theta(J_n(v))\, q^n)\Omega(L), u_{d_1,j_1} \otimes u_{d_2}^{j_2} \,\rangle = \langle\, u_{d_1,j_1}, \theta(J_n(v))\, u_{d_2}^{j_2} \,\rangle q^{d_2+n}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the right hand sides are zero if $d_1 \neq d_2 + n$ by definition of the dual pairing, and those two coincide if $d_1 = d_2 + n$ by definition of the contragredient module. □

We set $\Omega_i = \Omega(L_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$ and define the linear map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M_{\bar{B}} & \longrightarrow & M_{\bar{A}} \otimes M_{\bar{B}} \otimes L_i \otimes L_{i^\dagger} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[q]] \\ u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}} & \longmapsto & u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}} \otimes \Omega_i\,. \end{array}$$

In order to see that this linear map induces an $\mathcal{O}_D[[q]]$-module homomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_U(M_C) \to \mathcal{V}_D^A(M_{\bar{A}} \otimes L_i) \otimes \mathcal{V}_D^B(L_{i^\dagger} \otimes M_{\bar{B}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D[[q]],$$

it suffices to show that $j_{\bar{A},\bar{B}}(v \otimes \varphi)(u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}}) \otimes \Omega_i = j_{A \sqcup B}(v \otimes \varphi)(u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}} \otimes \Omega_i)$ for any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $\varphi \in \pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}_U/U}^{1-\Delta}(*S_C)$, or equivalently

$$(j_{A,0}(v \otimes \varphi) + j_{B,\infty}(v \otimes \varphi))\, \Omega_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } i. \tag{8.9}$$

Using the expansion (8.6) and (8.7) of φ on V_1^0 and V_1^∞, respectively, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} j_{A,0}(v \otimes \varphi) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j \geq -n} \varphi^A_{j+n+\Delta-1,n} J_j(v) \right) q^n \quad \text{and} \\ j_{B,\infty}(v \otimes \varphi) &= (-1)^\Delta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j \geq -n} \varphi^B_{j+n,n+\Delta-1} \theta(J_j(v)) q^j \right) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then the relation (8.9) follows from (8.5) and Lemma 8.7.1.

Now the $\mathcal{O}_D[[q]]$-module homomorphism Φ is induced by the map $u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}} \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{r} u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}} \otimes \Omega_i$. By the proof of Theorem 8.4.3 it follows that $\Phi|_{q=0} = \bar{\Phi}$ so that Φ is an isomorphism since $\bar{\Phi}$ is an isomorphism.

Finally we show that the map Φ is compatible with the $\widehat{\Theta}_U(-\log D)$ action, i.e.,

$$\sum_{b\in\bar{B}} w_{B,b}\,\rho_{B,b}(T(-1))u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}} \otimes \Omega = \left(q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \Delta - \sum_{b\in\bar{B}} \rho_{B,b}(T(0))\right) u_{\bar{A}} \otimes u_{\bar{B}} \otimes \Omega.$$

To this end it suffices to prove that for any $u_{\bar{B}} \in M_{\bar{B}}$ and $u_d \in L^\dagger(d)$

$$\sum_{b\in\bar{B}} w_{B,b}\,\rho_{B,b}(T(-1))u_{\bar{B}} \otimes u_d \equiv \left(\Delta + d - \sum_{b\in\bar{B}} \rho_{B,b}(T(0))\right) u_{\bar{B}} \otimes u_d \tag{8.10}$$

on $\mathcal{V}_D^B(L^\dagger \otimes M_{\bar{B}})$. Since $z_B(dz_B)^{-1} \in \Theta_{D\times\mathbb{P}^1/D}$ it follows that $j_B(T \otimes z_B(dz_B)^{-1})u_{\bar{B}} \otimes u_d \equiv 0$ on $\mathcal{V}_D^B(L^\dagger \otimes M_{\bar{B}})$, which shows (8.10) since

$$j_{B,b}(T \otimes z_B(dz_B)^{-1}) = \begin{cases} w_{B,b}T(-1) + T(0) & (b \neq \infty), \\ -T(0) & (b = \infty). \end{cases}$$

8.8 Remark

The definition of sheaves of conformal blocks over the partial compactification of the moduli space of N-pointed projective lines is generalized to the one over the moduli space of N-pointed stable curves of genus zero, and the local freeness of sheaves of conformal blocks can be proved. Then it follows that the category of V-modules is rigid braided tensor category ([BK], [KL1], [KL2]). Details will be discussed in the subsequent papers.

9 Semisimplicity of the category $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}(V)$

In this section we will show:

Theorem 9.0.1. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra which satisfies the condition III. Then $\mathcal{M}od(V)$ is a semisimple category and the functor $\mathcal{HW} : \mathcal{M}od(V) \to \mathcal{M}od(A_0(V))$ gives an equivalence of categories.*

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 9.0.1. For this purpose we prepare lemma and propositions.

Recall that $M(0) = \mathcal{U}(V) \otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} A_0(V)$ is canonically a right $A_0(V)$-module, which induces a left $A_0(V)$-action on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(V)}(M(0), M)$.

Lemma 9.0.2. *Let M be a V-module.*

(1) *Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(V)}(M(0), M)$. Then $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) \in \mathcal{HW}(M)$ and the linear map*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(V)}(M(0), M) \to \mathcal{HW}(M) \quad (\varphi \mapsto \varphi(\mathbf{1}))$$

is an $A_0(V)$-module isomorphism.

(2) *The canonical pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : D(M) \times M \to \mathbb{C}$ induces a nondegenerate pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathcal{CHW}(D(M)) \times \mathcal{HW}(M) \to \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The statement (1) follows from the definition of $M(0)$. For the statement (2) we see that $\langle\, \mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0} D(M), \mathcal{HW}(M)\rangle = 0$ as $\theta(\mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0}) = \mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{>0}$, so that the canonical pairing induces a pairing between $\mathcal{CHW}(D(M))$ and $\mathcal{HW}(M)$. We now fix $m \in \mathcal{HW}(M)$ and suppose that $\langle \mathcal{CHW}(D(M)), m\rangle = 0$. Then we see that $\langle\, \varphi, m\rangle = 0$ for all $\varphi \in D(M)$ and that $m = 0$ since the dual pairing between $D(M)$ and M is nondegenerate. ☐

Proposition 9.0.3. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra which satisfies the condition III, and M be a V-module.*

(1) *If M is semisimple then $M = \mathcal{U}(V)\mathcal{HW}(M)$.*

(2) *There exists a unique maximal semisimple submodule M_0 of M. The submodule M_0 satisfies $\mathcal{HW}(M_0) \cong \mathcal{HW}(M)$.*

(3) *There exists a unique maximal semisimple quotient module $\bar{M}$ of M. The quotient module $\bar{M}$ satisfies $\mathcal{CHW}(\bar{M}) \cong \mathcal{CHW}(M)$*

Proof. (1) Note that $\mathcal{HW}(M) \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.3.4. If M is a simple V-module then $M = \mathcal{U}(V)\mathcal{HW}(M)$. We now suppose that M is semisimple and let $M = \bigoplus_a M^a$ be the irreducible decomposition. Then we see that $\mathcal{HW}(M) = \bigoplus_a \mathcal{HW}(M^a)$ and that $\mathcal{U}(V)\mathcal{HW}(M) = \bigoplus_a \mathcal{U}(V)\mathcal{HW}(M^a) = M$.

(2) Since $A_0(V)$ is semisimple the $A_0(V)$-module $\mathcal{HW}(M)$ is completely reducible; $\mathcal{HW}(M) = \bigoplus_i W_i$. We now set $M_0 = \mathcal{U}(V)\mathcal{HW}(M) = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{U}(V) \otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} W_i$, which is a semisimple V-submodule of M by the condition III and $\mathcal{HW}(M_0) = \mathcal{HW}(M)$. Let N be a semisimple V-submodule of M. Then $\mathcal{HW}(N) \subset \mathcal{HW}(M)$ and $N = \mathcal{U}(V)\mathcal{HW}(N) \subset \mathcal{U}(V)\mathcal{HW}(M) = M_0$ by (1).

(3) Let $D(M)_0$ be the unique maximal semisimple V-submodule of $D(M)$ and set $\bar{M} = D(D(M)_0)$. Then the V-module exact sequence $0 \to D(M)_0 \to D(M)$ yields the V-modules exact sequence $0 \leftarrow \bar{M} \leftarrow M$, which shows that $\bar{M}$ is a semisimple quotient module of M. By (2) we see that $\mathcal{HW}(D(M)_0) \cong \mathcal{HW}(D(M))$, and that $\mathcal{CHW}(\bar{M}) \cong \mathcal{CHW}(M)$ by Lemma 9.0.2 (2). Now let $M \to \bar{N} \to 0$ be a semisimple quotient of M. Then we see that $\mathcal{CHW}(M) \to \mathcal{CHW}(\bar{N}) \to 0$, and by Lemma 9.0.2 (2) that $0 \to \mathcal{HW}(D(\bar{N})) \to \mathcal{HW}(D(M)) \cong \mathcal{HW}(D(M)_0)$. So $0 \to D(\bar{N}) \to D(M)_0$ by (1), which shows $\bar{M} \to \bar{N} \to 0$, i.e., $\bar{M}$ is the maximal semisimple quotient. ☐

Proposition 9.0.4. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra which satisfies the condition III, and M be a V-module.*

(1) *The linear map $\iota : M \to \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M(0)\otimes M)$ defined by $m \mapsto \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes m$ for all $m \in M$ induces an isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathcal{CHW}(M) \cong \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M(0)\otimes M)$.*

(2) *The canonical projection $\pi : M \to \bar{M}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M(0)\otimes M) \cong \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M(0)\otimes \bar{M})$.*

(3) *Let L be a simple V-module. Then $\mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(L\otimes M) \cong \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(L\otimes \bar{M})$ for any V-module M.*

(4) *Let M^∞, M^0 be V-modules and $\bar{M}^0$ be the maximal semisimple quotient of M^0. Then there exist vector space isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M^\infty\otimes M^0) &\cong \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M^\infty\otimes \bar{M}^0),\\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(V)}(M^0, M^\infty) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(V)}(\bar{M}^0, M^\infty).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) By Proposition 7.2.2 we have the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M/j_0\left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*[0]-\Delta[\infty]))\right)M & \cong & \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M(0)\otimes M) \\ m & \mapsto & \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes m. \end{array}$$

Since $\varphi_n = z^{-n+\Delta-1}(dz)^{1-\Delta}$ $(n>0)$ form a topological basis of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*[0]-\Delta[\infty]))$ and $j_0(v\otimes\varphi_n) = J_{-n}(v)$ for all $v\in V_\Delta$ we see that

$$j_0\left(\bigoplus_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} V_\Delta \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*[0]-\Delta[\infty]))\right) M = \mathfrak{g}(V)^f_{<0}M.$$

The second statement follows from the first statement and Proposition 9.0.3 (3).

(3) Let L_i $(0\le i\le r)$ be the complete list of simple V-modules. Since $M(0) = \mathcal{U}(V)\otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} A_0(V) \cong \bigoplus_i L_i\otimes W_i^*$ as a V-module we see that $\mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M(0)\otimes M) \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(L_i\otimes M)\otimes W_i^*$ and $\mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M(0)\otimes \bar{M}) \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(L_i\otimes \bar{M})\otimes W_i^*$, so that $\mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(L_i\otimes M)\cong \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(L_i\otimes\bar{M})$ for all i.

(4) Let α,β be distinct points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\alpha,\beta\neq 0,\infty$. Then by Theorem 5.6.1 there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M^\infty\otimes M^0)\cong \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,\alpha,\beta,0)}(M^\infty\otimes V\otimes V\otimes M^0)$. Using the factorization property (Theorem 8.4.3) and the statement (3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M^\infty\otimes M^0)\\ &\cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,\alpha,0)}(M^\infty\otimes V\otimes D(L_i))\otimes \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,\beta,0)}(L_i\otimes V\otimes M^0) \quad \text{(the factorization)}\\ &\cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,\alpha,0)}(M^\infty\otimes V\otimes D(L_i))\otimes \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,\beta,0)}(L_i\otimes V\otimes \bar{M}^0) \quad \text{by (3)}\\ &\cong \mathcal{V}_{(\infty,0)}(M^\infty\otimes\bar{M}^0) \quad \text{(the factorization).}\end{aligned}$$

The second isomorphism follows from Proposition 5.9.1 □

To prove the theorem it suffices to show:

Proposition 9.0.5. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra which satisfies the condition* Ⅲ *and M be a V-module. Then the maximal semisimple quotient module $\bar{M}$ of M is isomorphic to M as V-modules.*

Proof. Let $\pi : M \to \bar{M}$ be the canonical projection. It suffices to show that there exists $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(V)}(\bar{M}, M)$ such that $f \circ \pi = \mathrm{id}_M$. Then the canonical projection π is injective, i.e., π is an isomorphism, and that M is semisimple. The existence of such a homomorphism f follows from the proof of Proposition 9.0.4 (4). □

A Appendix

A.1 Examples

Several chiral vertex operator algebras which satisfy all conditions required in this paper are known. By way of example we give affine chiral vertex operator algebras and minimal Virasoro chiral vertex operator algebras.

Example A.1.1. (Affine chiral vertex operator algebras) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ of rank n, and let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ be the corresponding affine Lie algebra. We denote by $\{\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_n\}$ the set of fundamental weights for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and by P_+^k the set of all level k dominant integral weights. We denote by $L(\Lambda)$ the irreducible highest weight module of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with highest weight Λ. It is known that if $k \neq -h^\vee, 0$ then $L_{\mathfrak{g},k} = L(k\Lambda_0)$ has a structure of chiral vertex operator algebra where $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$ ([TK, Theorem 2.9]). The energy-momentum tensor $T(z)$ of $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}$ is the Sugawara form. The $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}$ is called an *affine chiral vertex operator algebra*.

The case that the level k is a positive integer has a particular interest. The affine chiral vertex operator algebra $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}$ then satisfies C_2-condition ([TUY, Theorem 4.2.4]). Let e_θ be an element in the root space $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$ of the maximal root θ. Then it is known ([FZ]) that

$$A_0(V) = U(\mathfrak{g})/\langle e_\theta^{k+1} \rangle \cong \bigoplus_{(\lambda,\theta) \leq k} L_\lambda \otimes L_\lambda^*$$

where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is an integrable weight and L_λ is the simple $\mathfrak{g}$-module with the highest weight λ. In particular, $A_0(V)$ is semisimple. Any V-module is an integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module from the category $\mathcal{O}$ ([TK]). Then the complete reducibility theorem for integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules (Theorem 10.7 in [K]) shows that any V-module induced from a simple $A_0(V)$-module is simple. So the affine chiral vertex operator algebra $L_{\mathfrak{g},k}$ satisfies the condition Ⅲ. The simple $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $L(\Lambda)$ ($\Lambda \in P_+^k$) is a simple V-module and any simple V-module is isomorphic to $L(\Lambda)$ for a suitable $\Lambda \in P_+^k$.

Example A.1.2. (The Virasoro vertex operator algebras) Let $\mathcal{V}ir = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}T(n) \oplus \mathbb{C}C$ be the Virasoro algebra. We denote by $M(c,h)$ the Verma module for the Virasoro algebra with a highest weight $h \in \mathbb{C}$ and central charge $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $v_{h,c}$ be the highest weight vector so that $L_n v_{h,c} = \delta_{n,0} h v_{h,c}\,(n \geq 0)$ and $Cv_{h,c} = cv_{h,c}$. The Verma module $M(c,h)$ is a free $U(\mathcal{V}ir^-)$-module of rank one with the generator $v_{h,c}$ where $\mathcal{V}ir^- = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{C}T(-n)$. Let $L(c,h)$ be the irreducible quotient of $M(c,h)$. Then it is known that $L(c,0)$ has a structure of chiral vertex operator algebra ([BPZ], [BFM]).

In conformal field theory the Virasoro chiral vertex operator algebra with specific central charge is extensively studied. Let p, q be relatively prime integers such that $1 < p < q$ and set $c_{p,q} = 1 - 6(p-q)^2/pq$. For any integers r and s such that $0 < r < p$, $0 < s < q$ we denote $h_{p,q;r,s} = \{(rq-sp)^2 - (p-q)^2\}/4pq$; note that $h_{p,q;r,s} = h_{p,q;p-r,q-s}$. The module $L(c_{p,q}, h_{p,q;r,s})$ for the Virasoro algebra is called a *minimal series*, and in particular, $L(c_{p,q}, 0)$ is called the *minimal* Virasoro chiral vertex operator algebra. The minimal series $L(c_{p,q}, 0)$ satisfy C_2-condition ([BFM]).

Let $V = L(c,0)$ be the Virasoro chiral vertex operator algebra with central charge $c \in \mathbb{C}$. For $c \neq c_{p,q}$ the zero-mode algebra is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x]$, while for $c = c_{p,q}$ the zero-mode algebra is a finite dimensional commutative algebra;

$$A_0(V) = \mathbb{C}[x]/\langle G_{p,q}(x)\rangle, \quad G_{p,q}(x)^2 = \prod_{\substack{0<r<p\\0<s<q}} (x - h_{p,q;r,s})$$

where $G_{p,q}(x)$ is a polynomial such that $\deg G_{p,q} = (1/2)(p-1)(q-1)$ ([FF], [BFM]). For $c = c_{p,q}$ any V-module is completely reducible ([BFM]) so that V satisfies the condition Ⅲ. The Virasoro module $L(c_{p,q}, h_{p,q:r,s})$ is a simple V-module and any simple V-module is isomorphic to one of the minimal series.

A.2 Zhu's algebras via sheaves of covacua

It is stated in [FZ] without proof that Zhu's algebra is isomorphic to the zero-mode algebra (Remark after Proposition 1.4.2 in [FZ, page 13], also see [BN]). Here we give a proof of the isomorphism between Zhu's algebra and the zero-mode algebra using a space of covacua associated to 3 points ∞, -1 and 0.

We first recall definition of Zhu's algebra $A_z(V)$. Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra and $O(V)$ be the vector subspace of V, which is linearly spanned by vectors

$$v_1 \circ v_2 = \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(v_1, z) v_2 \frac{(1+z)^{\Delta_1}}{z^2}\, dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\Delta_1} \binom{\Delta_1}{n} J_{-n-1}(v_1) v_2$$

for all $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$, $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$.

Definition A.2.1. For any $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V$ we define the binary operation $v_1 * v_2$ by

$$v_1 * v_2 = \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(v_1, z) v_2 \frac{(1+z)^{\Delta_1}}{z}\, dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\Delta_1} \binom{\Delta_1}{n} J_{-n}(v_1) v_2,$$

and extend this to the bilinear operation $V \times V \to V$.

The following fundamental results are found in [Z1].

Proposition A.2.2. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra.*

(1) $O(V) * V \subset O(V)$ *and* $V * O(V) \subset O(V)$.

(2) $(T(-1) + T(0))v \in O(V)$ *for all* $v \in V$.

(3) $(v_1 * v_2) * v_3 - v_1 * (v_2 * v_3) \in O(V)$ *for all* v_1, v_2, $v_3 \in V$.

(4) *For all* $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$, $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$ *and* $m \geq n \geq 0$

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(v_1, z)v_2 \frac{(1+z)^{\Delta_1+n}}{z^{2+m}}\, dz \in O(V).$$

(5) *For all* $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ *and* $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$

$$v_1 * v_2 \equiv \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(v_2, z)v_1 \frac{(1+z)^{\Delta_2-1}}{z}\, dz \mod O(V).$$

The proposition, in particular, shows:

Proposition A.2.3. *The quotient vector space $A_z(V) = V/O(V)$ is an associative algebra with a unit $|0\rangle + O(V)$ by the multiplication induced by the operation $*$.*

Definition A.2.4. The associative algebra $A_z(V)$ is called *Zhu's algebra*. We use the notation $[v] = v + O(V)$, i.e., $[\ ] : V \to A_z(V)$ denotes the canonical projection.

We next construct a vector space isomorphism between Zhu's algebra and a space of covacua.

Definition A.2.5. Let $D_z = [\infty] + [-1]$ be the divisor on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and $O(D_z)$ be the vector subspace of V, which is linearly spanned by $j_0(v \otimes \varphi)u$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$, $u \in V$ and $\varphi \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*[0] - \Delta D_z))$. We define a quotient vector space by $A(D_z) = V/O(D_z)$.

For any $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and integer m, n we set

$$\varphi_{m,n} = \frac{(z+1)^{\Delta+n}}{z^{2+m}}(dz)^{1-\Delta}.$$

The $\varphi_{m,n}$ ($m \geq n \geq 0$) form a topological basis of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*[0] - \Delta D_z))$. On the one hand we see that $O(V) \subset O(D_z)$ by definition of $O(V)$. On the other hand $O(D_z) \subset O(V)$ by Proposition A.2.2 (4) so that $O(V) = O(D_z)$:

Proposition A.2.6. $A_z(V) = A(D_z)$.

We now define a space of covacua which is isomorphic to the vector space $A_z(V)$. Let $A = \{\, \infty, -1, 0\,\}$ and $w_A = (\infty, -1, 0)$. Let $M(D_z) = M^\infty \otimes M^{-1} \otimes M^0$ where $M^\infty = M(0)$, $M^{-1} = M(0)$ and $M^0 = V$. We set $\mathcal{V}_A(D_z) = M(D_z)/\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M(D_z)$. Recall that $M(0) = \mathcal{U}(V)/\mathcal{U}(V)F^1\mathcal{U}(V)$. We denote the unit $1 + \mathcal{U}(V)F^1\mathcal{U}(V)$ by $\mathbf{1}_\infty$ and $\mathbf{1}_{-1}$ for M^∞ and M^{-1}, respectively.

Proposition A.2.7. *The linear map* $V \to M(D_z)\,(u \mapsto \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes u)$ *induces a linear isomorphism* $A_z(V) \cong \mathcal{V}_A(D_z)$*.*

Proof. We show that any element of $M(D_z)$ is congruent to $\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes u$ for a suitable $u \in V$ modulo $\mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M(D_z)$ and $\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes u_1 \equiv \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes u_2 \mod \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M(D_z)$ if and only if $u_1 - u_2 \in O(V)$.

Using the same argument in the proof of Theorem 5.8.1 we see that the linear map $V \to M(D_z)\,(u \mapsto \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes u)$ induces a surjective linear map $\iota : V \to \mathcal{V}_A(D_z)$. For any $v \in V_\Delta$ and $\varphi \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^{1-\Delta}(*[0] - \Delta D_z))$ we see that $j_\infty(v \otimes \varphi)$, $j_{-1}(v \otimes \varphi) \in F^1\mathcal{U}(V)$, and that

$$\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes j_0(v \otimes \varphi)u = j_A(v \otimes \varphi)(\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes u) \in \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M(D_z).$$

Now the map ι induces a well-defined surjective linear map $A_z(V) \to \mathcal{V}_A(D_z)$, which is also denote by ι.

For the injectivity of ι we will prove that the dual map $\iota^* : \mathcal{V}_A(D_z)^* \to A_z(V)^*$ is surjective. By using the same argument given in the proof of Proposition 7.2.2 we can show that for any $\Phi \in A_z(V)^*$ there exists a system of current correlation functions $\{\Phi_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ associated to Φ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_m(v_1, \ldots, v_m; u)_{(z_1, \ldots, z_m)} \\ &\qquad \in H^0((\mathbb{P}^1)^m, \Omega^{\boxtimes_{i=1}^m \Delta_i}(\sum_i *D_i + \sum_{i \neq j} *D_{i,j} + \sum_i \Delta_i D_{i,-1} + \sum_i \Delta_i D_{i,\infty})). \end{aligned}$$

where $D_i = \{z_i = 0\}$, $D_{i,j} = \{z_i = z_j\}$, $D_{i,-1} = \{z_i = -1\}$ and $D_{i,\infty} = \{z_i = \infty\}$ are divisors. Let us denote

$$\Phi_m = \langle \Phi | J(v_1, z_1) \cdots J(v_m, z_m) | v \rangle (dz_1)^{\Delta_1} \cdots (dz_m)^{\Delta_m}.$$

We now define $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M(D_z), \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\Phi}(\theta\,(J_{m_1}(v_1)) \cdots \theta\,(J_{m_k}(v_k))\,\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes J_{n_1}(u_1) \cdots J_{n_\ell}(u_\ell)\mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes v) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{k+\ell} \oint_{|z_1|=r_1} \cdots \oint_{|z_k|=r_k} \oint_{|w_1+1|=s_1} \cdots \oint_{|w_\ell+1|=s_\ell} \\ &\times \langle \Phi | J(v_k, z_k) \cdots J(v_1, z_1) J(u_\ell, w_\ell) \cdots J(u_1, w_1) | v \rangle \\ &\times z_k^{n_k+|v_k|-1} \cdots z_1^{n_1+|v_1|-1} (w_\ell + 1)^{m_\ell+|u_\ell|-1} \cdots (w_1+1)^{m_1+|u_1|-1}\, dw_\ell \cdots dw_1 dz_k \cdots dz_1\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_k > r_{k-1} > \ldots > r_1 > 2$ and $1 > s_1 > s_2 > \ldots > s_\ell > 0$. Then $\tilde{\Phi}$ induce an element of $\mathcal{V}_A(D_z)^*$ such that $\iota^*(\tilde{\Phi}) = \Phi$. $\square$

Proposition A.2.8. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra and $A_0(V)$ be its zero-mode algebra. Let $o : V \to A_0(V)$ be the linear map defined by $o(v) = [J_0(v)]$.*

(1) *The vector space $O(V)$ is in the kernel of the map o, and the induced map $o : A_z(V) \to A_0(V)$ is surjective.*

(2) *The linear map $o : A_z(V) \to A_0(V)$ is an algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. For any $v_1 \in V_{\Delta_1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{\Delta_2}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_0(v_1 \circ v_2)\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\Delta_1} \binom{\Delta_1}{n} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(J_{-n-1}(v_1)v_2, z) z^{\Delta_2+n}\, dz\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\Delta_1} \binom{\Delta_1}{n} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \operatorname*{Res}_{w=z} J(J(v_1, w-z)v_2, z)(w-z)^{\Delta_1-n-2} z^{\Delta_2+n}\, dwdz\\
&= \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \operatorname*{Res}_{w=z} J(J(v_1, w-z)v_2, z)(w-z)^{-2} w^{\Delta_1} z^{\Delta_2}\, dwdz\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-2}{n} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \operatorname*{Res}_{w=0} J(v_1, w)J(v_2, z) z^{\Delta_2+n} w^{\Delta_1-n-2}\, dwdz\\
&\qquad\qquad - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-2}{n} \operatorname*{Res}_{w=0} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(v_2, z)J(v_1, w) z^{\Delta_2-n-2} w^{\Delta_1+n}\, dzdw\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-2}{n} \left(J_{-n-1}(v_1)J_{n+1}(v_2) - J_{-n-1}(v_2)J_{n+1}(v_1)\right) \in \sum_{n\geq 1} F^{-n}\mathcal{U}(V) \cdot F^{n}\mathcal{U}(V).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the map $o : A_z(V) \to A_0(V)$ is well-defined and is surjective by Proposition 3.3.2.

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0(v_1 * v_2) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\Delta_1} \binom{\Delta_1}{n} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(J_{-n}(v_1)v_2, z) z^{\Delta_2+n-1}\, dz\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\Delta_1} \binom{\Delta_1}{n} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \operatorname*{Res}_{w=z} J(J(v_1, w-z)v_2, z)(w-z)^{\Delta_1-n-1} z^{\Delta_2+n-1}\, dwdz\\
&= \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \operatorname*{Res}_{w=z} J(J(v_1, w-z)v_2, z)(w-z)^{-1} z^{\Delta_2-1} w^{\Delta_1}\, dwdz \ (=: I).
\end{aligned}$$

On the one hand $J(J(v_1, w-z)v_2, z) = J(v_1, w)J(v_2, z)$ $(|w| > |z| > 0)$, and on the other hand $J(J(v_1, w-z)v_2, z) = J(v_2, w)J(v_1, z)$ $(|z| > |w| > 0)$; we then see that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} \operatorname*{Res}_{w=0} J(v_1, w)J(v_2, z) z^{\Delta_2+n-1} w^{\Delta_1-n-1}\, dwdz\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname*{Res}_{w=0} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(v_2, z)J(v_1, w) z^{\Delta_2-n-2} w^{\Delta_1+n}\, dzdw\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{-n}(v_1)J_n(v_2) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{-n-1}(v_2)J_{n+1}(v_1),
\end{aligned}$$

and that $J_0(v_1 * v_2) \equiv J_0(v_1)J_0(v_2) \mod \sum_{n\geq 1} F^{-n}\mathcal{U}(V)\cdot F^n\mathcal{U}(V)$. ☐

Recall that there exists a $\mathcal{U}(V)$-module isomorphism $M(0) \cong \mathcal{U}(V)\otimes_{F^0\mathcal{U}(V)} A_0(V)$, which defines the canonical right $A_0(V)$-module structure on $M(0)$.

Definition A.2.9. We define the linear map $\sigma_{-1} : A_0(V) \to \mathcal{V}_A(D_Z) \cong A_z(V)$ and $\sigma_\infty : A_0(V) \to \mathcal{V}_A(D_Z) \cong A_z(V)$ by $a \mapsto \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes a \otimes |0\rangle$ and $a \mapsto a \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes |0\rangle$ for all $a \in A_0(V)$, respectively.

Proposition A.2.10. *The map σ_{-1}, $\sigma_\infty : A_0(V) \to A_z(V)$ is respectively an algebra and an anti-algebra homomorphism such that $\sigma_{-1}([J_0(v)]) = [v]$ and $\sigma_\infty([\theta(J_0(v))]) = [v]$ for all $v \in V_\Delta$.*

Proof. We first prove the second assertion. For $\varphi = z^{-1}(1+z)^{\Delta-1}(dz)^{1-\Delta}$ and any $v \in V_\Delta$ we see that $j_{-1}(v\otimes\varphi)\mathbf{1}_{-1} = -J_0(v)\mathbf{1}_{-1}$, and that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes [J_0(v)]\,\mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes |0\rangle &= -\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes j_{-1}(v\otimes\varphi)\mathbf{1}_{-1}\otimes|0\rangle \\ &\equiv \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(v,z)|0\rangle \frac{(1+z)^{\Delta-1}}{z}\,dz \mod \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M(D_z) \\ &\equiv \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1}\otimes v.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $v \in V_\Delta$ take $\varphi = z^{-1}(1+z)^{\Delta}\,(dz)^{1-\Delta}$. Then we see that $j_\infty\,(v\otimes\varphi)\,\mathbf{1}_\infty = -\theta\,(J_0(v))\,\mathbf{1}_\infty$, and that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta\,(J_0(v))\,\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes |0\rangle &= -j_\infty(v\otimes\varphi)\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1}\otimes|0\rangle \\ &\equiv \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1} \otimes \operatorname*{Res}_{z=0} J(v,z)|0\rangle \frac{(1+z)^{\Delta}}{z}\,dz \mod \mathfrak{g}^{out}_{w_A}(V)M(D_z) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1}\otimes v.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes [J_0(v_1)]\,[J_0(v_2)]\,\mathbf{1}_{-1}\otimes|0\rangle \equiv \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1}\otimes v_1 * v_2 \quad \text{and} \\ &[\theta(J_0(v_1))]\,[\theta(J_0(v_2))]\,\mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1}\otimes|0\rangle \equiv \mathbf{1}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{1}_{-1}\otimes v_2 * v_1,\end{aligned}$$

which show the first assertion, where we also use the fact $\theta^2(J_0(v)) = J_0(v)$ (see § 4.1). ☐

Theorem A.2.11. *Let V be a chiral vertex operator algebra and $A_0(V)$ be its zero-mode algebra. Then $o : A_z(V) \to A_0(V)$ is an algebra isomorphism.*

Proposition A.2.12. *The map $\theta : A_0(V) \to A_0(V)\,(J_0(v) \mapsto \theta(J_0(v)))$ is well-defined, and is anti-algebra involution.*

Proof. By the isomorphism $A_0(V) \cong \mathcal{V}_A(D_Z)$ the map θ is well-defined. Since $\sigma_{-1} = \sigma_\infty \circ \theta$ we see that θ is an anti-algebra homomorphism. ☐

References

[BK] Bakalov, B., Kirillov, A., Jr.: *Lectures on Tensor Categories and Modular Functors*, University LECTURE Series vol. 21, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2001.

[BD] Beilinson, A., Drinfeld, V. G.: Chiral algebras, preprint.

[BFM] Beilinson, A., Feigin, B. and Mazur, B.: Introduction to algebraic field theory on curves, preprint.

[BN] Brungs, D., Nahm, W.: The associative algebras of conformal field theory, Lett. Math. Phys. **47**, 379–383(1999)

[BPZ] Belavin, A. A., Polyakov, A. M. and Zamolodchikov, A. B.: Infinite conformal symmetries in two–dimensional quantum field theory, Nucl. Phys. **B241**, 333–380(1984).

[Borc] Borcherds, R.: Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83**, 3068–3071(1986)

[Bore] Borel, A. et al.: *Algebraic D-Modules*, Academic Press, Inc. Orland, Florida, 1987.

[FF] Feigin, B. L, Fuchs, D. B.: Verma module over the Virasoro algebra, In *Topology*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1060**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 230–245.

[F] Frenkel, E.: Séminaire BOURBAKI, No.875, Astérisque 299–339(2000)

[FB] Frenkel, E., Ben-Zvi, D.: *Vertex Algebras and Algebraic Curves*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **88**, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2001.

[FHL] Frenkel, I.B., Huang, Y., Lepowsky, J.: *On axiomatic approach to vertex operator algebras and modules*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **104**, No.494, 1993.

[FLM] Frenkel, I.B., Lepowsky, J., Meurman, A.: *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster*, Academic Press, 1988

[FZ] Frenkel, I.B., Zhu, Y.: Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, Duke Math. J. **66**, No.1, 123–168(1992)

[GN] Gaberdiel, M. R., Neitzke, A.: Rationality, quasirationality and finite W-algebras, hep-th/0009235

[K] Kac, V. G.: *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, third edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[G] Gatisgory, D.: Notes on $2D$ conformal field theory and string theory, In *Quantum fields and string: a course for mathematicians,* Vol.2, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1999, 1017–1089.

[KL1] Kazhdan, D., Lusztig, G.: Tensor structure arising from affine Lie algebras, I. J. Amer. Math. Soc., **6**, 905–947(1993)

[KL2] Kazhdan, D., Lusztig, G.: Tensor structure arising from affine Lie algebras, II. J. Amer. Math. Soc., **6**, 949–1011(1993)

[TK] Tsuchiya, A, Kanie, Y.: Vertex operators in conformal field theory on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and monodromy representations of braid group, in *Conformal Field Theory and Solvable Lattice Models*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **16**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988, 297–372;"Errata" in *Integrable Systems in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19**, Academic Press, Boston, 1989, 675–682.

[TUY] Tsuchiya, A., Ueno, K., Yamada, Y.: Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries, in *Integrable Systems in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics*, Advanced Studies on Pure Math. **19**, Academic Press, Boston, 1989, 459–566.

[U] Ueno, K.: On conformal field theory, In *Vector Bundles in Algebraic Geometry*, London Math. Society Lecture Notes Series **208**, Edited by N. J. Hitchin et al., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, 283–345.

[Z1] Zhu, Y.: Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9**, No.1, 237–302(1996)

[Z2] Zhu, Y.: Global vertex operator algebras on Riemann surfaces, Commun. Math. Phy. **165**, 485–531(1994)

Kiyokazu Nagatomo[1]
Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Graduate School of Information Science and Technology
Osaka University, Osaka, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan
e-mail: nagatomo@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Akihiro Tsuchiya
Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University
Nagoya 464-8602, Japan
e-mail:tsuchiya@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

---

[1] Supported in part by Grant-In-Aid for Scientific Research.